

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	7
Foreword (Pedro Caeiro)	9
List of abbreviations	11
Introduction (Élodie Sellier and Anne Weyembergh).....	13
I. Background and objectives of the research	13
II. Literature review	18
III. Methodology.....	20
IV. Structure	23

PART I

National contributions

CHAPTER I

France: Smooth cooperation in criminal matters and a minimalistic approach towards the harmonisation of criminal procedural law (Perrine Simon).....	27
I. Introduction: the main features of the French criminal procedure, from the inquisitorial to the mixed model	27
II. The ‘ <i>a minima</i> ’ transposition of the procedural rights directives for defendants.....	30

III.	The deficiencies of French rules on evidence, a potential obstacle to mutual recognition	34
A.	The scarce general safeguards on evidence-gathering.....	34
B.	The poor regulation of evidence admissibility.....	36
IV.	Detention law, the gap between theory and practice	38
A.	Pre-trial detention rules and pre-trial detention as a rule	38
B.	The strict application of the <i>Aranyosi and Căldăraru</i> judgment	40
C.	The existence of a general compensation regime for unjustified detention applicable to EAWs.....	42
V.	The strong focus on protection of victims in French procedural criminal law	42
A.	The limited added value of Directive 2012/29/EU on victims' rights in France.....	42
B.	The precedence of the domestic victim's compensation scheme over Directive 2004/80/EC	43
C.	The wide range of civil and criminal protection measures for victims	45
VI.	The scarcity of horizontal issues of judicial cooperation in criminal matters.....	46
VII.	Conclusion.....	50

CHAPTER II

European criminal procedural law in Germany:

	Between tradition and innovation (Thomas Wahl and Alexander Oppers)	53
I.	Introduction	53
A.	Basic axioms of the German criminal legal order	53
B.	The hybrid nature of the German criminal procedure	57
C.	The status of the defendant and the victim as an outcome of democracy, liberty and the welfare State.....	59
D.	'United in diversity' – legal traditions and their impact on cooperation	62
II.	Transposition and implementation of procedural rights' directives for defendants.....	63
A.	State of transposition.....	63
B.	Implementation of procedural rights' directives in practice	70
C.	Perceived added value of the procedural rights' directives	72

III. Evidence law	74
A. Evidence-gathering	74
B. Evidence admissibility	77
C. Perceived impact on cross-border cooperation and negotiations of new instruments	82
IV. Detention law	83
A. Pre-trial detention and alternatives to detention	83
B. Interpretation and application of the <i>Aranyosi and Căldăraru</i> judgment	93
C. Compensation for unjustified detention	104
V. Victims' law	110
A. Transposition and application of Directive 2012/29/EU on victims' rights	110
B. Compensation for victims	117
C. Protection measures for victims	120
VI. Horizontal issues of implementation, coordination and cooperation	123
A. Conflicts of jurisdiction	123
B. <i>In absentia</i> trials	124
C. Other obstacles to cooperation	126
VII. Conclusion and policy recommendations	127
 CHAPTER III	
Hungary (Petra Bárd)	131
I. Main features of national criminal procedure	131
A. Hungary and the democratic transition	131
B. Hungary's mixed criminal justice system	133
C. The impact of the diversity of legal traditions regarding criminal procedure law across the EU	134
D. The status of defence rights	137
E. The status of victims' rights	138
II. Transposition and implementation of procedural rights directives for defendants	139

III. Evidence law	141
A. Evidence gathering and admissibility	141
B. The effect of differing rules on gathering and admissibility of evidence on EU instruments	142
IV. Detention law.....	145
A. Pre-trial detention and alternatives to detention	145
B. The effect of the <i>Aranyosi</i> and <i>Căldăraru</i> judgment.....	146
V. Victims' law	148
A. Transposition and application of the Victims' Rights Directive 2012/29/EU	148
B. Compensation for victims	149
C. Protection measures for victims.....	149
D. Outstanding issues	151
VI. Horizontal issues of implementation, coordination and cooperation	152
A. The <i>AY</i> case and the different interpretations of <i>ne bis in idem</i>	152
B. The <i>Tobin</i> case and the importance of comparative law during implementation of EU laws	156
VII. Conclusions	160

CHAPTER IV

The European Union's influence on criminal procedural law in the Netherlands: Driving forces, critical notes and possible future steps in criminal matters (Aart de Vries, Joske Graat, Tony Marguery).....	165
I. Introduction	165
II. A bird's-eye view of Dutch criminal procedural law	167
A. Between inquisitorial and accusatorial traditions	167
B. The reform of the Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure	168
C. Procedural rights of the defence and the victim under Dutch law	169
D. Unity in diversity – the emphasis on mutual trust in the Dutch legal system	171
E. Mutual trust and the European Public Prosecutor's Office (EPPO)	172
III. The EU's instruments and their impact on Dutch criminal proceedings.....	173
A. The implementation of procedural rights directives	173

B.	A European conclusion in a virulent national discussion	174
C.	The practical side of implementation.....	176
IV.	Investigations and evidence under Dutch criminal law.....	176
A.	Criminal investigations under Dutch law	176
B.	General rules on admissibility of evidence under Dutch law	178
C.	Use of foreign evidence	181
D.	Evidence and the EIO	182
V.	The system of pre-trial detention in the Netherlands and prison conditions in other Member States – central questions on detention from a Dutch perspective.....	183
A.	An ill-suited system to accommodate alternatives – discussion on pre-trial detention	183
B.	Questions on detention in a cross-border context.....	186
VI.	Increased rights for the victim in Dutch criminal proceedings	188
A.	National and European protection measures for victims	189
B.	The implementation of Directive 2012/29/EU	191
VII.	Cross-border complexities.....	192
A.	At odds with the EAW Framework Decision? A general fundamental rights refusal ground in the <i>Overleveringswet</i>	193
B.	Article 4a of the EAW Framework Decision – the ‘incorrect’ implementation and the ‘difficulties’ it causes.....	194
C.	The prevention and settlement of conflicts of jurisdiction.....	196
D.	Recent developments in EU and national case law concerning the EAW	198
VIII.	To sum it all up – conclusions and recommendations from the Dutch perspective	201

CHAPTER V

Romanian criminal procedure at a crossroads:

Legacies of the past and current challenges (Daniel Nițu and Diana Ionescu) ...205

I.	General background.....	205
II.	Main features of Romania’s criminal procedure	206
A.	Historical background. The French model and the Soviet one	206
B.	Romania’s criminal procedure under post Communist rules.....	208
C.	The prominence of the principle of efficiency and expediency	208

D.	The persistence of legal conservatism	211
E.	Defence rights	213
F.	Victims' rights	216
III.	Transposition and implementation of procedural rights' Directives for defendants – minimum standards, gaps and delays	217
A.	Preliminary remarks	217
B.	State of transposition	218
IV.	Romanian system of evidence law. The rule of law versus the finding of the truth, a continuous challenge	222
A.	Evidence-gathering rules. Hindering the functioning of EU instruments?	223
B.	Admissibility of evidence. The Romanian exclusionary rules	230
V.	Detention law in Romania. The difficult choice between a preventive measure and an anticipated penalty	235
A.	Pre-trial detention and alternatives to detention	235
B.	Detention in cross-border cooperation cases	240
C.	Interpretation and application of the <i>Aranyosi and Căldăraru</i> judgment	242
D.	Compensation for unjustified detention	242
VI.	Victim's law. Extensive powers under statutory law, low level of use of these powers in practice	243
A.	Transposition and application of Directive 2012/29/EU	243
B.	Compensation for victims	244
C.	Protection measures for victims	245
VII.	Horizontal issues of implementation, coordination and cooperation	248
VIII.	Conclusions. Policy recommendations	250

PART II

Comparative study
(Élodie Sellier and Anne Weyembergh)

CHAPTER I

Investigative measures	255
I. Comparative analysis of investigation regimes	255
A. Blurred picture between administrative and criminal law actors and the variable importance of intelligence services	256

B.	Differences among criminal law authorities involved in investigations	257
C.	Special investigative measures and conditions for their use	260
II.	Impact of these differences on cross-border cooperation	261
A.	Accommodating and circumventing differences: dual flexibility in EU instruments	261
B.	(Over)reliance on national law: the case of the EIO and the EPPO	269
C.	Obstacles in practice: the difficult interoperability of investigation systems	273
D.	Values-based considerations: forum-shopping, legal certainty, and fundamental rights concerns	278

CHAPTER II

	Admissibility of illegally and improperly obtained evidence	285
I.	Comparative analysis of inadmissibility regimes	285
A.	Admissibility of evidence in domestic systems	287
B.	Admissibility of evidence gathered in another Member State	292
II.	Impact on cross-border cooperation	293
A.	Difficulties encountered under the <i>locus regit actum</i> rule and insertion of the <i>forum regit actum</i> rule	294
B.	Compliance and compatibility issues under the <i>forum regit actum</i> rule: the cases of the 2000 MLA Convention and of the EIO	295
C.	Compliance and compatibility issues under the <i>locus regit actum</i> rule: the JITs and EPPO cases	296
D.	A pragmatic approach: the rule of non-inquiry and its impact on mutual trust	299
E.	Fundamental rights concerns: absence of judicial control, legal certainty and impact of procedural rights directives	301
F.	The presumption of innocence and the access to a lawyer directives: A missed opportunity?	303

CHAPTER III

	Transnational investigations and equality of arms	307
I.	Different understandings of the principle of equality of arms	307
II.	Accommodating and circumventing differences by extreme reliance on national law: investigative tools, procedural safeguards and legal remedies	312

III. Weak position of the defence in EU cross-border cooperation frameworks	319
IV. Limited efforts undertaken by the EU to mitigate existing challenges.....	320
V. Lack of consideration for the defence in EU cross-border cooperation frameworks	324

CHAPTER IV

Pre-trial detention regimes and alternatives to detention	327
I. Comparative analysis of pre-trial detention regimes	327
A. Maximum length of pre-trial detention.....	327
B. Systems of periodic review.....	330
C. Grounds for adopting a decision on pre-trial detention	331
D. Alternatives to detention	332
II. Impact on mutual trust and mutual recognition.....	334
A. Obstacles to the operation of the EAW as a result of different approaches to pre-trial detention	334
B. Overuse of pre-trial detention and adverse impact on mutual trust.....	336
C. Challenging a detention order: procedural rights directives as a safeguard against lengthy pre-trial detention?	338
D. Underuse of Framework Decision on a European Supervision Order.....	341
E. Exacerbating differences: lack of communication among the Member States.....	344

CHAPTER V

Mutual recognition post-<i>Aranyosi</i> and <i>Căldăraru</i>:	
Diversity of approaches and resulting challenges	347
I. Comparative analysis of approaches to surrender post- <i>Aranyosi</i> and <i>Căldăraru</i>	349
A. Types of information sources, content of information requests and introduction of a system of 'guarantees'	349
B. Scope of the postponement/refusal ground.....	351
II. Impact on mutual recognition	353
A. Delays and non-execution of the EAW	353
B. Risks of polarisation and 'prison shopping'?.....	355

- C. The unsustainable system of assurances 357
- D. Mutual trust beyond surrender proceedings..... 359

CHAPTER VI

Compensation schemes for unjustified detention: Missing from the picture? 365

- I. Comparative analysis of compensation schemes 365
 - A. Grounds for claiming compensation, amounts awarded, eligibility conditions and time limits 365
 - B. Applicability of national schemes to cross-border proceedings 367
- II. Impact on mutual recognition and cross-border cooperation 368
 - A. Ineffective compensation schemes in cross-border situations 369
 - B. ... exacerbated by the absence of rules on liability 369
 - C. Fundamental rights concerns and misuse of mutual trust..... 371

CHAPTER VII

The right to be present at a trial and conditions of EAW surrenders 373

- I. Comparative analysis of national regimes governing *in absentia* trials..... 374
 - A. Various understandings of the right to be present at the trial 374
 - B. Implementation beyond the letter of the FD *in absentia* 376
- II. Impact on mutual recognition 377
 - A. Dissatisfaction with the minimum standards approach: Towards the re-installment of a degree of national control? 378
 - B. Minimum standards: a more flexible approach after the *Taricco* saga? 379
 - C. Obstacles affecting the practical operation of EAWs 381
 - D. The *Dworzecki* judgment: an attempt to clarify the conditions of *in absentia* trials? 385
 - E. The Presumption of Innocence Directive: A (non-)solution? 386

CHAPTER VIII

Compensation schemes for victims 389

- I. Comparative analysis of compensation schemes 390
 - A. Diversity of State compensation systems 390
 - B. National arrangements for compensation from the offender 394

II. Impact on cross-border cooperation	397
A. Risks of unequal treatment in cross-border situations and limited scope of the Compensation Directive	397
B. Restitution as a compensation mechanism and the Regulation on Confiscation and Freezing Orders: back to national law	400

CHAPTER IX

Protection measures for victims	403
I. Comparative analysis of protection measures	403
A. Legal nature of protection orders	403
B. Procedures, scope and definitions	404
II. Impact on mutual recognition and cross-border cooperation	406
A. Flexibility in mutual recognition instruments	407
B. Confusion arising from the existence of a dual framework and risks of incompatibilities in practice	408
C. Implementation issues, lack of mutual knowledge and legality concerns	410
D. The Victims' Rights Directive: raising awareness?	412
E. The relative disconnection between approximation and mutual recognition	414

PART III

Conclusion and recommendations (Élodie Sellier and Anne Weyembergh)

CHAPTER I

General assessment	419
I. Practical impact of differences in criminal procedures on mutual recognition and cross-border cooperation	421
II. How to cope with differences? Typology of existing trends among Member States	422
III. ... Alongside the 'flexibility approach' developed by the EU	424
IV. Imbalances and inconsistencies between actors and instruments	427
A. Prosecution and defence	427
B. Defence and victims	428
C. Approximation and cross-border cooperation	429

CHAPTER II

Recommendations	431
I. Practical recommendations and soft law tools	431
A. Developing training and other awareness-raising activities	431
B. Boosting exchanges of information and dialogue.....	433
C. Soft law instruments	434
D. Financial support from the EU.....	435
II. Legislative action.....	436
A. Consolidating the current acquis and identifying and addressing implementation gaps	436
B. Boosting the legitimacy of new EU legislative action: improving monitoring and data collection.....	438
C. Short-term legislative solutions	439
D. Medium- and long-term perspectives: reflecting on the adoption of new instruments.....	442
Biographies	445