## Contents

1	Shaping Administrative Practice: The Institutional Habitus	1
	The Shaping of Discretion	5
	Assessing Credibility in Asylum Procedures: A Subjective	
	Matter?	9
	The Institutional Habitus: A Brief Conceptual Introduction	12
	Outline of the Book	15
	References	17
2	Studying Everyday Practice(s) in the SEM	27
	Getting into the "Black Box"	28
	"Getting In" Literally	31
	Doing Fieldwork	32
	Following People Around	33
	Method Triangulation	36
	The Researcher as a Learner	38
	My Interaction Partners in the SEM	40
	Thinking Through and with Practice Theory: Methodological	
	Limits and Challenges	41
	References	43
3	Asylum Decision-Making in Switzerland	47
	Asylum Politics in Switzerland and Beyond	48
	Changing Law and the Proliferation of Legal Categories	52

xii	Contents

	The SEM: A Specialised Asylum Administration Emerges	55
	The Decision-Making Procedure	59
	The Swiss Asylum Act	66
	References	70
4	Knowledge as Practice: Producing Decisional Certainty	75
	Ben's Case	79
	"Country Knowledge"	83
	Determining Applicants' "Country of Origin"	85
	Assessing Reasonable Likelihood	88
	Assessing Demeanour	90
	Producing Decisional Certainty: The Role	
	of Professional-Practical Knowledge	91
	Producing On-File Facts: The Asylum Interview	98
	Writing Asylum Decisions: The Final Creation of Legal Facts	104
	Managing Uncertainty: The Importance of Credibility	
	Determination	107
	Concluding Remarks	109
	References	111
5	Getting in Line with the Office	117
	Becoming a Member of the Office	118
	Who Are the Decision-Makers?	119
	Recruiting New Decision-Makers	122
	Communities of Interpretation	124
	Learning the Ropes of Asylum Decision-Making	130
	Learning What Questions to Ask	133
	Learning to Test Credibility	136
	Accountability	138
	Peer Pressure	139
	Accountability Towards Superiors and Beyond	141
	A Brief Summary: Acquiring an Institutional Habitus	148
	References	150
6	The Good Decision-Maker or Protecting the System	155
	Negotiating "the Right" Decision: A Field Anecdote	157
	Ethics of the Office: Decision-Makers as Protectors	
	of the System	160
	Ethos of the Office: Professional Norms and Values	164
	The Efficient, Fast and Economical Decision-Maker	165
	The Neutral, Apolitical Decision-Maker	168

	Contents	xiii
	The Objective, Sufficiently Distanced and Emotionally	
	Detached Decision-Maker	170
	The Sufficiently but Not Overly Suspicious Decision-Maker	176
	Ethos Is Ethics: The Fair Decision-Maker	178
	Conclusion	180
	References	181
7	The Normalisation of Disbelief	187
	References	195
In	Index	