

Contents

Preface *page vii*

- 1 The sociobiology debate as a battle for truth 1
 - Scientific and moral truth—together or apart? 1
 - The sociobiology debate as academic engineering 4
 - The sociobiology debate as opera 6

PART ONE What happened in the sociobiology debate?

- 2 The storm over *Sociobiology* 13
 - The creation of the sociobiology debate 13
 - Missing: serious scientific criticism 15
 - The Sociobiology Study Group in action 18
 - Could the sociobiology debate have been avoided? 24
 - The prevalence of the 'environmentalist' paradigm 30
- 3 Colleagues on collision course: Wilson's and Lewontin's contrary moral-cum-scientific agendas 35
 - A clash among titans? 35
 - Wilson's positive program 36
 - Lewontin's critical agenda 40
 - A matter of taste 48
- 4 The British connection 53
 - From group selection to kin selection: collective conversion or scientific stampede? 53
 - Bill Hamilton: the lonely figure 57
 - Maynard Smith and the missed opportunity 61
 - George Price: the fundamentalist scientist 64
 - A tempest in a teacup? Dawkins and the British debate 69
- 5 The 'deep background' of sociobiology 79
 - Harvard discovers Hamilton: Bob Trivers and Irven DeVore 79
 - Mutual aid 84
 - The Man and Beast conference: a catalytic event 90
 - Wilsonian sociobiology: a synthesis for a purpose 94
 - What's in a name? The connotations of 'sociobiology' 97
- 6 Assault on adaptationism—a delayed scientific critique 101
 - What's wrong with adaptationism? 101
 - Nobody is perfect 105

- The scoundrels of San Marco: Gould and Lewontin baffle the Royal Society 107
- Reactions to Spandrels 112
- Confessions of a former adaptationist 117
- The sociobiology controversy as a Trojan horse 118
- Puncturing punctuationism 122

7 The unit of selection and the connection with culture 127

- Truth and error in the unit of selection dispute 127
- Harvard holism vs British beanbag genetics 134
- The levels of selection: an ontological protest 137
- The problem of culture 141
- Hamilton's 'racist' paper 147
- Are genes necessary? 150

8 Sociobiology adapts to criticism: *Genes, Mind and Culture* 157

- Sociobiology reinvents itself—or does it? 157
- Maynard Smith checks the mathematics 162
- Lewontin feels disdain 165
- Edmund Leach prefers ethology 170

9 The moral/political conflict continues 177

- Emerging trends 177
- Racist allegations and rebuttals 179
- The Nabi episode: manners and morals in science 184
- The critics develop a positive program 188
- Enough! say the sociobiologists 190
- Whose fault is it? 193

PART TWO Making sense of the sociobiology debate

10 Inside the mind of the critics 199

- Coupled logic and the quest for certainty 199
- Chomsky's challenge 203
- 'Plato's big lie': the clue to the critics' reasoning 206
- The truth will out: massaging texts through 'moral reading' 208
- 'He *said* it!' The power of the word 211

11 Planters and weeders in the garden of science 215

- Traditional and critical views of science in society 215
- What is to be done? The responsibility of the scientist 220
- Fear of facts? 222
- The morality of science 224
- The battle around behavioral genetics 226
- Neo-Lysenkoism in American academia? 228

12 To be or not to be—in the sociobiology controversy 235

- The thankless task of uncouplers: Peter Medawar 235

One foot in each camp: the mediating efforts of John Maynard Smith and Patrick Bateson 240

Notes from a leftist non-participant: Salvador Luria 245

13 A clash of traditions 255

Communicative naturalists and critical experimentalists 255

The text and the truth 263

The divided academy and its two worlds of truth 268

14 Conflicting views of the nature of science 275

'True causality' vs models and measurement 275

The legitimacy of 'correct' intelligence research 279

Sociobiology and IQ research as 'unnatural science' 281

Holism, reductionism, and Marxism 284

Reductionism as a definition game, or how the pot could call the kettle black 287

Why Wilson is not Watson 291

15 Capitalizing on controversy 295

Moral recognition as symbolic capital 295

The battle over 'the issue' in the sociobiology debate 299

The scientist as optimizer 301

PART THREE The cultural meaning of the battle for science

16 The sociobiologists and their enemies: taking stock after 25 years 307

The rise of the evolutionary paradigm 307

Wilson's evolution in a changing environment 309

Moral victors in the sociobiology debate 311

Wilsonian sociobiology—an assessment 313

Coming to terms with human sociobiology 316

Shooting past each other: Gould's and Dawkins' drawn-out duel 320

Defenders of the Modern Synthesis: the why and the how of evolution 325

Toward an integrated study of behavior? 330

17 Truth by dispute? The sociobiology debate and the Science Wars 333

Defenders of science on the warpath against 'anti-science' 333

The politics of the Science Wars 338

Anti-sociobiology and anti-science 340

Critical continuities and discontinuities 343

18 Interpreting the Enlightenment quest 349

Consilience—the new Central Dogma? 349

Concealed by consilience? The social sciences and the arts 354

Enlightenment and hyper-Enlightenment quests 358

Know thyself—a long-range Enlightenment goal 365

19 The tension between scientific and moral truth 373

Evolutionary biology: between science and values 373

Telling the truth about biology 378

Truth and consequences 386

20 The battle for the soul—and for the soul of science 391

Free will, determinism, and the attribution of guilt 391

The new essentialism meets the new existentialism 396

No other gods? 399

Keeping science on a leash—the importance of emotions and moral concerns 404

Notes 409

References 429

Glossary 469

Index 477