

Table of Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>vii</i>
Introduction	1
I. To Intervene or not to Intervene?.....	1
II. Methodological Remarks.....	5
III. Vocabulary and Key Concepts.....	8
IV. A Roadmap for this Book	10
1. The Convention's Approach to Mental Health Care	12
I. A New Approach to Mental Health Care	12
II. Article 12 and the Right to Enjoy Legal Capacity	14
III. Article 14 and the Right to Liberty	21
IV. Article 17 and the Right to Respect for Physical and Mental Integrity.....	24
V. Article 25 and the Right to Consent	26
VI. The Enjoyment of Legal Capacity, Liberty and Integrity 'on an Equal Basis with Others'	31
A. The Prohibition of Discrimination.....	34
B. The Objective and Reasonable Standard.....	37
VII. The CRPD's Approach to Mental Health Care in Brief.....	42
2. Putting Mental Health Laws to the Test	45
I. Applying the Objective and Reasonable Standard	45
II. Domestic Mental Health Legislation.....	45
III. Do Compulsory Interventions Serve Legitimate Aims?.....	49
IV. Are Compulsory Interventions Based on Objective Criteria?	51
A. The Protection of the Health of the Person Concerned.....	51
B. The Protection of the Life of the Person Concerned	55
C. The Protection of Others	58
V. Are Compulsory Interventions Reasonable?.....	62
VI. Conclusions on the Objectivity and Reasonableness of Domestic Regimes.....	64
3. Proportionality Reasoning	68
I. A Way Forward?	68
II. Theoretical Underpinnings	69

III.	The Rudiments of Proportionality Assessment	72
A.	Legitimate Aim(s)	73
B.	Suitability	73
C.	Necessity	75
D.	Proportionality in the Narrow Sense	78
IV.	Compatibility with the Objective and Reasonable Standard	83
V.	Assessing and Weighing Arguments	88
A.	Abstract Weights	91
B.	Degrees of Infringement	93
VI.	Dealing with Epistemic Uncertainty	96
A.	Empirical Uncertainty and Discretion	97
B.	Normative Uncertainty and Discretion	100
VII.	The Advantages and Disadvantages of Proportionality Reasoning	101
A.	The Legal Soundness of Classification Choices	101
B.	Too Much Discretion?	104
C.	Structure and Precision	108
4.	Proportionality and Non-discrimination	110
I.	The Focus on Distributive Justice	110
II.	Proportionality Assessments Tailored to Non-discrimination Norms	111
A.	Legitimate Aim: Excluding Offensive Policies	113
B.	Suitability: Excluding Ineffective Policies	113
C.	Necessity: Excluding Excessive Policies	115
D.	Proportionality: Excluding Inconsistent Policies and Policies with Unreasonable Disadvantageous Effects	116
III.	Applying the Consistency Requirement	122
A.	Compulsory Intervention to Protect Health	123
B.	Civil Detention to Protect Life	127
C.	Civil Detention to Protect Others	129
D.	The Value of a Consistency Requirement	131
IV.	The Role of Equality-specific Harm in Balancing	133
A.	The Compulsory Mental Health Care of Persons with Psychosocial Disabilities	135
B.	The Compulsory Care of Everyone Who Lacks Decision-making Ability	138
V.	The Value of Structured Proportionality Assessments in the Non-discrimination Context	141
VI.	A Framework for Evaluating Domestic Systems of Compulsory Mental Health Care	143

Conclusion	149
I. To Intervene or Not to Intervene? A Difficult Question.....	149
II. The Long Answer to the Question.....	150
A. The Limits Set by the Treaty Text.....	151
B. The Limits Set by Discrimination Analysis	152
C. CRPD-compliant Compulsory Mental Health Interventions to Protect the Health and Lives of Patients	159
III. Concluding Remarks on the Future of Mental Health Law and Policy	162
<i>Appendix: Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (Extract)</i>	165
<i>Bibliography</i>	168
<i>Index</i>	177