

Contents

Acknowledgements	IX
List of Figures and Tables	X

Introduction	1
1 Scope	20
2 Methodology	21

PART 1

Foundations

1	Central Issues of Research	29
1.1	EU Competition Law – A Paradox within EU Law	29
1.2	The ECtHR – System Design as a Predictor of Success	39
1.3	ECtHR as a Self-Regulating Tribunal	42
2	Supporting Issues	48
2.1	Systems Theory and Social Sciences	48
2.2	The New Public Management Movement	49
2.3	Peoples, Consumers and Citizens	54
2.4	Accession of the EU to the ECHR	57
2.5	The Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU	63
3	A Foot in the Past: Existing Literature	68
3.1	Legal Philosophy	69
3.2	A Renewed Debate on Human Rights	71
3.3	A Renewed Imagining of the Trial	74
3.4	Competition Policy	75
3.5	Competition Policy and Fundamental Rights	78

PART 2

The Dynamic Evolution of the Right to a Fair Trial

	Introduction to Part 2	83
4	The Right to a Fair Trial	92
4.1	Formulation and Importance of Article 6(1) ECHR	92

- 4.2 Influence of the Case-law of the ECtHR on Domestic Legislation 94
- 4.3 External Influences on the Case-law of the ECtHR 97
- 5 Applicability of Article 6(1) ECHR 101
 - 5.1 Maintaining Pockets of State Sovereignty 102
 - 5.2 Applicability of Article 6(1) ECHR to “Civil Rights and Obligations” 103
 - 5.3 Applicability of Article 6(1) ECHR to “Criminal Charges” 118
- 6 The Right to a Fair Trial – A Tool for Self-Regulation 129
 - 6.1 The Process Towards Justiciability 129
 - 6.2 The Role Played by the Academic Community 131
 - 6.3 The Zeitgeist 132
 - 6.4 Cooperation with the ECtHR – Four Possible Models 132

PART 3

Fair Trial and the Independence of the Commission as the Competition Enforcement Agency of the EU

- Introduction to Part 3 141
- 7 The Debate on Independence at the Crossroads of the Administrative State, Delegation and IRAS 143
 - 7.1 The Rise of the Administrative State, Delegation and IRAS 145
 - 7.2 The UNCTAD 150
 - 7.3 OECD Roundtable on Changes in Institutional Design of Competition Authorities 151
 - 7.4 International Competition Network 152
 - 7.5 Independence of European Regulators 154
 - 7.6 The European Competition Network 156
 - 7.7 Empowering NCAs – Directive 1/2019 159
 - 7.8 The Difficult Case for the Independence of the European Commission 160
- 8 The Case-law of the ECtHR on the Right to an Independent and Impartial Tribunal 164
 - 8.1 Established by Law 164

- 8.2 Independence 166
- 8.3 Impartiality 180
- 8.4 The Relevance of the ECtHR's Case-Law on Independence and Impartiality 184

- 9 The Structure of the European Commission as Enforcer of Competition Law 189
 - 9.1 The European Commission as a Political Institution 190
 - 9.2 The European Commission as an Autonomous Bureaucracy 196

- 10 The Procedure for Enforcement of Article 101 and 102 TFEU 210
 - 10.1 The Investigation Phase 211
 - 10.2 Prohibition Procedure 219
 - 10.3 Commitments Procedure 230
 - 10.4 Procedure for Rejection of Complaints 232
 - 10.5 Settlement Procedures 233

- 11 The Commission's Powers of Investigation 238
 - 11.1 Sanctions 238
 - 11.2 Leniency 240
 - 11.3 Sector Inquiries 243
 - 11.4 Requests for Information 245
 - 11.5 The Power to Take Statements 245
 - 11.6 Powers of Inspection 246

- 12 Limits on the Commission's Powers of Investigation 248
 - 12.1 General Principles of Limitation 248
 - 12.2 The Rights of the Defence 251

- 13 A Risk-Based Framework for Safeguarding the European Commission's Independence 260
 - 13.1 Identifying the Risks to Independence in EU Competition Law Proceedings 260
 - 13.2 Mitigating the Identified Risks 267

PART 4

Fair Trial and Judicial Review of EU Competition Law

- Introduction to Part 4 277
- 14 Case-law of the ECtHR on the Right to an Effective Judicial Review 279
- 14.1 Judicial Review in Administrative Law Disputes 280
- 14.2 Judicial Review in Disputes Involving “Criminal Charges” 287
- 14.3 Judicial Review in Banking Law Disputes 289
- 14.4 Non-Pecuniary Damage for Breach of the Right to Judicial Review 291
- 15 Relevance of the ECtHR’s Case-law on the Right to Judicial Review – A Story of Three Models 293
- 15.1 Exercise of Administrative Discretion within Polycentric Issues 294
- 15.2 Exercise of Administrative Discretion for Monocentric Issues 296
- 15.3 Exercise of Administrative Discretion as Policing Power 297
- 16 Case-law of EU Courts on the Right to an Effective Judicial Review 299
- 16.1 Right to Effective Judicial Protection 301
- 16.2 Right to Judicial Review in Competition Law Cases – A Matter of Constitutional Design 304
- 16.3 Limited Review of Legality – Design by Self-Interpretation 305
- 16.4 Unlimited Review of Fines 308
- 16.5 Margin of Appreciation of the EU Commission and Unlimited Review of Fines 311
- 16.6 The Right to a Fair Legal Process in EU Law 316
- 17 Is Judicial Review a Cure for Bigness? 324
- 17.1 Adjudication and Economic Evidence 326
- 17.2 Adjudication and the Administrative Man 332
- 17.3 Adjudication, Bias and Monoculture 335
- 17.4 Adjudication and Problems of Organized Complexity 338
- Step into the Future: Bigness and Judicial Power 341
- Works Cited 351
- Index 379