

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|------------|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | V |
| STRUCTURE | IX |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | XI |
| LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES | XIX |
| ABBREVIATIONS | XXI |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Reminiscences from a Continuing Past | 1 |
| 1.1.1 <i>Protecting Communities I</i> | 1 |
| 1.1.2 <i>Protecting Communities II</i> | 3 |
| 1.1.3 <i>Protecting Communities III</i> | 4 |
| 1.1.4 <i>The Ambiguity of Hindsight</i> | 6 |
| 1.2 Identifying the Problem | 11 |
| 1.3 Delimiting the Problem | 12 |
| 1.3.1 'Access' | 12 |
| 1.3.2 'Extraterritorial Protection' | 15 |
| 1.3.3 'Regulated' | 18 |
| 1.3.4 'In the European Union' | 21 |
| 1.4 Identifying Law | 23 |
| 1.4.1 <i>International Law</i> | 26 |
| 1.4.1.1 The Concept of 'International Law' | 26 |
| 1.4.1.2 Sources of International Law | 28 |
| 1.4.1.3 Normative Hierarchies within International Law | 32 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1.4.1.4 | International Law and Justiciability Advantages | 33 |
| 1.4.2 | <i>The Law of the European Union</i> | 36 |
| 1.4.2.1 | Terminology | 36 |
| 1.4.2.2 | Primary and Secondary Law of the European Union | 37 |
| 1.4.2.3 | EC Law and Union Law: Conceptual Questions | 38 |
| 1.4.2.4 | EC Law: Sources and Normative Hierarchies | 41 |
| 1.4.2.5 | EC Law and Justiciability Advantages | 46 |
| 1.4.2.6 | Union law: Sources, Normative Hierarchies and Justiciability Advantages | 49 |
| 1.4.2.7 | A Normative Hierarchy between EC Law, Union Law and International Law? | 53 |
| 1.4.3 | <i>Intermediary Conclusion</i> | 55 |
| 1.5 | Determining Law—Methodological Considerations | 57 |
| 1.5.1 | <i>A Triple Dilemma</i> | 58 |
| 1.5.2 | <i>Structuring Conflicts</i> | 61 |
| 1.5.3 | <i>The Legal-technical Level</i> | 63 |
| 1.5.4 | <i>The Qualitative Level</i> | 64 |
| 1.5.5 | <i>The Metalegal Level</i> | 65 |
| 1.6 | The Structure of Inquiry | 67 |
| 2 | UNIVERSALISM VERSUS PARTICULARISM | 73 |
| 2.1 | Choosing between Torture and Terrorism: Mr. Chahal vs. the U.K. Population | 73 |
| 2.2 | Universalism | 75 |
| 2.3 | Particularism | 79 |
| 2.4 | Human Rights versus Sovereignty | 82 |
| 2.5 | Artefact versus Organism | 84 |
| 2.6 | Meandering Arguments | 89 |
| 3 | DETERMINANTS OF PROTECTION SYSTEMS | 97 |
| 3.1 | The State Perspective | 101 |
| 3.2 | The Perspective of the Protection Seeker | 107 |
| 3.2.1 | <i>Access to Territory</i> | 108 |
| 3.2.2 | <i>Access to Full-fledged Procedures</i> | 112 |
| 3.2.3 | <i>Access to Protection</i> | 113 |
| 3.3 | Two Loops | 114 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 4 | EUROPEAN INTEGRATION AND EXTRATERRITORIAL PROTECTION | 117 |
| <hr/> | | |
| 4.1 | The Real World: Flight Movements to and within Europe since 1985 | 119 |
| 4.2 | The Institutional World: From Schengen to Amsterdam | 121 |
| 4.2.1 | <i>Freedom through Control: The 1985 White Paper and the Single European Act</i> | 122 |
| 4.2.2 | <i>L'Europe accélérée: Schengen</i> | 123 |
| 4.2.3 | <i>Trying to Catch Up: The European Political Cooperation</i> | 127 |
| 4.2.3.1 | The Dublin Convention | 127 |
| 4.2.3.2 | The Draft External Border Convention | 128 |
| 4.2.3.3 | Enter Soft Law: The London Resolutions | 129 |
| 4.2.3.4 | Swapping Information: CIREA and CIREFI | 130 |
| 4.2.4 | <i>Maastricht—Progress through Split Competencies?</i> | 132 |
| 4.2.5 | <i>Planning the Economy of Harmonization: Amsterdam</i> | 135 |
| 4.2.5.1 | Competencies and Obligations | 136 |
| 4.2.5.2 | Decision Making under Title IV | 140 |
| 4.2.5.3 | The Role of the ECJ | 142 |
| 4.2.5.4 | The Position of Denmark, Ireland and the U.K. | 145 |
| 4.2.6 | <i>The Integration of the Schengen acquis</i> | 146 |
| 4.2.7 | <i>The Variable Geometry of Integration</i> | 148 |
| 4.2.8 | <i>Enlarging the Union</i> | 153 |
| 4.3 | Conclusion | 156 |
| 5 | ACCESS TO TERRITORY UNDER THE EU ACQUIS | 161 |
| <hr/> | | |
| 5.1 | Pre-entry Measures | 161 |
| 5.1.1 | <i>The Harmonisation of Visa Regimes</i> | 162 |
| 5.1.1.1 | Visa Harmonisation in the EU | 164 |
| 5.1.1.2 | Visa Harmonisation in the Schengen Group | 169 |
| 5.1.1.3 | Mainstreaming the Schengen Visa acquis: The Commission Proposal | 174 |
| 5.1.2 | <i>Measures Complementing Visa Regimes</i> | 175 |
| 5.1.2.1 | Carrier Sanctions | 176 |
| 5.1.2.2 | Sanctions against Human Smugglers | 178 |
| 5.1.2.3 | Pre-frontier Assistance and Training | 179 |
| 5.1.3 | <i>Conclusion</i> | 181 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----------|---|------------|
| 5.2 | Post-entry Measures: The Concept of Safe Third Countries | 182 |
| 5.2.1 | <i>Allocation to other Member States</i> | 185 |
| 5.2.1.1 | The Dublin Convention | 185 |
| 5.2.1.2 | Complementing Dublin: The Eurodac Proposal | 198 |
| 5.2.2 | <i>Allocation to Non-Member States</i> | 199 |
| 5.2.2.1 | The 1992 Resolution on Host Third Countries | 199 |
| 5.2.2.2 | Complementing Allocation to Non-Member States: Readmission Agreements | 203 |
| 5.2.2.2.1 | <i>The EU acquis on Readmission</i> | 205 |
| 5.2.2.2.2 | <i>Competencies after Amsterdam</i> | 206 |
| 5.2.3 | <i>Conclusion</i> | 209 |
| 6 | ACCESS TO FULL-FLEDGED PROCEDURES UNDER THE EU ACQUIS | 213 |
| 6.1 | The EU acquis Related to Procedure | 215 |
| 6.2 | The Spanish Protocol | 224 |
| 6.3 | Competencies after Amsterdam | 227 |
| 6.4 | Conclusion | 232 |
| 7 | ACCESS TO PROTECTION UNDER THE EU ACQUIS | 233 |
| 7.1 | Protection Categories | 233 |
| 7.1.1 | <i>The EU Acquis Related to Protection Categories: Questions of Scope</i> | 237 |
| 7.1.2 | <i>The Substantial Content of the Refugee Joint Position</i> | 239 |
| 7.1.3 | <i>Competencies after Amsterdam</i> | 242 |
| 7.1.4 | <i>Conclusion</i> | 244 |
| 7.2 | Return | 244 |
| 7.2.1 | <i>The EU acquis Related to Return</i> | 247 |
| 7.2.2 | <i>The Schengen acquis Related to Return</i> | 253 |
| 7.2.3 | <i>Competencies after Amsterdam</i> | 254 |
| 7.2.4 | <i>Assessment of the EU acquis Related to Return</i> | 254 |
| 7.3 | Intermediary Conclusion: Access to Protection in the EU | 259 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 8 SHARING THE BURDEN? | 263 |
| <hr/> | |
| 8.1 The Concept and Function of Burden-sharing | 263 |
| 8.1.1 <i>The Objective of Burden-sharing</i> | 265 |
| 8.1.2 <i>The Scope of Burden-sharing</i> | 267 |
| 8.1.2.1 Sharing Norms | 270 |
| 8.1.2.2 Sharing Money | 272 |
| 8.1.2.3 Sharing People | 273 |
| 8.1.3 <i>Assessing Burden-sharing Schemes</i> | 275 |
| 8.2 Burden-sharing and International Law | 277 |
| 8.3 Burden-sharing and the EU acquis | 285 |
| 8.3.1 <i>Negotiations Preceding the 1995 Resolution</i> | 289 |
| 8.3.2 <i>Comparison of the German Draft and the 1995 Resolution</i> | 293 |
| 8.3.3 <i>The Impact of the Treaty of Amsterdam</i> | 297 |
| 8.3.4 <i>The Solidarity Drafts: Merging Admission and Burden-sharing?</i> | 301 |
| 8.3.5 <i>The Experimental Instruments of 1997 to 1999</i> | 309 |
| 8.3.6 <i>The Proposal on a European Refugee Fund</i> | 312 |
| 8.4 Concentrating the Burden | 316 |
| 8.4.1 <i>Concentration Effects of the Dublin Convention</i> | 317 |
| 8.4.2 <i>Concentration Effects of Safe Third Country-Arrangements</i> | 325 |
| 8.5 Two Interpretive Approaches | 329 |
| 8.5.1 <i>Subsidiarity</i> | 330 |
| 8.5.2 <i>Game Theory</i> | 337 |
| 8.6 Conclusion | 347 |
| | |
| 9 INTERNATIONAL LAW AND EXTRATERRITORIAL PROTECTION | 353 |
| <hr/> | |
| 9.1 Protection | 357 |
| 9.1.1 <i>A Right to Seek and Enjoy Asylum?</i> | 357 |
| 9.1.2 <i>Express Prohibitions of Refoulement in Human Rights Law</i> | 362 |
| 9.1.2.1 The 1951 Refugee Convention | 362 |
| 9.1.2.2 The 1984 CAT | 364 |
| 9.1.2.3 Other Express Prohibitions of Refoulement in Human Rights Law? | 366 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------------|--|------------|
| 9.1.3 | <i>Express Prohibitions of Refoulement in Humanitarian Law</i> | 366 |
| 9.1.4 | <i>Implicit Prohibitions of Refoulement in Treaty Law</i> | 369 |
| 9.1.4.1 | Human Rights Law | 369 |
| 9.1.4.2 | Humanitarian Law | 372 |
| 9.2 | Access to Territory | 377 |
| 9.2.1 | <i>The ICCPR</i> | 377 |
| 9.2.2 | <i>The Fourth Protocol to the ECHR</i> | 378 |
| 9.3 | Methodology of Interpretation | 379 |
| 9.4 | Identifying Hard Cases | 382 |
| 9.4.1 | <i>Protection: Implicit Prohibitions of Refoulement</i> | 382 |
| 9.4.1.1 | Article 3 ECHR and Article 7 ICCPR | 383 |
| 9.4.1.2 | Article 32 FC | 384 |
| 9.4.2 | <i>Access to Territory</i> | 386 |
| 9.4.2.1 | The Right to Leave and the Right to Entry | 386 |
| 9.4.2.2 | Explicit Prohibitions of Refoulement | 387 |
| 9.4.2.3 | Implicit Right to Access | 389 |
| 9.4.3 | <i>Interim Conclusion on the First Stage</i> | 390 |
| 10 | INTERPRETING HARD CASES | 393 |
| 10.1 | Protection: Implicit Prohibitions of Refoulement | 394 |
| 10.1.1 | <i>Article 3 ECHR</i> | 394 |
| 10.1.1.1 | The Reasoning of the European Organs | 394 |
| 10.1.1.2 | Two Critics of the European Organs | 400 |
| 10.1.1.3 | Methodological Appraisal | 404 |
| 10.1.1.4 | Reinterpreting Article 3 ECHR | 407 |
| 10.1.2 | <i>Article 7 ICCPR</i> | 415 |
| 10.2 | Access to Territory | 416 |
| 10.2.1 | <i>The Right to Leave and the Right to Entry</i> | 416 |
| 10.2.1.1 | A Universalist Reading | 417 |
| 10.2.1.2 | A Particularist Reading | 420 |
| 10.2.1.2.1 | <i>Complementing the Second Stage</i> | 420 |
| 10.2.1.2.2 | <i>The Third Stage</i> | 421 |
| 10.2.1.3 | Conclusion | 422 |
| 10.2.2 | <i>Explicit Prohibitions of Refoulement</i> | 423 |
| 10.2.2.1 | Article 33 GC | 423 |
| 10.2.2.1.1 | <i>The Second and Third Stage in the Light of Doctrinal Debate</i> | 423 |
| 10.2.2.1.2 | <i>Article 33 GC in the Light of the Dublin Convention</i> | 431 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------------|--|------------|
| 10.2.2.1.3 | <i>Conclusion</i> | 432 |
| 10.2.2.2 | Article 3 CAT | 432 |
| 10.2.2.2.1 | <i>The Second Stage</i> | 432 |
| 10.2.2.2.2 | <i>The Third Stage</i> | 435 |
| 10.2.2.3 | Article 45 FC | 438 |
| 10.2.3 | <i>Implicit Prohibitions of Refoulement</i> | 440 |
| 10.2.3.1 | Article 7 ICCPR | 440 |
| 10.2.3.2 | Article 3 ECHR | 441 |
| 10.3 | Interim Conclusion on Hard Cases | 444 |
| 10.4 | Substance by Method? | 446 |
| 10.4.1 | <i>What Does the Vienna Convention Do To the Law?</i> | 446 |
| 10.4.2 | <i>What Do Lawyers Do With the Vienna Convention?</i> | 448 |
| 10.4.3 | <i>Three Wildcards: Presumptions, Telos and Indeterminacy</i> | 449 |
| 11 | DELIMITING AND JUSTIFYING PROTECTION UNDER THE ECHR | 453 |
| 11.1 | The Case Law of the European Organs | 454 |
| 11.2 | A Hierarchy among Rights? | 458 |
| 11.3 | Irreparability as a Water-Shed? | 464 |
| 11.4 | A Hierarchy within Rights: The Concept of Positive Obligations | 467 |
| 11.5 | Conclusion | 473 |
| 12 | THREE CONFLICT ZONES | 475 |
| 12.1 | Access to Territory: Visa Requirements and the ECHR | 476 |
| 12.1.1 | <i>Determinants of Discrimination Arguments</i> | 480 |
| 12.1.2 | <i>Discrimination under the ECHR?</i> | 482 |
| 12.1.3 | <i>Refining Proportionality Reasoning</i> | 485 |
| 12.1.4 | <i>Applying the Refined Proportionality Test to Visa Requirements</i> | 488 |
| 12.1.5 | <i>Appraisal</i> | 493 |
| 12.2 | Access to Territory and Protection: Choosing between Dublin and Geneva? | 494 |
| 12.2.1 | <i>Permissive Tolerance, Margin of Discretion or Unitary Interpretation?</i> | 496 |
| 12.2.2 | <i>Delimiting Protection Categories: Persecution by Third Parties</i> | 511 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|---|--|------------|
| 12.2.2.1 | The 1951 Refugee Convention | 512 |
| 12.2.2.2 | The CAT | 521 |
| 12.2.2.3 | The ECHR | 524 |
| 12.2.3 | <i>Appraisal</i> | 535 |
| 12.3 | Access to Full-Fledged Procedures: The Spanish Protocol and Discrimination | 536 |
| 12.3.1 | <i>Interpreting the Spanish Protocol in the Light of International Law</i> | 536 |
| 12.3.2 | <i>Discrimination under the 1951 Refugee Convention?</i> | 540 |
| 12.3.3 | <i>Discrimination under the ICCPR and ECHR?</i> | 546 |
| 12.3.4 | <i>Discrimination under the TEC?</i> | 549 |
| 12.3.5 | <i>Appraisal</i> | 552 |
| 12.4 | Conclusion | 556 |
| 13 | DEMOS, DETERMINACY AND JUSTIFICATION | 559 |
| 13.1 | Tilting the Balance: Constructions of the Demos | 562 |
| 13.1.1 | <i>The European Union and the Demos Dilemma</i> | 564 |
| 13.1.2 | <i>Essence: Distinguishing Friend and Foe</i> | 568 |
| 13.1.3 | <i>Contract: Universality by Consent</i> | 575 |
| 13.1.4 | <i>Indeterminacy: The Particularist's Last Trump?</i> | 581 |
| 13.2 | The Anomaly of Transnational Human Rights | 586 |
| 13.3 | Strasbourg and the Exceptional: Legitimation in the Courtroom? | 587 |
| 14 | NEGOTIATING ASYLUM: A SUMMARY OF OBSERVATIONS | 593 |
| CASES | | 599 |
| INTERNATIONAL TREATIES | | 603 |
| INSTRUMENTS AND DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THE EU ACQUIS | | 605 |
| LITERATURE | | 615 |
| INDEX | | 639 |