

# Contents

<i>List of illustrations</i>	xii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xiii
<i>Preface: COVID-19</i>	xiv
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xvi
<i>Note on referencing style</i>	xviii
Introduction	1
<i>Adopting a rights-based framework</i> 3	
i) Improving our understanding of State duties to support the child-parent relationship in the context of temporary labour migration (TLM) 4	
ii) Identifying potential harms caused by TLM to rights that protect the child-parent relationship 7	
iii) Recommending measures to reduce the degree of interference by TLM with children's rights 9	
<i>Defining the scope</i> 10	
i) Other groups of children of migrant workers 10	
ii) Rights violations against migrant workers 12	
iii) How the CRC has been utilised 13	
<i>Explaining the method</i> 14	
i) A note on socio-legal research 14	
ii) Qualitative research component 15	
iii) Case study approach 17	
<i>Outlining the structure</i> 18	
i) Part A 18	
ii) Part B 19	
<b>PART A</b>	<b>23</b>
1 Understanding the landscape: TLM in context	25
1.1 <i>Introduction</i> 25	
1.2 <i>Remittances and development</i> 27	

1.3	<i>Transnationalism and State sovereignty</i>	30
1.4	<i>The feminisation of migration</i>	32
1.5	<i>Comparative analysis of labour-receiving countries</i>	34
1.5.1	Canada	35
1.5.2	Australia	36
1.5.3	Asian and Gulf regions	40
2	Normative and conceptual framework	41
2.1	<i>Introduction</i>	41
2.1.1	Articles 9 and 10(1)	42
2.2	<i>Why and how have CRC rights been used in the framework?</i>	43
2.2.1	The need for a framework that can account for context	45
2.2.2	Reasons for limiting the framework to CRC rights	46
2.2.3	Practical measures to guide States	49
2.3	<i>The interconnectedness of the rights in the framework</i>	50
2.3.1	The connection between the normative and conceptual framework and child development	51
2.3.2	Recognition of the relationship between familial separation and emotional well-being in the context of migration	54
2.4	<i>Conclusion</i>	58
3	General legal principles	59
3.1	<i>Introduction</i>	59
3.2	<i>The CRC's overarching principles</i>	61
3.2.1	Best interests of the child	61
3.2.2	Non-discrimination	64
3.2.3	Right to life, survival and development	67
3.2.4	Right to be heard	69
3.3	<i>The principle of international cooperation</i>	71
3.3.1	International cooperation and the CRC	71
3.3.2	States should not cause harm to children outside their jurisdiction	73
3.3.3	International cooperation in migration and development	76
3.3.4	International cooperation in regional human rights instruments	78
3.4	<i>The fundamental role of the family in human rights law</i>	81
3.4.1	Defining 'the family' to include the child-parent relationship	82
3.4.2	Protecting the family unit and family life	84
3.5	<i>Conclusion</i>	87

<b>PART B</b>	<b>89</b>
4 Article 27: Is TLM an appropriate form of assistance to parents to meet their children's development needs?	91
4.1 <i>Introduction</i>	91
4.2 <i>The framing of TLM as a form of assistance to parents to provide for their children's needs</i>	93
4.2.1 Defining appropriate measures of assistance	93
4.2.2 Challenges with addressing poverty through parental migration	96
4.2.3 The need for viable employment opportunities in labour-sending countries	102
4.2.4 Labour-receiving States promote selective aspects of TLM to their advantage	105
4.2.5 TLM may enhance children's education, health and material development outcomes	110
4.3 <i>Potential impacts of parental migration on children's psychosocial development needs</i>	113
4.3.1 Evidence from labour-sending countries	113
4.3.2 Heightened risks associated with the migration of the primary caregiver	116
4.3.3 The need for evidence-based policy responses to manage risks to children	121
4.4 <i>Significance of a child's age to measures of appropriate parental assistance</i>	126
4.4.1 Need for responses appropriate to children's developmental stages	129
4.5 <i>Conclusion</i>	132
5 Articles 10(2) and 5: Can TLM policies better support the maintenance of transnational child-parent relationships?	135
5.1 <i>Introduction</i>	135
5.2 <i>Understanding Article 10(2) in the context of TLM</i>	137
5.2.1 Limitations are only permitted in exceptional circumstances	137
5.2.2 Significance of Articles 9 and 10(1)	138
5.2.3 Broad limitations on reunification obligations do not apply to Art 10(2)	139
5.3 <i>Structural barriers to direct contact, personal relations and communication</i>	141
5.3.1 Restricting opportunities for regular physical contact during the period of employment	141

5.3.2	Reliance on regular communication to maintain personal relations transnationally	145
5.4	<i>Transnational parenting and the continuation of parental guidance</i>	157
5.4.1	Article 5 and the children of migrant workers	159
5.4.2	Supporting transnational parental presence	161
5.4.3	The role of the extended family	162
5.4.4	Relevance of stage in the life cycle and migration cycle	166
5.5	<i>Conclusion</i>	173
6	Article 16: Do TLM policies generate arbitrary interferences with children's family life?	176
6.1	<i>Introduction</i>	176
6.2	<i>Articles 9 and 10(1) in relation to TLM and children's right to family life</i>	179
6.2.1	The child-parent relationship is protected as part of a child's family life	179
6.2.2	Articles 9 and 10(1) in the context of TLM	181
6.2.3	Role of labour-receiving countries in protecting the family life of children in labour-sending countries	183
6.3	<i>Immigration control as a justification for prolonged child-parent separation</i>	185
6.3.1	Immigration control is not the primary reason for denying family accompaniment	185
6.3.2	The significance of citizenship in labour-receiving countries	188
6.3.3	Labour-receiving countries share a duty to address the impact of TLM on children	190
6.3.4	Parental 'choice' does not negate State duties to justify interferences with CRC rights	193
6.4	<i>Prolonged child-parent separation is not a necessary feature of TLM</i>	198
6.4.1	Opportunities to learn from other fields that manage child-parent separation	200
6.4.2	Remigration exacerbates the period of parental absence over the course of a childhood	203
6.4.3	Regional proximity and circulation-friendly visas together reduce periods of separation	206
6.4.4	Shifts away from permanent settlement increase the likelihood and foreseeability of disruption to family units	209

6.5	<i>Children's right to family unity is upheld if their parents are 'skilled' migrants</i>	212
6.5.1	Children of 'low-skilled' temporary migrants are discriminated against in terms of family rights	214
6.6	<i>Conclusion</i>	217
7	Articles 18 and 7: State obligations to protect the child-parent relationship: Securing a place for children's rights in TLM	220
7.1	<i>Introduction</i>	221
7.2	<i>Recognition of the importance of supporting parents as primary carers</i>	222
7.2.1	The significance of Articles 18 and 7 to children's well-being	222
7.2.2	Benefits of supporting the child-parent relationship to children's development	225
7.2.3	The value of the child-parent relationship in labour-receiving countries	229
7.2.4	Parental care is recognised as protective for the child	234
7.3	<i>Creating coherence with international development and migration governance agendas going forward</i>	235
7.3.1	Low-waged TLM presents challenges to commitments by labour-sending countries	236
7.3.2	Perceived willingness in labour-receiving States to engage with these challenges	238
7.3.3	Migration, family and the Sustainable Development Agenda	244
7.3.4	Emerging framework for the global governance of migration	249
7.3.5	Data collection, informed decision-making and effective risk management	253
7.4	<i>Conclusion</i>	257
	Conclusion	259
	<i>Appendix I: Summary of policy measures to reduce interferences caused by TLM with CRC provisions and general legal principles that protect the child-parent relationship in international human rights law</i>	268
	<i>Appendix II: Breakdown of key informants</i>	274
	<i>Bibliography</i>	275
	<i>Index</i>	298