
CONTENTS

<i>Foreword</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>ix</i>
Introduction	1
I. The Vice of Ideology	2
II. Three Aspects of the Human Condition.....	6
III. Three Constitutional Fundamentals: The Constitutional Balance	8
IV. The Scheme of the Book	10
V. Reflections.....	11
 1. The Rule of Law	13
I. Two Meanings of the Rule of Law	14
II. The Rule of Law – Where Next?.....	16
III. Independent and Impartial Adjudication.....	16
IV. Beyond the Thin Theory	19
V. <i>Unison v Lord Chancellor</i>	19
VI. Two Basics: Normative Statutory Interpretation and the Individual's Autonomy	22
VII. Possible Objections.....	23
VIII. Examples	24
IX. Conclusions	24
 2. Democracy	26
I. The Virtue of Democracy (1): Failed Candidates	27
II. The Virtue of Democracy (2): Its True Virtue.....	31
III. Referendums.....	33
IV. Conclusion – Restraint.....	36
 3. Two Moralities	38
I. The Nature of the Two Moralities	38
A. The Natural Provinces of Courts and Government	40
B. The Two Philosophies are Apt to their Respective Spheres	41

C. The Methods of Courts and Government are Suited to their Respective Moralities.....	41
D. The Two Moralities and the Constitutional Balance	43
II. Trespass	44
A. Criminal Law.....	45
B. Trespass by Government.....	46
C. Trespass by Courts	48
III. Justice.....	48
IV. Postscript.....	49
4. The Common Law	50
I. Constitutions	50
II. Statute Law	51
III. The Common Law and Statutory Interpretation	52
IV. The Constitutional Balance.....	57
V. The Methods of the Common Law.....	58
A. Precedent.....	58
B. Experiment	60
C. History.....	63
D. Distillation	64
E. Conclusions	65
5. Reason, Fairness and the Presumption of Liberty	66
I. Reason – <i>Wednesbury</i> and Proportionality	66
A. <i>Wednesbury</i>	66
i. A Variable Standard of Review	68
B. Proportionality	71
II. Fairness – <i>Ridge v Baldwin</i> ; Legitimate Expectation	74
A. <i>Ridge v Baldwin</i>	75
B. Legitimate Expectation.....	78
C. Overriding Public Interest	80
III. The Presumption of Liberty	80
A. Two Propositions	80
B. The Presumption of Liberty and the Rule of Law	82
C. The Principle of Minimal Interference.....	82
IV. Postscript – Forerunners of Judicial Review	83
6. Finding the Edge: Judicial Deference	86
I. Introductory Cases.....	86
II. Lord Hoffmann in the <i>ProLife</i> Case.....	88
III. Why Should the Courts Defer to Democratic Power?	90

7. Two Mistakes: Parliamentary Intent and the <i>Ultra Vires</i> Doctrine.....	96
I. The Intention of Parliament.....	96
A. The Type-Token Distinction.....	97
B. Can Parliamentary Intention be Saved? (1).....	98
C. Can Parliamentary Intention be Saved? (2).....	98
i. <i>Pepper v Hart</i>	99
ii. Interpreting Contracts and Statutes.....	101
D. Intent and Purpose	102
E. An Irony	102
F. The Constitutional Principles.....	103
II. The <i>Ultra Vires</i> Doctrine	103
A. The Nature of the <i>Ultra Vires</i> Doctrine.....	104
B. Objections to the <i>Ultra Vires</i> Doctrine	105
C. Modified <i>Ultra Vires</i>	106
D. Shortcomings of the Modified Theory.....	108
8. The Sovereignty of Parliament.....	110
I. Constitutional Statutes and Implied Repeal.....	112
II. The Nature of Parliamentary Sovereignty.....	114
III. Assaults on the Constitutional Balance.....	117
IV. <i>Auctoritas</i> and <i>Imperium</i>	119
9. Human Rights, Free Thought and Expression.....	121
I. Human Rights.....	121
A. Problems for the Constitutional Balance: The ECHR and the Strasbourg Jurisprudence	122
B. The Human Rights Act	124
C. Consequences	125
D. The <i>Ullah</i> Case.....	126
E. <i>Ullah</i> in Retreat	128
II. Free Thought and Expression.....	130
A. Free Expression under Attack	131
B. Offensive Speech	134
C. Religion	135
i. The <i>McFarlane</i> Case	136
Index	139