

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>Table of Cases</i>	<i>xi</i>
Introduction	1
I. The Extraterritorial Question	3
II. The Strasbourg Approach	8
III. The Claims of the Book	10
IV. The Structure of the Book	12
1. Creating Human Rights 'Jurisdiction'	15
I. Introduction	15
II. The Drafting of Article 1	15
A. Territory	17
B. The Move Towards 'Jurisdiction'	18
C. Preliminary Comments	21
III. The Problem with 'Jurisdiction'	23
IV. Creating Human Rights Jurisdiction	28
A. The Inevitability of the Mission	28
B. Giving Meaning to the Convention	30
V. Conclusion	32
2. Interpreting the European Convention on Human Rights	34
I. Introduction	34
II. The Convention's Interpretive Parties	35
A. Primary Interpreters	36
B. Secondary Interpreters	39
III. The 'Correct' Process of Interpretation	41
IV. Interpretive Communities	44
A. Purposive Enterprise	45
B. Bounded Argument Space	47
V. Article 1's Interpretive Communities	48
A. The Strasbourg Judicial Organs	49
B. Contracting Parties	52
C. National Courts	54
D. The Interaction of Interpretive Communities	59
VI. Conclusion	60

3. Incremental Normalisation: The Strasbourg Approach 1953–2001	61
I. Introduction	61
II. The Point of Departure	62
A. Interpretive Moves	64
III. Judicial Minimalism	65
A. Incrementalism	66
B. Retaining Flexibility	69
C. Minimalism and the Purposive Enterprise.....	74
IV. Enhanced Justification	76
A. Spatial Jurisdiction	77
B. Justifying Progression	81
V. Consideration of Context	83
VI. Conclusion	86
4. Deconstruction and Reconstruction: The European Court of Human Rights 2001–10.....	88
I. Introduction	88
II. Judicial Deconstruction: <i>Banković v Belgium and Others</i>	89
A. Defining Jurisdiction.....	90
B. Interpretive Moves	93
C. <i>Banković</i> and the Purposive Enterprise	98
III. Judicial Reconstruction	101
A. Legal Space.....	101
B. The Role of Public International Law	104
C. Dividing and Tailoring.....	105
D. Cause and Effect.....	107
E. Ongoing Moves	109
IV. An Unsettled Community.....	111
5. The Contracting Parties: Competing for Meaning.....	115
I. Introduction	115
II. Applying the ECHR to Iraq.....	117
A. A Costly Gamble	118
III. Three Categories of State Arguments	121
A. Orthodox Legal Debate	122
B. Orthodox Arguments in the Iraq Litigation	125
C. Politico-legal Arguments	128
D. Politico-legal Arguments in the Iraq Litigation.....	129
E. Unacceptable Submissions.....	132
F. Unacceptable Submissions Post-Iraq: Extraterritorial Derogations?	134
G. Preliminary Comments	137
IV. State Acceptance of the Convention's Extraterritorial Application.....	137
V. Conclusion	139

6. National Courts: The Systemisation of ‘Jurisdiction’	141
I. Introduction	141
A. In the Shadow of <i>Banković</i>	142
II. The Five Bases of Jurisdiction	145
A. Quasi-territorial Jurisdiction	145
B. Unpacking Quasi-territorial Jurisdiction	146
C. Spatial Jurisdiction	153
D. Jurisdiction Over Soldiers	158
E. Jurisdiction Through Control	161
F. Domestic Decisions	162
III. Conclusion	163
7. The European Court of Human Rights: Strategic (Re-)alignment	165
I. Introduction	165
A. <i>Al-Skeini v UK</i> : Systematising Article 1	166
II. Personal Jurisdiction: ‘State Agent Authority and Control’	168
A. Public Powers	169
B. Jurisdiction Through Force	176
C. Diplomatic and Consular Agents	184
D. Soldiers	186
III. Spatial Jurisdiction	188
A. Effective Control of an Area	188
B. Convention ‘Legal Space’	192
IV. Applying the Convention Abroad	193
V. Conclusion: Temporary Stability	198
8. A Return to the Drawing Board	200
I. Introduction	200
II. Guiding Principles	201
III. Conservative Options	203
IV. Progressive Options	204
V. A Proposal	206
A. Negative Obligations	207
B. Positive Obligations	209
VI. The Communities	211
A. Contracting Parties	211
B. National Courts	214
C. The Strasbourg Community	215
VII. Conclusion	217
Conclusion: Human Rights Imperialists	218
<i>Bibliography</i>	222
<i>Index</i>	233