CONTENTS

List of Abbreviations xix

1	Does	Intern	ation	al Law Matter in Cyberspace? 1
		7		tional Law: New Challenges for Law? 4
	1	.1.1 In	ternat	ional Law Is Applicable to Cyberspace and
				Operations 6
		,		International Air Law 7
				International Space Law 8
				International Cyberspace Law 9
				A Cyberspace Is Not a New Legal
				Domain 10
				B Nothing Prevents International Law from
				Applying to Cyber Activities 13
	1	.1.2 G	eneral	Challenges to International Law: International
				and Stability at Stake 13
			- 1	The Failure of the Last UNGGE 14
		1.	1.2.2	From Discussions between Like-Minded
				States to Fragmentation of
				International Law 19
		1.	1.2.3	The Increasing Role of Non-state Actors in
				International Law-Making and Norm-Building
				Processes 21
		1.	1.2.4	Soft Law rather Than Hard Law 24
		1.	1.2.5	The Chimeric Debate on the Adoption of a
				New Treaty 26
		1.		Concluding Remarks on the General
				Challenges to International Law 27

2

1.2 The Scope of the Book 28
1.2.1 The Scope Ratione Materiae: Cyberspace and Cyber
Operations 29
1.2.1.1 Cyberspace 29
1.2.1.2 Computer Networks and the Internet 29
1.2.1.3 The Nature of Cyber Operations 35
1.2.1.4 Cyber Operations as Part of a Composite
Operation 36
1.2.2 The Scope Ratione Personae: State-Sponsored
Cyber Operations 37
1.2.3 The Scope <i>Ratione Legis</i> : The Applicable Law 38
1.2.3.1 Critical Perspective on the Notion of Cyber
Warfare 39
1.2.3.2 Contextualising State-Sponsored Cyber
Operations under International Law 41
A Cyber Operations Occurring in
Peacetime 41
B Cyber Operations Occurring
during a Pre-existing Armed
Conflict 42
C Cyber Operations Occurring during an
Armed Conflict and Transforming Its
Nature 43
1.3 The Contribution of the Book 44
1.4 The Content of the Book 48
PART I Attribution
Attribution to a Machine or a Human: A Technical Process 55
2.1 Attribution to a Machine 56
2.1.1 How to Identify a Machine 57
2.1.1.1 Serial Number 57
2.1.1.2 MAC Address 58
A Definition 58
B Using MAC Addresses for
Identification 60
C MAC Addresses Spoofing 61

	2.1.1.3 IP Addresses 61	
	A A Brief Introduction to IP Addresses	62
	B Using IP Addresses for	
	Identification 65	
	C IP Address Spoofing 67	
	(i) IP Address Spoofing and DoS	
	Attack 67	
	D Conclusion Regarding IP Addresses	69
	2.1.2 Techniques for the Attribution of Cyber	
	Operations 69	
	2.1.3 Difficulties in the Process of Attribution 70	
	2.1.3.1 Multi-stage Cyber Operations 71	
	2.1.3.2 Conclusion Regarding Attribution to	
	a Machine 71	
22	Attribution to a Human 72	
2.2	2.2.1 BadRabbit 73	
	2.2.2 The 2015 Cyber Operations against the Energy	
	Sector in Ukraine 76	
	2.2.3 Cyber Operations against the Democratic Nationa	1
	Committee (DNC) 78	.1
		30
	2.2.5 Babar and Snowglobe 81	,,,
	2.2.6 Stuxnet 82	
	2.2.7 DDoS attacks against Estonia 84	
	2.2.8 Conclusion Regarding Attribution to	
	a Human 85	
23	Concluding Remarks on Attribution to a Machine or	
2.5	a Human 85	
	a Human 65	
	e Question of Evidence: From Technical to Legal	
Att	tribution 87	
3.1	State Practice on Evidence Relating to Cyber	
	Operations 88	
3.2	Evidence in International Law 91	
3.3	Documentary Evidence 94	
	3.3.1 Typology of Documentary Evidence That	
	May Be Collected in Relation to Cyber	
	Operations 95	
	- Permitter	

3

	3.3.2		ecessary Caution in the Collection and ment of Evidence 98	
	222			100
			alidity of Unlawfully Collected Evidence	100
3.4			ion Evidence 103	
		-	s Appointed by the Parties 103	101
			ble' Experts Consulted by the Court	104
			s Appointed by the Court 106	
			ors Appointed by the Court 107	
3.5	Conc	cluding !	Remarks on the Question of Evidence	108
Att	ributi	on to a	State 111	
4.1	and I Auth	Entities ority	of Cyber Operations Conducted by State Empowered to Exercise Governmental 114 s of State 115	Organs
		-	De Facto Organs of a State 118	
	4.1.2		s Empowered to Exercise Elements of	
			nmental Authority 123	
	4.1.3		s Placed at the Disposal of	
		-	er State 125	
	4.1.4	Ultra V	Vires Cyber Operations 126	
			ision Regarding Cyber Operations Cond	ucted
			re Organs and Entities Empowered to Ex	ercise
	A 1		nmental Authority 128	
4.2			of Cyber Operations Conducted by Priva	ite
		riduals	128	
	4.2.1		Operations Conducted under the Instruc	ctions,
			on or Control of the State 129	tha
		4.2.1.1	Distinction between <i>De Facto</i> Organ of	tne
			State and Acts Perpetrated under the	
			Instructions, Direction or Control of	
		1212	the State 129	tion of
		4.2.1.2	Diversity of Approaches on the Attribu	
			Acts Conducted under the Instructions	
			Direction or Control of the State 13	
			A The ICJ's <i>Nicaragua</i> Case and the 'E. Control' Test 130	Hective
			B The ICTY's <i>Tadić</i> Case and the 'Ov	oro11
				cran
			Control' Test 134	

		C The Articles on State
		Responsibility 139
		D The Armed Activities and Bosnian
		Genocide Cases: Restating the 'Effective
		Control' Test 140
		(i) The Armed Activities Case 140
		(ii) The Bosnian Genocide Case 141
		E Analysing and Navigating the Various
		Approaches 143
		4.2.1.3 Applying the Various Approaches to Cyber
		Operations 144
		A 2007 Estonia DDoS Attacks 146
		B Private Cybersecurity Companies 149
		4.2.1.4 Conclusion Regarding Cyber Operations
		Conducted under the Instructions, Direction
		or Control of the State 150
	4.2.2	Cyber Operations Conducted in the Absence or
		Default of the State 150
	4.2.3	Cyber Operations Endorsed by the State 151
	11210	4.2.3.1 Stuxnet 154
		4.2.3.2 Estonia 155
	4.2.4	Cyber Operations Conducted in the Context of Mob
		Violence, Insurrections and Civil Wars 156
43	Auto	nomous Cyber Operations 157
1.5		The Place of Autonomous Cyber Operations in
	1.5.1	Policy 159
	432	Hypothetical Examples of Autonomous Cyber
	11012	Systems 161
	4.3.3	Attribution of Autonomous Cyber Operations to the
	11010	Launching State 162
	434	Legal Questions Surrounding the Use of Autonomous
	21012	Cyber Operations 162
	4.3.5	Concluding Remarks on Autonomous Cyber
	21010	Operations 164
44	State	Practice on the Attribution of Cyber Operations to
1, 1	States	
		From Unilateral to Semi-collective and Collective
		Attribution 165
		4.4.1.1 WannaCry 166
		4.4.1.2 NotPetya 173
		I.I.I.W ITOIL CLYW 1/0

		4.4.1.4 2 The Ju	4 October 2018: The First Collective Attribution of Cyber Operations 178 From Collective Attribution to Collective Response 181 dicialisation of Attribution 182 asion Regarding State Practice on
		-	Remarks on the Attribution of Cyber o a State 184
	Part I -	- Conclus	ion 189
	PART	II The	Lawfulness of Cyber Operations
5			Wrongful Cyber Acts: Cyber Operations as of International Law 193
	5.1 Cyl	er Opera	tions as Inimical or Unfriendly Acts 193
		e Absence ionage	of a Specific Legal Regime for Cyber 198
		1 Definir 5.3.1.1 5.3.1.2 5.3.1.3	tions and Territorial Sovereignty 200 ng Territorial Sovereignty 201 State Sovereignty 201 Territorial Sovereignty 203 Territorial Sovereignty over Cyberspace and Computer Networks 206 States' Jurisdiction over Cyberspace 208
	5.3.	2 Cyber of a Sta	States' Jurisdiction over Cyberspace 208 Operations Violating the Territorial Sovereignty ate 209
		5.3.2.1	Only a State Can Violate the Territorial Sovereignty of Another 210
		5.3.2.2	Cyber Operations Penetrating a Foreign System 211
			A State Exercising Its Power on the Territory of Another State 214
			B The Absence of a Damage
			Requirement 215 C Evolution and Contestation in the Approaches of Some States 219
			D The Nature of the Target and Means of Infection 222

		E Concluding Remarks on Cyber
		Operations Penetrating the Targeted
		System 225
	5.3.2.3	Cyber Operations Not Penetrating a Foreign
		System 226
	5.3.2.4	Involuntary Violation of Territorial
		Sovereignty 228
	5.3.2.5	Cyber Operations Violating the Sovereignty of
		Numerous States: the Examples of WannaCry,
		NotPetya and BadRabbit 230
5.3.3	Conclu	isions Regarding Territorial Sovereignty 232
5.4 Cyb	er Opera	tions and the Principle of Non-intervention and
Non	-interfer	ence 232
5.4.1	The Pr	rinciple of Non-intervention and
	Non-ir	nterference 233
	5.4.1.1	The Content of the Principle 234
	5.4.1.2	The Various Forms of Intervention 237
	5.4.1.3	The Practice before the ICJ 237
5.4.2	. Cyber	Intervention 238
	5.4.2.1	The Principle of Non-intervention and
		Existing Examples of Cyber
		Operations 239
		A Sony Pictures Entertainment 239
		B Stuxnet 240
		C Estonia 241
	5.4.2.2	Cyber Operations as Part of a Composite
		Influence Operation 241
		A The Distinction between Preparatory
		1
		b The Huck of the Democratic Handlan
		Committee during the 2016 US
		Presidential Election 244
		C The Hack of 'En Marche!' during the
		2017 French Presidential Elections 250
		D Concluding Remarks on Cyber
		Operations and Influence Operations
		Aiming at Interfering in an Electoral
		Process 254
	5.4.2.3	Cyber Intervention during a
		Civil War 257

			Non-intervention 258
		5.4.3	Concluding Remarks on the Principle of
			Non-intervention 259
	5.5	Cybe	er Operations and Human Rights 260
			The Applicability of Human Rights Law to Cyber Operations 261
		5.5.2	Privacy in the Digital Age 264
		5.5.3	Other Human Rights 268
		5.5.4	Concluding Remarks on Cyber Operations and Human Rights 270
	5.6		cluding Remarks on the Lawfulness of State-Sponsored er Operations 271
6			eshold of Cyber Warfare: from Use of Cyber Force to rmed Attack 273
	6.1	Cybe	r Operations and the Prohibition of the Use
	0,1		orce 278
		6.1.1	The Prohibition of the Use of Force 278
			6.1.1.1 The Prohibition of the Use of Force and the International Court of Justice 279
			6.1.1.2 The Prohibition of the Use of Force and the Principle of Non-intervention 280
			6.1.1.3 The Status of the Prohibition of the use of
			Force under Customary International Law and
		612	jus Cogens 281 Cyber Operations as Prohibited Uses of Force 283
			The Prohibited Force Is Not Confined to 'Armed
			Force' 284
		6.1.4	Diversity of Approaches on 'Cyber Force' 288
			6.1.4.1 The Target-Based Approach 288
			6.1.4.2 The Instrument-Based Approach 289
			6.1.4.3 The Consequence-Based Approach 289
		6.1.5	The Criterion of 'Gravity' or 'Severity' of the Coercive
			Cyber Activity 290
			6.1.5.1 The Threshold of Gravity of the Prohibited
			Use of Force 291
			6.1.5.2 Cyber Operations and the Threshold of
			1 40171717 1617

		Producing Effects in the Real World and Those
		Producing Only Cyber Effects 296
	6.1.6	Cyber Operations Targeting Critical
		Infrastructures 298
		6.1.6.1 The Notion of Critical Infrastructures 299
		6.1.6.2 Critical Information Infrastructures 302
		6.1.6.3 Cyber Force and Critical
		Infrastructures 303
	6.1.7	The Attribution of a Coercive Cyber Activity to a State
		and Its Intent 304
		6.1.7.1 Attribution of the Coercive Cyber Activity
		Conducted by Proxies 305
		6.1.7.2 Coercive Cyber Activities Executed by Mistake
		or Involuntarily 306
	6.1.8	Relevant Circumstantial Evidence for the Qualification
	0,1,0	of a Coercive Cyber Activity as a Prohibited Use
		of Force 307
		6.1.8.1 The Circumstances of the Coercive Cyber
		Activity 307
		6.1.8.2 The Publicity of the Coercive Cyber
		Activity 308
	619	Conclusion on Cyber Operations and the Prohibition
	0.1.5	of the Use of Force 310
60	Cuba	r Threat and Threat of Cyber Force 311
0.2		The Prohibition of the Threat of Force 312
		Cyber Threat of Force 314 The Prohibition of the Threat to Percent to
	0.2.3	The Prohibition of the Threat to Resort to Prohibited Force 315
		6.2.3.1 The ICJ's Formula 315
	621	6.2.3.2 Open Threat to Resort to Cyber Force 317
	0.2.4	Demonstration of Cyber Force as a Prohibited Threat of Force 319
		6.2.4.1 Large-Scale Distributed Denial of Service
		Attacks as a Demonstration of Force 320
		6.2.4.2 A Computer Worm Causing Non-physical
		Damage as a Demonstration of Force 322
		6.2.4.3 A Computer Worm Causing Physical Damage
		as a Demonstration of Force 323
		6.2.4.4 Military Exercises 324

6.2.5 Building Cyber Capabilities Does Not Constitute a

Prohibited Threat of Force 325

	6.2.6 Conclusion Regarding the Threat of Cyber Force 327
	6.3 Cyber Armed Attack and Cyber Aggression 327
	6.3.1 The Effects of Cyber Operations 331
	6.3.1.1 The Consequences to Be Taken into
	Account 331
	6.3.1.2 Cyber Operations Having Physical
	Consequences 332
	6.3.1.3 Cyber Operations Having Only Non-physical Consequences 333
	6.3.2 Accumulation of Cyber Operations Short of an Armed
	Attack 334
	6.3.3 The Author of the Armed Attack 335
	6.3.4 The Target of the Armed Attack 339
	6.3.4.1 Cyber Operations Targeting Critical Infrastructures 341
	6.3.5 Conclusion Regarding Cyber Armed Attack 342
	6.4 Concluding Remarks on <i>Jus Contra Bellum</i> and Cyber Operations 342
7	Circumstances Precluding or Attenuating the Wrongfulness of Unlawful Cyber Operations 343
	7.1 Cyber Operations Conducted with the Consent of the Affected State 345
	7.2 Force Majeure 346
	7.3 Distress 347
	7.4 Necessity 348
	7.5 Concluding Remarks on Circumstances Precluding
	Wrongfulness 351
8	Cyber Operations and the Principle of Due Diligence 353
	8.1 Does a Duty to Prevent Any Use of State Cyber
	Infrastructures Exist? 358
	8.1.1 A State Has No Obligation to Have Absolute
	Knowledge of All Events and Activities on Its Territory
	or in Cyber Infrastructures under Its Control 359

		8.1.2 The Slippery Slope of Justifying Mass Surveillance 360
		8.1.3 The Difference between Cyber Operations Launched from or Solely Transiting through the Territory of the State 362
	8.2	The Absence of a Threshold of Harm 363
	8.3	The Duty of a State to Take Measures to Terminate an Unlawful Cyber Operation Using Its Infrastructure 366 8.3.1 Knowledge of the Territorial State 366 8.3.2 Measures to Terminate the Cyber Operation 367 8.3.3 Distinction between State of Transit and State of Launch 368
	84	Toward a Duty to Prevent the Potential Significant
	0.1	Transboundary Harm Caused by Cyber Operations 36
	85	Toward a Duty to Disclose Zero-Day
	0.5	Vulnerabilities 371
	86	Concluding Remarks on Cyber Due Diligence 374
		A THE COURT IN CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE
		II - Conclusion 377 AT III Remedies against State-Sponsored Cyber Operations
0	0.	a villamentative state on the larger particular of
9		e Responsibility and the Consequences of an rnationally Wrongful Cyber Operation 381
	9.1	Obligation of Cessation 382
		9.1.1 The Obligation of Cessation in the Event of a Distributed Denial of Service Attack 3859.1.2 The Obligation of Cessation Regarding the Intrusion
		of a Malware 386
		9.1.3 Concluding Remarks on the Obligation of Cessation 388
	9.2	Assurances and Guarantees of Non-repetition 388
		Obligation of Making Reparation 392
		9.3.1 Cyber Operations and the Notion of Injury 393
		9.3.2 Causality 394
		9.3.3 The Duty to Mitigate 395
		9.3.4 The Different Forms of Reparation 399 9.3.4.1 Restitution 402

	9.3.4.2 Compensation 405
	A Material Damage 407
	(i) Physical Damage Caused by Cyber
	Operations 407
	(ii) Non-physical Damage Caused by
	Cyber Operations 409
	(iii) Compensation for the Cost
	Resulting from the Removal of
	a Malware 410
	B Moral Damage 410
	9.3.4.3 Satisfaction 412
	9.3.4.4 Concluding Remarks on the Forms of
	Reparation 414
	9.3.5 The Difficult Reparation of the Consequences of
	NotPetya 414
	9.4 Shared Responsibility 416
	9.4.1 An Injury Caused by a Plurality of Internationally
	Wrongful Acts of Several States 417
	9.4.2 An Injury Caused by a Joint Internationally Wrongfu
	Act of Several States 418
	9.4.3 Concluding Remarks on Shared
	Responsibility 420
	9.5 Concluding Remarks on State Responsibility and the
	Consequences of an Internationally Wrongful Cyber
	Operation 421
10	Measures of Self-Help against State-Sponsored Cyber Operations 423
	ACARDADONA MARKALINA MARKATA ETA ETA ETA ETA ETA ETA ETA ETA ETA
	10.1 Retorsion 424
	10.1.1 Cyber Retorsion 426
	10.1.1.1 Estonia and Georgia 427
	10.1.1.2 Operation Ababil 428
	10.1.1.3 State Practice on Measures of
	Retorsion 431 10.1.2 Concluding Remarks on Retorsion 432
	10.2 Countermeasures 433
	10.2.1 The Main Characteristics of Countermeasures 437
	10.2.1.1 Unilateral Measures 437
	10.2.1.2 Prior Wrongful Act 438

		10.2.1.3 Inter-State Dimension 439
		10.2.1.4 Reversibility 440
		10.2.1.5 Objective of Countermeasures 441
		10.2.1.6 Non-forcible Character of
		Countermeasures 442
	10.2.2	Procedural Conditions 443
		10.2.2.1 Call for Reparation and
		Notification 444
		10.2.2.2 Urgent Countermeasures 445
	10.2.3	Substantive Conditions 448
		10.2.3.1 Necessity 448
		10.2.3.2 Proportionality 448
		10.2.3.3 Prohibited Countermeasures 451
		10.2.3.4 Temporal Elements of
		Countermeasures 452
	10.2.4	Third States and Countermeasures 453
		10.2.4.1 Third States Affected by
		Countermeasures 453
		10.2.4.2 Third States Conducting
		Countermeasures 454
		A Solidarity Measures 454
		B Measures in Response to a Violation of
		an Erga Omnes Obligation 457
	10.2.5	Concluding Remarks on Countermeasures 460
10.3	Self-D	efence 460
	10.3.1	Ratione Personae Requirement 463
	10.3.2	Ratione Temporis Requirement 465
		10.3.2.1 Beginning of Self-Defence 466
		A Interceptive Self-Defence 468
		B Pre-emptive Self-Defence 472
		C Preventive Self-Defence 476
		D Concluding Remarks on the
		Beginning of Self-Defence and on
		Anticipatory Forms of Self-
		Defence 476
		10.3.2.2 End of Self-Defence 477
	10.3.3	Ratione Conditionis Requirement: Necessity and
		Proportionality 478
		10.3.3.1 Necessity 479
		10.3.3.2 Proportionality 481

10.3.3.3 Concluding Remarks on *Ratione*Conditionis Requirement 482

10.3.4 Remedies against Cyber Use of Force Short of an Armed Attack 483

10.3.4.1 Armed Reprisals against Use of Force Short of an Armed Attack 483

10.3.4.2 Accumulation of Cyber Operations Short of an Armed Attack 487

10.3.5 Concluding Remarks on Self-Defence 487

10.4 Concluding Remarks on Measures of Self-Help against State-Sponsored Cyber Operations 488

Part III - Conclusion 491

11 Conclusion 493

Appendix – Table Assessing the Lawfulness of Cyber Operations and Potential Responses 499

Select Bibliography 502 Index 509