

Table of contents

Acknowledgements	xi
Introduction	I
1 Introduction	I
2 Research objective and research questions	I
3 Methodology and materials	3
4 Object of study	4
5 Outline of the study	7
Table of Abbreviations	9
I Definition and characteristics of peace support operations	II
1.1 Introduction	II
1.2 Initiation of peace support operations under UN command	12
1.3 Development of the concept of peace support operations	14
1.4 New developments in peace support operations after 1988	17
1.5 Regional organizations and peace support operations, in particular the North Atlantic Treaty Organization	22
1.6 Upsurge in United Nations peace support operations and Brahimi Report	28
1.7 Definition of peace support operations	30
1.8 Legal status of peace support operations	34
1.8.1 The importance of legal status for this study	34
1.8.2 Legal status of United Nations peace support operations	35
1.8.3 Military command and control over United Nations peace support operations	38
1.8.4 Legal status of North Atlantic Treaty Organization peace support operations	41
1.8.5 Legal status of IFOR and SFOR	42
1.8.6 Military command over the Implementation Force and Stabilization Force	45
1.8.7 Legal status of the Kosovo Force	45
1.8.8 Military command and control over the Kosovo Force	47
1.8.9 Legal status of ISAF	48
1.8.10 Military command and control over ISAF	48
1.9 Conclusion	49
2 Attribution of conduct of peace support operations	51
2.1 Introduction	51
2.2 The basis of state responsibility	51
2.3 The basis of the responsibility of international organizations	53
2.4 Accountability and international responsibility	61

2.5	International legal personality of the United Nations and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization	64
2.6	State responsibility and attribution	68
2.7	Responsibility of international organizations and attribution	69
2.7.1	Attribution to the organization	69
2.7.2	Grounds for attribution to member states of conduct of the organization	75
2.7.3	The Westland case	77
2.7.4	The International Tin Council cases	79
2.7.5	Arguments <i>de lege ferenda</i> and the Institut de Droit International	80
2.8	<i>Lex specialis</i> in International Humanitarian Law	84
2.8.1	Absolute responsibility	84
2.8.2	Responsibility toward individuals	86
2.9	State practice of attribution of conduct of United Nations peace support operations	88
2.9.1	Lump sums in the Congo	88
2.9.2	Claims settlement procedures	89
2.9.3	National case law	93
2.9.4	International Law Commission	96
2.10	Analysis of state practice in connection with United Nations peace support operations	98
2.10.1	Application of the principle in article 6 of the draft articles on state responsibility	98
2.10.2	<i>Ultra vires</i> acts	105
2.10.3	Common Article I of the 1949 Geneva Conventions and Additional Protocol I: A due diligence obligation of the state in respect of conduct of an organization	107
2.11	State practice of attribution of conduct of North Atlantic Treaty Organization peace support operations	115
2.11.1	Claims settlement procedures	115
2.11.2	The Legality of the Use of Force cases	116
2.11.3	The Banković case	120
2.12	Analysis of state practice in connection with North Atlantic Treaty Organization peace support operations	124
2.13	Conclusion	125
3	Scope of application of international humanitarian law to peace support operations	131
3.1	Introduction	131
3.2	International humanitarian law norms	132
3.3	Sources of obligations for the United Nations and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization	134
3.4	International humanitarian law treaties	136
3.5	Constituent instruments and derogation by the UN Security Council	139

3.6	General international law	151
3.7	Equal application of international humanitarian law	159
3.8	State practice and practice of the United Nations	161
3.8.1	A developing practice	161
3.8.2	Correspondence with the ICRC	161
3.8.3	Practice in respect of ONUC	162
3.8.4	UNFICYP Regulations	163
3.8.5	Travaux préparatoires of Additional Protocol I	163
3.8.6	Model Participating State Agreement	165
3.8.7	Status of Forces Agreements	165
3.8.8	Convention on the Safety of United Nations and Associated Personnel	166
3.8.9	Secretary-General's Bulletin on the Observance by United Nations Forces of International Humanitarian Law	171
3.8.10	Statute of the International Criminal Court	175
3.8.11	Statute of the Special Court for Sierra Leone	177
3.9	State practice and North Atlantic Treaty Organization practice	179
3.10	International or non-international conflict	182
3.11	Threshold of application	186
3.12	Occupation	193
3.13	Content of rules of general international law	199
3.14	Conclusion	203
4	Legal consequences of accountability for breaches of international humanitarian law by peace support operations	209
4.1	Introduction	209
4.2	The ILC's draft articles on state responsibility and legal consequences	209
4.2.1	Regulation of legal consequences	209
4.2.2	Cessation	210
4.2.3	Assurances and guarantees of non-repetition	211
4.2.4	Reparation	214
4.2.5	Restitution	214
4.2.6	Compensation	216
4.2.7	Satisfaction	217
4.2.8	<i>Lex specialis</i>	218
4.2.9	Legal consequences of serious breaches of peremptory norms	218
4.3	The law of international responsibility of international organizations and legal consequences	220
4.4	<i>Lex specialis</i> in international humanitarian law	222
4.5	Practice in respect of conduct of peace support operations	224
4.5.1	Introduction	224
4.5.2	ONUC	224
4.5.3	UNPROFOR	226
4.5.4	UNOSOM	228
4.5.5	SFOR	232

	4.5.6	KFOR	233
	4.6	Conclusion	233
5		Existing mechanisms for invoking accountability for violations of international humanitarian law by peace support operations	241
	5.1	Introduction	241
	5.2	The general principles of invocation of international responsibility by a state	242
	5.2.1	Invocation of international responsibility of a state by another state: Part Three of the draft articles on state responsibility	242
	5.2.2	The concept of injured state in international humanitarian law	248
	5.2.3	Diplomatic protection	250
	5.3	Invocation of international responsibility of an international organization by a state	251
	5.3.1	General principles	251
	5.3.2	Diplomatic protection	252
	5.4	Interim conclusion	254
	5.5	Invocation of international responsibility by an individual	255
	5.5.1	A rights-based perspective of international humanitarian law	255
	5.5.2	Procedural capacity	257
	5.5.3	Procedural capacity under national law implicating a violation of international law	264
	5.6	Using human rights machinery to invoke international responsibility for violations of international humanitarian law by peace support operations	267
	5.6.1	Availability of human rights monitoring bodies	267
	5.6.2	Subject-matter jurisdiction as an obstacle	270
	5.6.3	Territorial application of human rights: another obstacle	273
	5.6.4	Lack of jurisdiction over international organizations: a third obstacle	280
	5.7	Conclusion	282
6		Proposals for new mechanisms for invoking accountability	287
	6.1	Introduction	287
	6.2	A mechanism to implement the responsibility of the United Nations	288
	6.3	A mechanism to implement the responsibility of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization	290
	6.4	A mechanism to ensure the accountability of peace support operations	292
	6.4.1	Previous proposals	292
	6.4.2	The ombudsperson concept	294
	6.4.3	The ombudsman concept and international humanitarian law	298
	6.4.4	The application of the ombudsman concept to international organizations	299

6.4.4.1	Preliminary observations	299
6.4.4.2	The World Bank Inspection Panel	300
6.4.4.3	The European Union Ombudsman	302
6.4.4.4	The Kosovo Ombudsperson Institution	306
6.5	Proposal for an ombudsman in peace support operations	310
6.6	Conclusion	313
Findings and conclusions		315
1	Findings	315
2	Main conclusions	322
Table of cases		327
1	Permanent Court of International Justice	327
2	International Court of Justice	327
3	International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia	328
4	International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda	329
6	European Court of Human Rights	329
7	European Commission of Human Rights	330
8	Inter-American Court of Human Rights	330
9	Inter-American Commission on Human Rights	330
10	Arbitration awards	330
11	Domestic courts	331
11.1	Austria	331
11.2	Belgium	331
11.3	Colombia	331
11.4	Canada	331
11.5	Germany	331
11.6	Greece	332
11.7	Italy	332
11.8	Netherlands	332
11.9	Switzerland	332
11.10	United Kingdom	332
11.11	United States	333
Bibliography		335
	Books	335
	Articles and book contributions	338
Index		359