

	<i>Contents</i>	vii
	<i>Abbreviations</i>	xii
CHAPTER 1	<b>New European Methods of Legal Protection</b>	
	Antti Jokela	1
1	European procedural law as a part of international procedural law	3
2	Recent developments in European procedural law	4
2.1	Council of Europe Recommendations	4
2.2	Civil procedure	5
2.3	Mediation and alternative dispute resolution	7
2.4	Criminal procedure	8
2.5	A view to the future	9
3	Forms of mediation and alternative dispute resolution in Finland	10
4	Court-annexed mediation	13
4.1	Finland	13
4.2	Norway	14
4.3	Sweden	15
5	Arbitration	16
6	Class action	17
6.1	The uses and scope of the class action	17
6.2	The new Finnish Act on Class Actions	19
CHAPTER 2	<b>Party Autonomy and Access to Justice</b>	
	Laura Ervo	21
1	State justice or private justice?	23
1.1	Nordic trends	23
1.2	Functions of proceedings	24
1.3	Freedom of the procedure	26
2	Two Finnish worlds of friendly settlements	27
2.1	Friendly settlements in civil litigation	27
2.1.1	The reform of 1993	27
2.1.2	The role of substantive law	29
2.1.3	The reform of 2005	32
2.2	Court mediation	35
3	The role of judges	36
3.1	In civil litigation	36
3.2	In court mediation	38
4	Problems and visions	40

<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>Duty of Loyal Interpretation; Theoretical Structures and Practical Solutions</b>	
	Minna Gräns	43
1	Introduction	45
2	The mechanisms of application of EC law in national courts	46
2.1	Direct applicability	47
2.2	Direct effect	48
2.3	Loyal interpretation	50
2.3.1	Content of loyal interpretation from an EC law perspective	53
2.3.2	When does the duty of loyal interpretation arise?	60
2.3.3	The consequences when loyal interpretation cannot be conducted	61
3	Loyal interpretation in Finnish legal theory and practice	61
3.1	The principles guiding loyal interpretation according to the Finnish legal doctrine	61
3.2	Incompatibility between EC law and national law	64
3.2.1	Introduction	64
3.2.2	The concept of incompatibility	65
3.2.3	Uncertainty about what constitutes legally relevant circumstances	66
3.2.4	Incompatibility of legal consequences	68
3.2.5	<i>Contra legem</i> situations	71
4	About some spill-over effects of the duty of loyal interpretation	79
5	Conclusions	82
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>Procedural Autonomy: A Misnomer?</b>	
	Pekka Haapaniemi	87
1	Genesis of the term of procedural autonomy	89
1.1	The scope and meaning of the notion of procedural autonomy	89
1.2	The backdrop of genesis of the term	91
2	Jurisprudence of the ECJ	93
2.1	The <i>Rewe/Comet</i> jurisprudence	93
2.1.1	The caveats of equivalence and effectiveness	93
2.1.2	The role of Article 10	94
2.1.3	The meaning of the procedural rule	94
2.1.4	<i>Rewe/Comet</i> jurisprudence and the doctrine of direct effect	95
2.1.5	<i>Rewe/Comet</i> jurisprudence and the doctrine of consistent interpretation	96
2.1.6	A balancing test	97

2.1.7	The principle of equivalence and effectiveness: not minimum protection	98
2.2	Procedural supremacy: maximizing the effectiveness of EU law	99
2.3	Effective judicial protection: a governing principle	102
2.4	Fair trial	104
2.5	Indirect challenge of legality of Community measures	104
2.6	Overall assessment of the jurisprudence: towards more coherent case law?	106
3	Criticism of the procedural autonomy	109
3.1	Kakouris's criticism and its aftermath	109
4	The use of the term procedural autonomy in ECJ case law	111
4.1	Adoption of term 'the principle of procedural autonomy of the Member States' into the ECJ's jurisprudence	111
4.2	Assessment of the labelling of the procedural autonomy as a 'principle'	112
4.3	Abandonment of procedural autonomy as a principle?	113
5	The analysis of the term 'procedural autonomy'	114
5.1	Warnings of nominalism	114
5.2	'Procedural autonomy' as shorthand, metaphor and term	114
5.3	What is behind procedural autonomy: Union's competence versus Member States' competence?	115
6	Conclusions	118
7	Future prospects?	120
CHAPTER 5	<b>'Burden of Proof' in Cases Concerning Free Movement</b>	
	Kenneth Nordback	123
1	Introductory issues and outline	125
2	The ECJ's case law	126
3	Case law in Sweden and Finland	131
4	Terminological clash	137
5	The 'burden' – a closer look	142
5.1	The court's duty to investigate	142
5.2	The intensity of court control	144
5.3	The significance of the 'evidentiary requirement'	145
6	Concluding observations and final remarks	146
CHAPTER 6	<b>Europeanization of Insolvency Law</b>	
	Tuula Linna	151
1	Introduction	153
2	Insolvency law – what is it?	154
2.1	Insolvency and its regulation	154

2.2	Enforcement and insolvency	156
3	Europeanization?	158
4	Binding instruments	161
4.1	Supranational legislation, Directives and Treaties	161
4.1.1	Collective proceedings	161
4.1.2	Enforcement	166
4.2	European Convention on the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms	171
4.2.1	General remarks	171
4.2.2	Enforcement	172
4.2.3	Collective proceedings	178
5	Non-binding guidance	179
6	Development through case law	184
6.1	European Court of Human Rights	184
6.2	European Court of Justice	190
7	Europeanization through globalization	191
8	Concluding remarks	194
CHAPTER 7	<b>Prosecutors, the Objectives of Criminal Policy and the Situation in Finland</b>	
	Mikko Vuorenpää	197
1	Introduction	199
2	Objectives of criminal policy and the means for achieving the objectives	199
3	Criminal procedure as a means for achieving the objectives of criminal policy	201
4	The prosecutor as promoter of the objectives of criminal law	206
4.1	The prosecutor and issues of certainty of sanction in the pre-trial investigation of complainant offences	209
4.2	Awareness of the parties and the legitimacy of the criminal justice system	211
4.2.1	Introduction	211
4.2.2	Example: the right to private prosecution	212
4.2.3	Fulfilling the duty to provide advice and the disqualification of the prosecutor	215
	<i>Bibliography</i>	218
	<i>Official Publications</i>	232
	<i>Table of Cases</i>	238
	<i>Index</i>	246