

Contents

| | |
|---|------|
| <i>Preface</i> | vii |
| <i>Abbreviations</i> | xvii |
| <i>Table of Cases</i> | xix |
| <i>Table of Instruments and Legislation</i> | xxv |
| Introduction | 1 |
| 1. Law's Impunity | 9 |
| I. Introduction | 9 |
| II. The Contested Concept of Impunity | 11 |
| III. The Passive and Active Dimensions of Legal Impunity | 14 |
| IV. Passive Impunity: Structural Characteristics of the Ordinary Legal Process | 17 |
| A. Compartmentalisation of Law | 18 |
| B. Impunity Through the Juridification of War | 22 |
| C. Impunity within the 'Dark Sides' of Law | 24 |
| D. The Irresponsibility of Law | 26 |
| E. Impunity within the Multifarious Character of Responsibility | 27 |
| F. Law's Denial: Determining Damage, Injury and Wrong | 44 |
| G. Law's Assertion: Legitimacy, Legality and Rightfulness..... | 47 |
| V. Active Impunity: Legal Exceptionalism | 50 |
| A. Pardon, Amnesty and Immunity..... | 51 |
| B. International Humanitarian Law | 62 |
| C. States of Exception..... | 65 |
| VI. Conclusion | 66 |
| 2. The Modern Private Military Company | 68 |
| I. Introduction | 68 |
| II. A Contemporary Overview of the Modern Private Military Company | 69 |
| III. Impunity for Private Military Company Involvement in Atrocities | 73 |
| A. Perpetration and Collusion in Torture: Abu Ghraib Prison..... | 74 |
| B. Indiscriminate and Disproportionate Use of Force: Iraq | 76 |
| C. Sex-Trafficking and Prostitution Rings: Bosnia..... | 82 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------|
| IV. | The Question of Accountability | 84 |
| V. | Modern Private Military Company: Criminal Enterprise or Legitimate Business? | 89 |
| VI. | Watchguard International: The Conception of the Modern Private Military Company | 93 |
| VII. | Historical Pressure Towards Military Privatisation..... | 96 |
| VIII. | Contemporary Trends and Future Trajectories Towards Military Privatisation..... | 100 |
| IX. | Towards a Definition and Typology for the Modern Private Military Company..... | 103 |
| | A. Existing Typologies for the Private Military Company Industry | 106 |
| | B. One-Dimensional Typologies..... | 107 |
| | C. Official Typologies..... | 111 |
| | D. Two-Dimensional Typologies | 113 |
| X. | Proposed Typology: Segregating Civilian and Military Corporations on the Principle of Distinction..... | 115 |
| | A. Adapting the Principle of Distinction..... | 117 |
| | B. The Severity of Harm Dimension: Control and Exercise of Violence | 118 |
| | C. The Proximity of Harm Dimension: Direct Participation in Hostilities | 120 |
| | D. Combining the Revised Typology | 121 |
| XI. | Conclusion | 121 |
| 3. | Law's Failure to Marginalise and Criminalise | |
| | Mercenary Activity | 123 |
| | I. Introduction | 123 |
| | II. Maritime Piracy: <i>Hostes Humani Generis</i> | 127 |
| | III. Soldiers of (Mis-) Fortune: A Brief Historical Background of Mercenary Activity | 131 |
| | IV. The Pejorative Status of Mercenaries | 134 |
| | V. The Moral Mercenary and the Problem of Differentiating Organised Violence..... | 139 |
| | A. Motivational Objections..... | 140 |
| | B. Armed Reluctance Objections..... | 143 |
| | VI. Mercenaries and Mercenarism in the Law | 146 |
| | A. The Definitional Impasse..... | 147 |
| | B. The Departure in Definitional Approach | 151 |
| | C. Different Definitions..... | 154 |
| | VII. International Humanitarian Law and the Marginalisation of Mercenaries | 156 |
| | A. The Laws of Neutrality and the Hague Conventions..... | 157 |
| | B. Geneva Law and Belligerency Status..... | 162 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------|
| VIII. | The International Crime of Mercenarism? | 168 |
| A. | Momentum towards Criminalising Mercenarism | 169 |
| B. | The Luanda Crucible of the International Conventions Criminalising Mercenarism | 172 |
| C. | An International Crime of Mercenarism? | 178 |
| D. | Mercenarism and the Crime of Aggression | 179 |
| IX. | Conclusion | 182 |
| 4. | Passive Private Military Company Impunity | 184 |
| I. | Introduction | 184 |
| II. | The Path to Corporate Juridical Personhood | 186 |
| A. | Interpretive Denial for the PMC arising from its Corporate Juridical Personhood | 192 |
| B. | Interpretive Assertion for the PMC arising from its Corporate Juridical Personhood | 193 |
| III. | Passive Impunity for the PMC Under Contract Law | 194 |
| A. | Freedom of Contract and its Consequences | 197 |
| B. | Doctrine of Privity and its Limitations | 200 |
| C. | Direct Enforcement by Contractual Parties | 202 |
| D. | Limitations of Third-Party Enforcement | 204 |
| E. | The Horizontality of Contract: Equal and Independent Parties | 206 |
| F. | Contract's Creation and Legitimation | 206 |
| G. | Relative Equality of Contractual Parties: State and Command Responsibility | 208 |
| H. | The Indifference of Contract Law | 211 |
| I. | Inadequacy of Contractual Remedies | 213 |
| J. | Denial Through Contract Law? | 216 |
| IV. | Passive Impunity: <i>Jus ad Bellum</i> and Corporate Aggression | 217 |
| A. | The Prohibition of the Use of Force | 220 |
| B. | Acts of Aggression and the Crime of Aggression | 225 |
| V. | Passive Impunity Generated by the Doctrine of Command Responsibility | 226 |
| A. | The Doctrine of Command Responsibility | 229 |
| B. | Internal Command Responsibility within PMCs | 235 |
| C. | External Command Responsibility | 238 |
| D. | Superior Responsibility in PMC Regulation | 239 |
| VI. | Conclusion: The Perpetuation of Passive Private Military Company Impunity | 241 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 5. Active Private Military Company Impunity | 245 |
| I. Introduction..... | 245 |
| II. Active Impunity from Criminal Law: <i>Societas Delinquere Non Potest</i> | 246 |
| A. International Criminal Law and the Juridical Person..... | 249 |
| B. In the Docket of the United States Federal Courts..... | 255 |
| C. Active Impunity: Suspension of US Criminal Law for the PMC..... | 256 |
| III. Active Impunity: Foreclosing Civil Remedies..... | 263 |
| A. The Alien Tort Claims Act 1789..... | 265 |
| B. Corporate Liability Under ATCA..... | 269 |
| C. Distinguishing Direct Perpetration by PMCs from the Corporate Complicity Jurisprudence Under ATCA..... | 273 |
| D. State Action Requirement..... | 277 |
| E. An Overview of Active Impunity Under ATCA..... | 280 |
| F. PMCs Under ATCA..... | 282 |
| G. Overcoming Active Impunity Under ATCA?..... | 286 |
| H. Broadening and Deepening Active Impunity: The Supreme Court Decision in <i>Kiobel</i> | 288 |
| I. Torture Victims Protection Act 1991..... | 292 |
| IV. Conclusion: Active Private Military Company Impunity and Interpretative Denial Revisited..... | 295 |
| 6. The Future of Private Military Impunity | 298 |
| I. Introduction..... | 298 |
| II. Regulation of PMCs on the International Plane..... | 300 |
| A. Irresponsible Regulation: Neglecting the Temporal Dimension of Responsibility..... | 301 |
| B. The Path to Self-regulation in the United Kingdom..... | 305 |
| C. The Montreux Document Process..... | 308 |
| D. International Code of Conduct..... | 312 |
| E. ICoC Draft Charter and Association..... | 315 |
| F. International Law Mechanisms: The UN Working Group Draft Convention..... | 318 |
| G. International Law Mechanisms: The UN Intergovernmental Working Group..... | 321 |
| III. Incentivising Robust Self-Regulation..... | 323 |
| A. Collective Responsibility of Self-regulatory Bodies..... | 324 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| IV. The Future of Impunity in PMC Regulation..... | 327 |
| A. The Future of PMC Impunity: Repetition of Existing Regulatory Problems | 328 |
| B. The Future of PMC Impunity: Regulatory Content and Contortion..... | 330 |
| C. The Future of PMC Impunity: Regulatory Competition and Capture..... | 331 |
| D. The Future of PMC Impunity: Composition and Competence of the Regulators | 333 |
| E. The Future of PMC Impunity: Compliance and Consequence of Regulation..... | 334 |
| V. Conclusion | 335 |
| 7. Conclusion..... | 337 |
| <i>Selected Bibliography.....</i> | <i>342</i> |
| <i>Index</i> | <i>362</i> |