

Contents

<i>Tables of cases</i>	viii
<i>Table of legislation</i>	xv
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xvii
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xix
1 Introduction	1
<i>Context</i> 1	
<i>Structure</i> 6	
2 Srebrenica and Rwanda and the legal aftermath	8
<i>Introduction</i> 8	
<i>Background: Rwanda</i> 8	
<i>Background: Srebrenica</i> 11	
<i>Legal steps taken in domestic courts</i> 14	
<i>The Netherlands: Mothers of Srebrenica and</i> <i>Nuhanović/Mustafić</i> 18	
<i>Command and control within peacekeeping operations</i> 22	
<i>Individual actors' responsibility for human rights</i> <i>protection</i> 25	
<i>Mustafić & Nuhanović v Karremans, Franken & Oosterveen</i> 26	
<i>Article 2 of the European Convention on Human Rights:</i> <i>the duty to investigate</i> 28	
<i>Concluding remarks</i> 30	
3 Omission liability in domestic law	32
<i>Introduction</i> 32	
<i>Omission liability: a definition</i> 33	
<i>General perspectives in common and civil law compared</i> 34	
<i>Elements required for omission liability</i> 35	
<i>Degree of liability</i> 52	

<i>Practical limitations to domestic adjudication of peacekeeping conduct</i>	54
<i>Concluding remarks</i>	56
4 Scope for omission liability under international law?	57
<i>Introduction</i>	57
<i>Classification of the peacekeeping commanders' conduct</i>	58
<i>Command responsibility</i>	60
<i>Commission by omission</i>	62
<i>The elements of omission liability in jurisprudence</i>	63
<i>Legal and practical constraints to omission liability for peacekeeping commanders</i>	74
<i>Concluding remarks</i>	76
5 A legal obligation to act for the peacekeeping commander?	77
<i>Introduction</i>	77
<i>Peacekeeping mandates and rules of engagement</i>	78
<i>Peacekeeping operations and the relationship between IHL and IHRL</i>	83
<i>International Human Rights Law</i>	86
<i>International Humanitarian Law</i>	92
<i>Concluding remarks</i>	99
6 The peacekeeping commander as bystander: A moral obligation to act?	100
<i>Introduction</i>	100
<i>Bystander: a definition</i>	101
<i>Bystander liability: a moral duty to act</i>	102
<i>Actus reus</i>	104
<i>Domestic law: actus reus</i>	104
<i>Presence and control or authority as approval or encouragement</i>	105
<i>Presence as an active or effective contribution to the crime</i>	108
<i>International law: actus reus</i>	110
<i>Subordination as a requirement for authority?</i>	113
<i>How do authority and presence result in encouragement or approval?</i>	113
<i>Substantial contribution</i>	115
<i>Domestic law: mens rea</i>	117
<i>Voluntary presence: not distancing from the crime</i>	119

<i>International law: mens rea</i>	121
<i>Concluding remarks</i>	127
7 The <i>lege ferenda</i> perspective on the legal framework of peacekeeping	129
<i>Introduction</i>	129
<i>A failure to act: why accountability on the individual level?</i>	129
<i>'Failure to protect' as a separate offence in criminal law?</i>	133
<i>Civil responsibility</i>	138
<i>A separate paradigm for peacekeeping?</i>	141
<i>Concluding remarks</i>	142
8 Conclusion	143
<i>Bibliography</i>	148
<i>Index</i>	161