

# Contents

Preface.....	xiii
Author .....	xxi
<b>Chapter 1</b> The 1900s and Onward: Beginnings.....	1
<i>Drew Rae and Sidney Dekker</i>	
1.1 Introduction .....	1
1.2 Safety and Risk: Divine or Human?.....	2
1.3 Modernity and Humankind’s Control of Nature .....	5
1.4 Modernity and Safety Engineering .....	6
1.5 The Rise of Safety Institutions .....	8
1.5.1 The Politics of Safety .....	8
1.5.2 Inspectors and Investigators .....	10
1.5.3 Standards and Professional Associations .....	12
1.5.4 Insurers, the State, and Workers’ Compensation .....	13
1.6 Safety Science and the Role of the Human .....	18
Study Questions.....	18
References and Further Reading .....	19
<b>Chapter 2</b> The 1910s and Onward: Taylor and Proceduralization .....	23
2.1 Introduction .....	24
2.2 The Intersection of Science, Management, and Safety .....	24
2.2.1 Foundations of Procedures and Safety .....	24
2.2.2 Taylor and Time Studies.....	25
2.2.3 The Gilbreths and Motion Studies .....	27
2.2.4 Differences and Similarities between Time and Motion Studies .....	28
2.2.5 Implications for Safety Science.....	30
2.3 Procedures, Safety Rules, and “Violations” .....	32
2.3.1 The Relationship between Safety and Rules .....	32
2.3.2 Model 1 and the Scientific Management Legacy .....	34
2.3.3 “Violations” as a Preoccupation of Model 1 .....	37
2.4 Model 2: Applying Procedures as Substantive Cognitive Activity .....	43
2.4.1 Procedures and the Complexity of Work .....	43
2.4.2 Procedures as Resources for Action.....	47
2.4.3 Work-as-Imagined Versus Work-as-Done .....	50
2.5 Model 2 and Safety.....	52
2.5.1 The Limits of Prespecified Guidance .....	52
2.5.2 Failing to Adapt or Adaptations That Fail .....	53

2.6	2.5.3 Closing the Gap or Understanding It?.....	54
	Scientific Management in Safety Today .....	54
2.6.1	Workers Are Dumb, Managers Are Smart.....	54
2.6.2	Taylor and Linear, Closed, Predictable Work .....	56
2.6.3	Methodological Individualism .....	57
	Study Questions.....	58
	References and Further Reading .....	59
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>The 1920s and Onward: Accident Prone.....</b>	<b>63</b>
3.1	Introduction .....	63
3.2	The Discovery (or Construction) of Accident-Proneness .....	64
3.2.1	Accident-Prone Workers.....	64
3.2.2	German Origins of Accident-Proneness .....	65
3.2.3	English Origins of Accident-Proneness .....	67
3.2.4	French Origins of Accident-Proneness.....	69
3.3	The Social Conditions of Possibility .....	70
3.3.1	Modernization, Measurement, and Statistics .....	70
3.3.2	Individual Differences and Eugenics .....	72
3.3.3	Idiots, Imbeciles, and Morons .....	73
3.4	Accident-Proneness Today .....	74
3.4.1	The Growth of Dissent.....	74
3.4.2	Recent Studies of Accident-Proneness .....	76
3.4.3	Accident-Proneness Versus Systems Thinking .....	78
3.5	Expertise and Accident-Proneness .....	79
3.5.1	Are Experts More Accident Prone? .....	79
3.5.2	Expertise and Organizational Vulnerability to Accidents .....	81
	Study Questions.....	83
	References and Further Reading .....	83
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>The 1930s and Onward: Heinrich and Behavior-Based Safety .....</b>	<b>87</b>
4.1	Introduction .....	88
4.2	A ‘Scientific’ Examination of Accident Causation .....	89
4.2.1	Heinrich’s Study .....	89
4.2.2	Bird and ‘Damage Control’ .....	90
4.3	Three Pillars of Heinrich’s Theory .....	94
4.3.1	Injuries Are the Result of Linear, Single Causation...94	94
4.3.2	The Ratio between Occurrences, Minor Injuries and Major Injuries .....	97
4.3.3	Worker Unsafe Acts.....	98
4.4	Behaviorism and BBS.....	99
4.4.1	Behaviorism, Industrialization, and Progress .....	105
4.4.2	Behaviorism and Industrial Psychology .....	106
4.4.3	Productivity Measures as Safety Measures.....	107

4.5	BBS.....	112
4.5.1	Impact across the Decades .....	112
4.5.2	Does BBS Work?.....	116
4.6	Critiques of Heinrich, Behaviorism and BBS .....	119
4.6.1	The Primacy of ‘Human Error’ .....	119
4.6.2	The Triangle (or Pyramid).....	121
4.6.3	Chain-Of-Events Thinking and Decomposition Assumptions.....	131
	Study Questions.....	133
	References and Further Reading .....	134

## **Chapter 5** The 1940s and Onward: Human Factors and Cognitive Systems Engineering ..... 137

5.1	Introduction .....	138
5.1.1	The Place of Human Factors in the 20th Century....	138
5.1.2	Human Factors Change Behavior, But Not by Targeting Behavior .....	139
5.1.3	The Emergence of ‘Human Factors’ .....	140
5.1.4	Work Inside and Outside the Research Laboratory....	144
5.2	Human Factors and Changes in Psychology .....	146
5.2.1	Behaviorism: Changing the Legacy .....	146
5.2.2	The First Cognitive Revolution: Information Processing .....	147
5.2.3	Losing Situation Awareness .....	152
5.2.4	The Second Cognitive Revolution.....	156
5.3	Cognitive Systems Engineering .....	158
5.3.1	Human Error (Again) .....	158
5.3.2	Jens Rasmussen’s Foundational Work.....	159
5.3.3	Two Stories of Error .....	162
5.3.4	Increased Socio-Technological Complexity .....	164
5.3.5	Joint Cognitive Systems .....	166
5.3.6	Patterns in Cognitive Systems Engineering .....	174
	Study Questions.....	183
	References and Further Reading .....	184

## **Chapter 6** The 1950s, 1960s, and Onward: System Safety ..... 189

*Drew Rae and Sidney Dekker*

6.1	Introduction .....	189
6.2	Historical Background.....	192
6.2.1	Fly-Fix-Fly.....	192
6.2.2	Missiles, Nuclear, and Aerospace .....	193
6.2.3	Complexity, Culture, and Computers.....	196
6.3	Formal Concepts of System Safety .....	197
6.3.1	Hazards.....	197

6.3.2	Risk Assessment.....	200
6.3.3	Safety Cases .....	203
6.3.4	Reliability and Safety .....	204
6.3.5	System Safety and Understanding Complex System Breakdowns .....	207
6.4	System Safety as the Absence of Negative Events?.....	214
	Study Questions.....	215
	References and Further Reading .....	216
<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>The 1970s and Onward: Man-Made Disasters.....</b>	<b>219</b>
7.1	Man-Made Disaster Theory .....	219
7.1.1	Safety and Social Science .....	220
7.1.2	Disasters Do not Come Out of the Blue .....	221
7.2	The Incubation Period .....	222
7.2.1	Stages of Incubation .....	223
7.2.2	Failures of Foresight.....	227
7.2.3	The Creation of Local Rationality .....	229
7.2.4	Studying the ‘Information Environment’ .....	233
7.2.5	Data Overload.....	239
7.2.6	Groupthink .....	240
7.2.7	Addressing the Barriers: Safety Imagination.....	243
7.3	Models of Drift and Disaster Incubation after Turner.....	245
7.3.1	Normalization of Deviance .....	247
7.3.1.1	Continued Belief in Safe Operations .....	248
7.3.1.2	Goal Interactions and Normalization of Deviance .....	249
7.3.2	Practical Drift.....	251
7.3.3	Drift into Failure .....	254
7.3.4	Similarities and Overlap in Drift Models .....	258
7.3.5	Drift into Failure and Incident Reporting .....	259
7.4	Man-Made Disaster Theory and Societal Emancipation .....	260
	Study Questions.....	262
	References and Further Reading .....	263
<b>Chapter 8</b>	<b>The 1980s and Onward: Normal Accidents and High Reliability Organizations .....</b>	<b>267</b>
	<i>Verena Schochlow and Sidney Dekker</i>	
8.1	Normal Accident Theory.....	267
8.1.1	Linear versus Complex Interactions.....	272
8.1.2	Loose versus Tight Coupling.....	274
8.1.3	The Paradox of Centralized Decentralization.....	276
8.2	High Reliability Organizations.....	281
8.2.1	The Beginnings of HRO: La Porte, Roberts, and Rochlin .....	281

8.2.2	Weick and Sutcliffe's Concept of Mindfulness .....	285
8.2.3	HRO and the Capacity for Safe Operations .....	288
8.3	Sagan and "The Limits of Safety" .....	290
8.3.1	NAT and HRO in a Historical Case .....	290
8.3.2	NAT and HRO in Debate .....	293
8.3.2.1	Competitive versus Complementary Approaches .....	293
8.3.2.2	Are Accidents Preventable? .....	294
8.3.2.3	Tightly Coupled and Interactively Complex Systems.....	294
8.3.2.4	Organizational Structure .....	295
8.3.2.5	Technology and Human Operators.....	295
8.3.2.6	Outcome of the Debate .....	296
8.4	Further Development.....	296
8.4.1	Further Development of NAT .....	296
8.4.2	Further Development of HRO .....	298
	Study Questions.....	301
	References and Further Reading .....	301

<b>Chapter 9</b>	<b>The 1990s and Onward: Swiss Cheese and Safety Management Systems.....</b>	<b>305</b>
9.1	Introduction .....	306
9.1.1	Thinking about the System Had Been Long in the Making .....	306
9.1.2	Impossible Accidents.....	307
9.2	Swiss Cheese .....	308
9.2.1	Defenses-In-Depth and Barriers .....	308
9.2.2	The Impetus for Swiss Cheese .....	310
9.2.3	Resident Pathogens.....	311
9.2.4	Porous Layers of System Defenses.....	314
9.2.5	Shared Assumptions between Reason, Heinrich, and Bird .....	317
9.3	Linearity, Judgments, and Bureaucratic Order.....	319
9.3.1	Linearity and Proportionality.....	319
9.3.2	Judgments Rather than Explanations .....	324
9.3.3	Administrative Ordering and Safety Bureaucracies .....	325
9.4	Swiss Cheese and Safety Management Systems .....	327
9.4.1	Directing Attention Away from the Sharp End Alone .....	327
9.4.2	Demonstrating That Safety Risks Are Well Managed .....	328
9.4.3	The Safety of Work, or the Work of Safety? .....	330
	Study Questions.....	335
	References and Further Reading .....	336

<b>Chapter 10</b>	The 2000s and Onward: Safety Culture.....	339
10.1	The Origins of Safety Culture.....	340
10.1.1	Continuing the Trend into the Blunt End .....	340
10.1.2	Political Origins .....	341
10.1.3	Theoretical Origins .....	345
10.1.4	Safety Climate.....	347
10.2	Safety Culture Today .....	348
10.2.1	What Is It Exactly?.....	348
10.2.2	A Functionalist Approach to Safety Culture.....	351
10.2.3	An Interpretivist Approach to Safety Culture.....	359
10.3	Problems and Critique .....	363
10.3.1	Cultures That Are ‘Better’ or ‘Worse’ .....	363
10.3.2	Consistency and Agreement Versus Conflict and Contradiction .....	366
10.3.3	Safety Culture and Power.....	368
10.3.4	Methodological Individualism .....	370
10.3.5	Is Safety Culture Useful for Regulators or Investigators?.....	372
10.3.6	Do Safety Culture Assessments Have Predictive Value?.....	379
10.3.7	Safety Culture Says so Much, It Ends up Saying Very Little .....	385
	Study Questions.....	387
	References and Further Reading .....	388
<b>Chapter 11</b>	The 2010s and Onward: Resilience Engineering .....	391
	<i>Johan Bergström and Sidney Dekker</i>	
11.1	The Need for Resilience .....	391
11.1.1	Resilience Engineering as the Assurance of Capacity to Adapt.....	391
11.1.2	Resilience and Complexity.....	395
11.1.3	Complex Systems Operate Far from Equilibrium....	398
11.1.4	Resilience in Other Fields .....	399
11.2	Resilience Engineering as a New Discipline in Safety Science.....	402
11.3	Resilience Ideas of Rasmussen, Woods, and Hollnagel .....	410
11.3.1	Tracing Resilience Engineering to the Risø Community in the 1980s.....	410
11.3.2	Woods: The Adaptive Universe.....	413
11.3.3	Hollnagel: Cornerstones, Functional Resonance, and Trade-Offs .....	414
11.4	Dimensions of Resilience Engineering .....	417
11.5	Three Analytical Traps for Resilience Scholars to Avoid .....	418
	11.5.1 The Reductionist Trap .....	418

11.5.2 The Moral Trap .....	420
11.5.3 The Normative Trap .....	422
Study Questions.....	424
References and Further Reading .....	424
<b>Postscript .....</b>	<b>431</b>
<b>Index.....</b>	<b>437</b>

how to fly a biplane. Well, check that kind of curiosity I had once take a working course that awarded me a Certificate in Occupational Health and Safety. But to say that it either educated or qualified me would be a stretch.

For at least a decade, I have worked increasingly with those who have been trained as safety practitioners—in a range of industries. Much of the education they have gone through was organized around applied laws, regulations, policies, best-practices methods, and techniques, often driven by peer-to-peer influence—inspirations from what others in other organizations have done—and hand-me-down knowledge.

And actually, not all safety practitioners were educated as safety practitioners. In fact, many safety practitioners have backgrounds in operations, in HR, in engineering or chemistry or a mechanical trade or psychology, or something else altogether.

Whether it's a background in safety practice or something else, they are all great advocates for what David Tiven would say, the safety of work and the work of safety. What I have learned, though, is that all could benefit from a more solid grounding in the foundations of the science of safety such as it is. I hear Erik Hollnagel justifiably say (Hollnagel 2019),

I have found that without that grounding, it is easy to cement the wheel and happily embrace an idea or slogan simply because it is shiny and seemingly new. Without that grounding, it is tempting to apply a putative solution (such as putting a barrier in place) to a problem that is not only immune to the solution, but may well bite back by spawning more problems than the safety practitioner bargained for. Without that grounding, it is seductive to fall for expensive solutions (enterprise-wide introduction of new measures, hazard awareness campaigns, piggles for supposed eye protection, and more) feed them into the organization, even when they are based on a particular and localized notion of danger that is not applicable at all.

I have chosen to take a broad approach to organizing this book. That is, I have divided it up into three parts. The first is founded on the ideas of a particular era—each roughly a decade or two in a century. It then explores how these have influenced our thinking in safety in other decades or ever since. Of course, the lines and categories of what belongs to what decade, or what inspired what exactly can always be debated, as it should. They are tools in this book to indicate an impression of linear, historical truth. Rather, they are a way for me to organize the ideas, and for you to start thinking with them.

How are today's best-known programs, for example, linked to a late-19th-century definition of safety? How do people's moral and mental deficits? What do Heinrich's and Bachman's models have in common with the Swiss Cheese