Table of Contents

| List of | Abbreviations | xiii |
|---------|---|------|
| | | |
| 1. Int | troduction | 1 |
| | ICJ Jurisprudence | 4 |
| | Scholarship | 7 |
| | State Practice | 8 |
| 1.4 | Provenance of Necessity and Proportionality | 9 |
| | Approach of the Current Study | 13 |
| | Initial Observations | 17 |
| | 1.6.1 The Nature and Function of Necessity and Proportionality | 17 |
| | 1.6.2 The Purposes of the Right of Self-Defence | 20 |
| | 1.6.2.1 Halting, Repelling or Preventing an Armed Attack | 20 |
| | 1.6.2.2 An Overriding Defensive Purpose | 21 |
| | 1.6.2.3 Fulfilling the Defensive Purpose | 22 |
| 2 Ne | ecessity | 25 |
| | Introduction | 25 |
| | Necessity in Other Areas of International Law | 26 |
| | General and Specific Necessity | 30 |
| 2.0 | 2.3.1 A Novel Taxonomy | 30 |
| | 2.3.2 General and Specific Necessity and ICJ Jurisprudence | 32 |
| | 2.3.3 General Necessity, Specific Necessity and Armed Attack | 35 |
| 2.4 | General Necessity—Other Options Open to a State | 38 |
| | 2.4.1 General Principles | 38 |
| | 2.4.2 State Practice | 42 |
| | 2.4.2.1 Defending States | 42 |
| | 2.4.2.2 Reactions of Other States | 46 |
| | 2.4.2.3 Proving General Necessity? | 50 |
| | 2.4.3 ICJ Jurisprudence and Alternative Measures | 52 |
| | 2.4.4 A Temporal Distinction—Ongoing, Imminent and Completed | |
| | Armed Attacks | 55 |
| 2.5 | General Necessity-Imminence, Immediacy and Duration | 55 |
| | 2.5.1 The Timing of the Armed Attack | 57 |
| | 2.5.1.1 Ongoing Armed Attacks | 57 |
| | 2.5.1.2 Imminent Armed Attacks | 58 |
| | 2.5.1.3 Completed Armed Attacks | 71 |
| | 2.5.2 The Timing of the Defending State's Response to an Armed Attack | 72 |
| | 2.5.2.1 An Immediate Need to Respond | 72 |
| | 2.5.2.2. An Ongoing Threat | 76 |

X TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | 2.6 | Specific Necessity—Targeting | 84 |
|----|-----|--|-----|
| | | 2.6.1 A Jus ad Bellum Military Target | 84 |
| | | 2.6.2 IHL and a Jus ad Bellum Connection with the Armed Attack | 88 |
| | | 2.6.3 Prior Complaints and the Effectiveness of the Defensive Measures | 93 |
| | 2.7 | Conclusions | 95 |
| 3. | Pro | portionality | 97 |
| | 3.1 | Introduction | 97 |
| | 3.2 | Proportionate to What? | 100 |
| | | 3.2.1 Quantitative or Teleological Proportionality? | 101 |
| | | 3.2.1.1 Alternative Models and Academic Opinion | 101 |
| | | 3.2.1.2 State Practice—Consideration in Abstract Terms | 104 |
| | | 3.2.1.3 State Practice—Specific Incidents and the | |
| | | Quantitative Model | 107 |
| | | 3.2.1.4 State Practice—Specific Incidents and the | |
| | | Teleological Model | 111 |
| | | 3.2.1.5 State Practice—Pleadings Before the ICJ | 115 |
| | | 3.2.1.6 ICJ Jurisprudence | 117 |
| | | 3.2.2 A Mixed Model of Proportionality | 122 |
| | 3.3 | Applying Proportionality and Identifying 'Excessiveness' | 125 |
| | | 3.3.1 Scale, Nature, Methods and Means | 127 |
| | | 3.3.2 Timing—A Distinct Element of Proportionality? | 130 |
| | | 3.3.3 Geography | 132 |
| | | 3.3.3.1 ICJ Jurisprudence | 133 |
| | | 3.3.3.2 State Practice | 134 |
| | | 3.3.3.3 Principle and General Application | 136 |
| | | 3.3.4 Effects of the Use of Force on the Defending and Attacking | |
| | | States—General Considerations | 137 |
| | | 3.3.4.1 The Defending State | 137 |
| | | 3.3.4.2 The Attacking State | 138 |
| | | 3.3.5 Civilian Harm | 139 |
| | | 3.3.6 Effect on Third-Party Rights | 146 |
| | | 3.3.6.1 General Considerations and State Responsibility | 146 |
| | | 3.3.6.2 Neutrality and Former Belligerent Rights | 147 |
| | | 3.3.7 Effect on the Environment | 153 |
| | 3.4 | Overlaps and Distinctions | 155 |
| | | 3.4.1 Jus ad Bellum Proportionality v IHL Proportionality | 155 |
| | | 3.4.2 Jus ad Bellum Proportionality v Jus ad Bellum Necessity | 162 |
| | | 3.4.2.1 Proportionality and General Necessity | 162 |
| | | 3.4.2.2 Proportionality and Specific Necessity | 163 |
| | 3.5 | Overall Assessment and Enduring Application | 166 |
| | | Conclusions | 171 |

| 4. Necessity and Proportionality and Armed Attacks | | |
|---|-----|--|
| by Non-State Actors | 172 | |
| 4.1 Introduction | 172 | |
| 4.2 Necessity and Armed Attacks by NSAs | 176 | |
| 4.2.1 The Host State and Alternative Measures | 176 | |
| 4.2.2 'Unwilling or Unable' | 182 | |
| 4.2.3 Targeting the Host State—State Practice | 185 | |
| 4.2.3.1 Operation Enduring Freedom | 185 | |
| 4.2.3.2 Global Coalition Action in Syria | 187 | |
| 4.2.3.3 UNSC Involvement—A Limiting Factor | 202 | |
| 4.2.4 NSA Armed Attacks—Timing and Imminence | 205 | |
| 4.2.4.1 Temporal Duration of the Right of Self-Defence | 205 | |
| 4.2.4.2 Imminence | 206 | |
| 4.3 Proportionality and Armed Attacks by NSAs | 208 | |
| 4.3.1 A More Permissive Response vis-à-vis Terrorist NSAs | 209 | |
| 4.3.2 Geography | 213 | |
| 4.3.3 Effect on the Host State and its Citizens | 215 | |
| 4.3.3.1 General Considerations | 216 | |
| 4.3.3.2 Global Coalition Action in Syria | 220 | |
| 4.4 Conclusions | 226 | |
| 5. Conclusions | 227 | |
| Bibliography | | |
| Index | | |