

Contents

| | |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| <i>Prologue</i> | v |
| <i>Contributors</i> | xxi |
| <i>Table of Cases</i> | xxiii |
| <i>Table of Legislation</i> | xxxv |

PART I: NATIONAL REPORTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Report on Belgium | 1 |
| HERVE BRIBOSIA | |
| General Introduction | 1 |
| Doctrinal Review | 4 |
| Conditions of domestic applicability | 4 |
| Direct applicability | 6 |
| Supremacy over conflicting domestic law | 10 |
| <i>Kompetenz-Kompetenz</i> | 21 |
| Contextual observations | 28 |
| “Specificity” of European Community law | 28 |
| Political and constitutional context | 29 |
| Evolution of jurisprudence | 33 |
| References | 37 |
| 2. Report on France | 41 |
| JENS PLOTNER | |
| The Reception of the Direct Effect and Supremacy Doctrine by the French Supreme Courts | 41 |
| Doctrinal development of the three supreme courts | 42 |
| <i>Kompetenz-Kompetenz</i> | 50 |
| The Social Context of Legal Change Concerning European Union Law in France | 54 |
| Chronology of the social context | 55 |
| The influence of doctrine on jurisprudence | 71 |
| 3. Report on Germany | 77 |
| JULIANE KOKOTT | |
| Introduction | 77 |
| Doctrinal Matrix | 79 |
| Constitutional law background | 79 |
| Doctrinal matrix before and after the <i>Maastricht</i> decision | 81 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Doctrines, Jurisprudence and Beyond | 82 |
| Doctrinal shifts of the Federal Constitutional Court's jurisprudence and their possible causes | 82 |
| The European Courts and national courts | 108 |
| Beyond doctrine | 126 |
| Conclusions | 129 |
| 4. The Italian Constitutional Court and the Relationship Between the Italian Legal System and the European Union | 133 |
| MARTA CARTABIA | |
| The theoretical bases of the Italian membership to the European Union | 133 |
| The Italian Constitutional Court case-law concerning the supremacy of European law: from the denial of supremacy to the supremacy under condition | 135 |
| The Italian Constitutional Court case-law concerning the direct effect of European law | 140 |
| The Italian Constitutional Court and the <i>kompetenz-kompetenz</i> principle | 142 |
| The contribution of " <i>la doctrine</i> " to the development of the Italian Constitutional Court's attitude towards European integration | 144 |
| 5. Report on Italy | 147 |
| P. RUGGERI LADERCHI | |
| Introduction | 147 |
| Beyond the Doctrine | 148 |
| The actors—judges | 148 |
| The actors— <i>la doctrine</i> | 152 |
| Cross-fertilisation | 155 |
| Looking back at the "Community path" of the Constitutional Court | 157 |
| The origin of the Constitutional Court's doctrine: a device used to avoid declaring the EC Treaty contrary to the Constitution | 157 |
| Error! Reference source not found—some observations on the post- <i>Granital</i> case law | 166 |
| The problem of competence | 169 |
| 6. Report on the Netherlands | 171 |
| MONICA CLAES and BRUNO DE WITTE | |
| The Dutch Sources of the European Court's Doctrine | 172 |
| Direct effect and supremacy of international treaties in the Netherlands prior to <i>Van Gend en Loos</i> | 172 |
| The influence of the Dutch legal order on the European Court's doctrine | 178 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| The Reception of the European Court's Doctrine in the Netherlands | 181 |
| The Question of " <i>Kompetenz-Kompetenz</i> " | 187 |
| Beyond the Law: the Search for Explanations | 188 |
| The traditional openness of the Dutch legal system | 188 |
| Separation of powers and the judicial function | 190 |
| Judicial empowerment? | 191 |
| The comparative dimension as a factor in the explanation | 192 |
| Judicial dialogue | 193 |
| Legal pragmatism | 194 |
| 7. Report on the United Kingdom | 195 |
| P. P. CRAIG | |
| Constitutional Doctrine within the United Kingdom: the Impact of the EC | 195 |
| Supremacy: the traditional debate in the United Kingdom | 195 |
| Supremacy and the judicial response prior to <i>Factortame</i> | 197 |
| Supremacy and the <i>Factortame</i> litigation | 200 |
| Supremacy and Community law after <i>Factortame</i> | 203 |
| Direct effect | 204 |
| Preliminary rulings | 205 |
| " <i>Kompetenz-Kompetenz</i> ": Practice and Doctrine | 206 |
| Doctrine, Jurisprudence and Beyond | 209 |
| Judicial identity, national identity and biting the constitutional bullet | 209 |
| The balance of power between different branches of government: the not-so-hidden agenda | 212 |
| Judicial empowerment, national courts and new tasks | 216 |
| The impact of legal culture: the common law method and the reception of community law | 218 |
| Judicial discourse: the vertical dimension | 220 |
| Judicial discourse: the horizontal dimension | 222 |
| Individual litigants: national courts and the ECJ | 222 |
| Judicial composition and the role of individual judges | 223 |
| Conclusion | 224 |
| PART II: COMPARATIVE ANALYSES | |
| 8. Explaining National Court Acceptance of European Court Jurisprudence: a Critical Evaluation of Theories of Legal Integration | 227 |
| KAREN ALTER | |
| National Courts: the Critical Intermediaries in Legal Integration | 227 |
| Alternative Explanations of National Judicial Behaviour in Legal Integration | 229 |
| Legalism: legal logic and legal reasoning as the motor of legal integration | 230 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Neo-realism: national interests as the motor of legal integration | 234 |
| Neo-functionalism: self-interest as the motor of legal integration | 238 |
| Inter-court competition explanations: bureaucratic politics as the motor of legal integration | 241 |
| Conclusion: Legal Integration in a Comparative Political Perspective | 246 |
| Political support as a pre-condition for continued legal integration? | 247 |
| Preliminary ruling system and the ECJ as a pre-condition for continued legal integration? | 249 |
| References | 251 |
| 9. The Role of National Courts in the Process of European Integration: Accounting for Judicial Preferences and Constraints | 253 |
| WALTER MATTLI AND ANNE-MARIE SLAUGHTER | |
| Introduction | 253 |
| The Role of National Courts in EC Legal Integration | 257 |
| Judicial preferences | 259 |
| Constraints on the process of legal integration | 265 |
| Conclusion | 276 |
| 10. Sovereignty and European Integration: the Weight of Legal Tradition | 277 |
| BRUNO DE WITTE | |
| Introduction | 277 |
| Sovereignty and the Demands of Community Law: the Development of "Peaceful Coexistence" (1945–1990) | 281 |
| Limitation of sovereignty as the constitutional basis of EC membership | 281 |
| Sovereignty as a limit to the reception of Community law | 287 |
| Sovereignty and the European Union: an Unavoidable Conflict? | 293 |
| The reassertion of state sovereignty in the aftermath of "Maastricht" | 293 |
| Sovereignty: resilient or obsolete? | 301 |
| 11. Constitutional Dialogues in the European Community | 305 |
| ALEC STONE SWEET | |
| Introduction | 305 |
| Constitutionalising the Treaty System | 306 |
| The process of constitutionalising the treaty system | 306 |
| Understanding constitutionalisation | 308 |
| Constitutional Dialogues: Three Problems of Supremacy | 312 |
| Supremacy and the problem of constitutional review | 312 |
| Supremacy and the problem of fundamental rights | 317 |
| Supremacy and the constitutional limits to integration | 319 |
| A preliminary assessment | 324 |
| A criticism | 325 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Constitutional Dialogues: Supremacy, Litigation and Policy-making | 325 |
| Study the case law of national courts | 326 |
| (Re)-specify judicial interests | 327 |
| Correlate judicial outcomes with factors external to the law | 329 |
| Study the behaviour of litigators | 330 |
| Conclusion | 330 |
| 12. Constitutional or International? The Foundations of the Community Legal Order and the Question of Judicial Kompetenz-Kompetenz | 331 |
| J. H. H. WEILER AND ULRICH R. HALTERN | |
| 13. Epilogue: The European Courts of Justice: Beyond “Beyond Doctrine” or the Legitimacy Crisis of European Constitutionalism | 365 |
| J. H. H. WEILER | |
| <i>Index</i> | 393 |