

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Part I The General Structure of Legal Reasoning	15
1: Scientific versus Heuristic Legal Reasoning	17
Preliminary Remarks	17
Scientific Legal Reasoning	18
Heuristic Legal Reasoning	24
The Heuristic View Assessed	43
2: The Sources of Linguistic Vagueness	52
Introduction	52
Linguistic Uncertainty and Vagueness	54
Open Texture	54
Ambiguity	56
Other Forms of Context-Dependence	58
Imprecision	59
Essentially Contestable Concepts	61
Incompleteness	71
Dummy Standards and Pragmatic Vagueness	73
3: Value Pluralism and Absence of a Hierarchy of Norms	76
Preliminary Remarks	76
The Concept of Value Pluralism	77
Value Pluralism in the Law	82
Norm Collision in Adjudication	85
4: Precedent and the 'No Law' Situation as Sources of Legal Uncertainty	91
Introduction	91
Common and Civil Law	92
Common Vagueness in Precedent	95
Value Pluralism in Precedents	97
Rule Instability and the <i>Topoi</i> of Case Law Interpretation	98
Precedent – A Summary	106
The 'No Law' Situation	110
Conclusion	114

x *Table of Contents*

5: Legal Reasoning – The Interpretative Stage	115
Introduction	115
The Scientific Approach to Legal Reasoning – Theories and Practice	117
The Heuristic Approach – Complementary Perspectives	140
The Three-Stage Model	147
Conclusion	155
Part II The Legal Reasoning of the Court of Justice of the EU	159
6: Vagueness and Value Pluralism In the Primary Materials of the EU Legal Order	161
Introductory Remarks	161
Linguistic Vagueness	162
Multi-Lingualism	174
Value Pluralism	175
Precedent	180
Gaps in the Law	183
Conclusion	184
7: The Legal Reasoning of the Court of Justice I – The Available <i>Topoi</i>	187
Introduction	187
Linguistic Criteria	188
Systemic Criteria	191
Purposive Criteria	207
Comparative Criteria	215
Genetic (Intention-Based) and Historical Arguments	217
Special Legal Arguments	219
Legal Dogmatic, Empirical and General Practical Arguments	223
The Distinction between Law and Fact, and the Division of Labour Between the Court of Justice and National Courts	225
The Principle of the National Procedural Prerogative and the Law of Remedies	228
Temporal Limitation	229
Conclusion	230
8: The Legal Reasoning of the Court of Justice II – The Approach to Precedent	234
Introduction	234
The Relevant Structural Features of EU Law	235
The Basic Features of the ECJ’s Approach to Precedent	237
The Sources of Precedent Uncertainty – A Brief Reminder	251
The <i>Topoi</i> of Case Law Interpretation	251
The Level of Generality, Rule Stability and Precedent Weight	269
Conclusion	274

9: The Legal Reasoning of the Court of Justice III – The Cumulative Approach	278
The Three-Stage Approach	278
The ECJ’s Cumulative Approach	280
The Cumulative Approach Compared to the Three-Stage Model	291
Areas of Detailed Legislation – The Primacy of Literal Argumentation	294
Areas Involving Constitutional Issues and Fundamental Principles	298
The Variability of the Cumulative Approach according to Subject Area – a Qualification	311
The ECJ’s Cumulative Approach – Distinctive Features and its Relation to the Three-Stage Model	312
Conclusion	316
10: The Legal Reasoning of the Court of Justice IV – The In-Built <i>Communautaire</i> Tendency of the Cumulative Approach	318
Introduction	318
The Closer Union Objective	319
The Objectives of the EU Legal Order	322
Systemic Arguments	323
The Limits of the In-Built <i>Communautaire</i> Tendency	325
Conclusion	329
11: The Legal Reasoning of the Court of Justice V – The Steadying Factors	332
Introduction	332
The ‘Legal’ Steadying Factors	334
The ‘Extra-Legal’ Steadying Factors	349
Conclusion	434
Conclusion	437
Epilogue	445
<i>Index</i>	453