

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <i>Table of Abbreviations</i> | xiii |
| <i>Table of Cases</i> | xv |
| <i>Table of Legislation</i> | xli |
| | |
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 1. The free movement of goods and services in the scheme of the EC Treaty | 1 |
| 2. Subject matter | 4 |
| a) Goods | 4 |
| b) Services | 6 |
| 3. The uniform interpretation of the freedoms | 15 |
| 4. Terminology | 26 |
| 5. The objective of the study | 28 |
| | |
| 2. Restriction | 31 |
| 1. Vertical division of power in the Community and the scope given to the freedoms | 31 |
| a) Introduction | 31 |
| b) From inter-governmentalism and supra-nationalism to decentralisation and centralisation | 31 |
| c) The scope of the freedoms | 33 |
| d) The economics of federalism | 35 |
| e) Implications for integration | 45 |
| f) Conclusion | 48 |
| 2. Early developments | 49 |
| a) Goods | 49 |
| b) Services | 51 |
| 3. Disparity between national rules | 55 |
| a) Goods | 55 |
| b) Services | 56 |
| ✓ 4. Obstacles created by truly non-discriminatory rules: early cases | 65 |
| a) Goods | 65 |
| ✓b) Services | 67 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| ✓ 5. <i>Keck</i> and the free movement of services | 70 |
| a) Background to <i>Keck</i> | 70 |
| b) The <i>Keck</i> judgment | 78 |
| c) The case law on the free movement of services | 82 |
| d) The free movement of goods and a test based on market access | 116 |
| e) Conclusion | 126 |
| 3. Persons bound | 129 |
| 1. Introduction | 129 |
| 2. Private parties | 129 |
| a) The free movement of goods | 129 |
| b) The free movement of services | 139 |
| c) Criticism | 144 |
| d) Member State responsibility for private restrictions | 153 |
| e) Conclusion | 159 |
| 3. The Community | 159 |
| a) The binding nature of the free movement of goods and services | 159 |
| b) Restrictions imposed by the Community | 162 |
| ✓ 4. Justification | 169 |
| 1. Introduction | 169 |
| 2. EC Treaty exceptions | 172 |
| a) General | 172 |
| b) Grounds of justification | 175 |
| c) Arbitrary discrimination and disguised restrictions | 181 |
| 3. Judicially created exceptions | 181 |
| a) Origin | 181 |
| b) Application | 186 |
| c) Grounds of justification | 191 |
| d) Nature | 193 |
| 4. Proportionality | 194 |
| a) General | 194 |
| b) The nature of the test | 195 |
| c) The intensity of the review | 212 |
| d) Conclusion | 217 |
| 5. Conclusion | 218 |
| 5. Conclusion | 219 |
| 1. Introduction | 219 |
| 2. The approach of the Court | 220 |
| ✓ a) Restriction | 220 |
| b) Persons bound | 222 |
| ✓ c) Justification | 223 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 3. Reasons for the differences | 225 |
| 4. Proposed solutions | 226 |
| a) Restriction | 227 |
| b) Private parties | 228 |
| <i>Appendix: Cases analysed in the study</i> | 231 |
| <i>Bibliography</i> | 237 |
| <i>Index</i> | 249 |