

# CONTENTS

|                                                                                                                 |           |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <i>Foreword</i> .....                                                                                           | v         |
| <i>Acknowledgements</i> .....                                                                                   | ix        |
| <i>List of Cases</i> .....                                                                                      | xix       |
| <br>                                                                                                            |           |
| <b>Chapter 1. Article 47 in Unfair Terms Cases: Unchart(er)ed Territory...</b>                                  | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.1. Article 47 of the Charter: On First Acquaintance .....                                                     | 1         |
| 1.1.1. Visibility of Effective Judicial Protection as an EU<br>Fundamental Right.....                           | 1         |
| 1.1.2. A Unique Perspective on the Role of Civil Courts<br>in Consumer Litigation .....                         | 5         |
| 1.2. An In-Depth Case Study: Article 47 in Unfair Terms Cases .....                                             | 8         |
| 1.2.1. A 'Civil Justice Gap' .....                                                                              | 8         |
| 1.2.2. Justiciability of EU (Consumer) Rights.....                                                              | 11        |
| 1.3. Aim and Methodology .....                                                                                  | 12        |
| 1.3.1. Function(s) of a Reference to Article 47 in Judicial<br>Decisions .....                                  | 12        |
| 1.3.1.1. Bridging the Gap Between Theory and<br>Practice .....                                                  | 12        |
| 1.3.1.2. Focus on Civil Procedure .....                                                                         | 14        |
| 1.3.2. Selection of National Legal Systems: Spain and<br>the Netherlands.....                                   | 16        |
| 1.3.3. Selection of Case Law.....                                                                               | 18        |
| 1.4. Structure .....                                                                                            | 21        |
| <br>                                                                                                            |           |
| <b>Chapter 2. Analytical Framework: Normative Content, Scope<br/>and Functions Ascribed to Article 47 .....</b> | <b>23</b> |
| 2.1. Normative Content of Article 47: A Multi-Faceted Provision.....                                            | 23        |
| 2.1.1. Rights, Remedies and Procedures .....                                                                    | 23        |
| 2.1.2. Core Components of Article 47 .....                                                                      | 25        |
| 2.1.2.1. Access to an Independent and Impartial<br>Tribunal.....                                                | 26        |

|          |                                                                                            |    |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 2.1.2.2. | An Effective (Judicial) Remedy .....                                                       | 28 |
| 2.1.2.3. | Equality of Arms .....                                                                     | 29 |
| 2.1.2.4. | Rights of Defence .....                                                                    | 30 |
| 2.1.2.5. | Other Components .....                                                                     | 31 |
| 2.1.3.   | Article 47 of the Charter and the ECHR .....                                               | 31 |
| 2.2.     | Scope and Direct Effect of Article 47 in the Context<br>of the UCTD .....                  | 34 |
| 2.2.1.   | Scope of Application .....                                                                 | 34 |
| 2.2.1.1. | Scope <i>Ratione Materiae</i> : Applicability<br>of Article 47 in Unfair Terms Cases ..... | 34 |
| 2.2.1.2. | Scope <i>Ratione Personae</i> : Protection of Both<br>Consumers and Traders .....          | 37 |
| 2.2.2.   | Direct Effect .....                                                                        | 39 |
| 2.2.2.1. | Vertical and Horizontal Dimensions<br>of Article 47 .....                                  | 39 |
| 2.2.2.2. | Obligation to Apply Article 47 <i>Ex Officio</i> ? .....                                   | 42 |
| 2.3.     | Remedies and Procedures Under the UCTD .....                                               | 43 |
| 2.3.1.   | In Principle: Procedural Autonomy of the EU<br>Member States .....                         | 43 |
| 2.3.2.   | In Practice: Judge-Made Proceduralisation .....                                            | 45 |
| 2.3.2.1. | Contours of a Common Procedural Law<br>for Consumers .....                                 | 45 |
| 2.3.2.2. | A More Active Role for Courts .....                                                        | 48 |
| 2.3.3.   | Proceduralised Constitutionalisation .....                                                 | 50 |
| 2.4.     | Article 47 as a Negative Yardstick or Positive Standard? .....                             | 52 |
| 2.4.1.   | Restrictions to Article 47: An Eliminary Function .....                                    | 52 |
| 2.4.2.   | Towards a Generative Function? .....                                                       | 55 |
| 2.5.     | Article 47 vis-à-vis Effectiveness .....                                                   | 58 |
| 2.5.1.   | A Different Rationale .....                                                                | 58 |
| 2.5.1.1. | A Rights-Based, Court-Centred Approach .....                                               | 58 |
| 2.5.1.2. | Contrasting Dynamics .....                                                                 | 60 |
| 2.5.2.   | Other Functions Ascribed to Article 47 .....                                               | 63 |
| 2.5.2.1. | A Merely Legitimising Function, or<br>a Strengthening/Empowering Function? .....           | 63 |
| 2.5.2.2. | A Signalling or Transformative Function:<br>Open Constitutionalisation .....               | 65 |
| 2.6.     | Interim Conclusion: Overview of Functions of Article 47<br>in Legal Doctrine .....         | 67 |

|                                                                                                     |     |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Chapter 3. Case Law of the Court of Justice on Article 47 and the UCTD .....                        | 71  |
| 3.1. Focus on Four Core Components of Article 47.....                                               | 71  |
| 3.2. Access to Court .....                                                                          | 74  |
| 3.2.1. Introduction: Access as a Prerequisite for Judicial Protection .....                         | 74  |
| 3.2.2. Consumer Arbitration: A Residual Role of Courts? .....                                       | 75  |
| 3.2.2.1. Horizontal and Vertical Dimensions of Article 47 in Respect of Arbitration Clauses .....   | 75  |
| 3.2.2.2. <i>Asturcom</i> : An Empowering Function of Article 47?.....                               | 77  |
| 3.2.3. Expedited Order for Payment Procedures: A Limited Role for Courts .....                      | 81  |
| 3.2.3.1. Delegation of Judicial Functions to Non-Judicial Bodies.....                               | 81  |
| 3.2.3.2. <i>Finanmadrid</i> : A Blind Spot Where Article 47 is Concerned .....                      | 84  |
| 3.2.3.3. <i>Margarit Panicello</i> : Another Instance of a Signalling Function of Article 47.....   | 87  |
| 3.2.4. Other Restrictive Procedural Conditions .....                                                | 89  |
| 3.2.4.1. <i>Profi Credit Polska</i> : A Strengthening Function of Article 47 .....                  | 89  |
| 3.2.4.2. <i>Aqua Med</i> : An Eliminary Function of Article 47?.....                                | 92  |
| 3.3. Effective (Judicial) Remedy .....                                                              | 94  |
| 3.3.1. Introduction: A Broad Understanding of Justiciability.....                                   | 94  |
| 3.3.2. The Role of the Court in Mortgage Enforcement Proceedings .....                              | 96  |
| 3.3.2.1. <i>Aziz</i> : Hidden Constitutionalisation.....                                            | 96  |
| 3.3.2.2. <i>Kušionová</i> : Open Constitutionalisation .....                                        | 98  |
| 3.3.2.3. Judicial Protection as a Mandatory (Minimum) Requirement .....                             | 101 |
| 3.3.3. Additional Procedural Requirements .....                                                     | 103 |
| 3.3.3.1. <i>Sziber</i> : No Further Guidance on What Constitutes an Effective Procedural Path ..... | 103 |
| 3.3.3.2. <i>Dunai</i> : An Empowering Function of Article 47 .....                                  | 105 |

|                                                                       |                                                                                                      |            |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 3.4.                                                                  | Equality of Arms .....                                                                               | 108        |
| 3.4.1.                                                                | Introduction: Weaker Position Exacerbated by<br>Procedural Inequalities .....                        | 108        |
| 3.4.2.                                                                | Restoring the Procedural Balance .....                                                               | 109        |
| 3.4.2.1.                                                              | <i>Sánchez Morcillo I</i> : A Transformative Function<br>of Article 47 .....                         | 109        |
| 3.4.2.2.                                                              | <i>Sánchez Morcillo II</i> : Accessory Character of<br>Article 47 as its Strength and Weakness ..... | 112        |
| 3.4.3.                                                                | Focus of Article 47 on Individual Rights: <i>Pohotovost'</i> .....                                   | 114        |
| 3.5.                                                                  | Right to be Heard .....                                                                              | 116        |
| 3.5.1.                                                                | Introduction: A Generative Function of Article 47 .....                                              | 116        |
| 3.5.2.                                                                | <i>Banif Plus Bank</i> : Qualifying the Duty of <i>Ex Officio</i><br>Control .....                   | 117        |
| 3.5.3.                                                                | <i>Biuro Podróży 'Partner'</i> : Giving Substance to the Right<br>to be Heard .....                  | 119        |
| 3.6.                                                                  | Interim Conclusion: Functions of Article 47 in CJEU<br>Case Law .....                                | 120        |
| <br><b>Chapter 4. Article 47 in Unfair Terms Cases in Spain .....</b> |                                                                                                      | <b>123</b> |
| 4.1.                                                                  | Background: Many Preliminary References from Spanish<br>Civil Courts .....                           | 124        |
| 4.1.1.                                                                | Impact of the UCTD on Spanish Civil Procedure .....                                                  | 124        |
| 4.1.1.1.                                                              | A Missing Link Between Substantive and<br>Procedural Protection .....                                | 124        |
| 4.1.1.2.                                                              | Judicial Response to a Social Emergency .....                                                        | 127        |
| 4.1.1.3.                                                              | Rigidity of Spanish Civil Procedure .....                                                            | 130        |
| 4.1.2.                                                                | Duality in the Protection of Fundamental Rights .....                                                | 133        |
| 4.1.3.                                                                | Overview of References to Article 47 in Spanish<br>Case Law .....                                    | 138        |
| 4.2.                                                                  | Access to Court .....                                                                                | 139        |
| 4.2.1.                                                                | Introduction: Privileged Procedures .....                                                            | 139        |
| 4.2.2.                                                                | Consumer Arbitration .....                                                                           | 141        |
| 4.2.2.1.                                                              | Consumer as Claimant or Defendant:<br>A Crucial Difference .....                                     | 141        |
| 4.2.2.2.                                                              | <i>Asturcom</i> Further Explored: A Potential<br>Empowering Function not Realised .....              | 143        |

|          |                                                                                     |     |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 4.2.3.   | Delegation of Judicial Functions to the Court Registrar.....                        | 146 |
| 4.2.3.1. | <i>Finanmadrid</i> Further Explored: Article 47 in its Signalling Function .....    | 146 |
| 4.2.3.2. | <i>Margarit Panicello</i> Further Explored: A Signal of Unconstitutionality .....   | 151 |
| 4.3.     | Effective (Judicial) Remedy .....                                                   | 153 |
| 4.3.1.   | Introduction: Mortgage Debtors as European Consumers.....                           | 153 |
| 4.3.2.   | <i>Aziz</i> Further Explored .....                                                  | 155 |
| 4.3.2.1. | Referring Court: A Clear Impediment to the Exercise of Judicial Remedies .....      | 155 |
| 4.3.2.2. | Tribunal Constitucional on Effective Judicial Protection Pre- <i>Aziz</i> .....     | 156 |
| 4.3.2.3. | Audiencia Provincial de Barcelona on Article 47 in the Case of <i>Aziz</i> .....    | 158 |
| 4.3.3.   | Implementation and Follow-Up.....                                                   | 161 |
| 4.3.3.1. | A New Opposition Ground and an Empowering Function of Article 47? .....             | 161 |
| 4.3.3.2. | No Interim Relief Contrary to Article 47? .....                                     | 163 |
| 4.3.3.3. | Consequences for Enforcement? .....                                                 | 165 |
| 4.4.     | Equality of Arms .....                                                              | 167 |
| 4.4.1.   | Introduction: Asymmetric Rights of Appeal in Mortgage Enforcement Proceedings ..... | 167 |
| 4.4.2.   | <i>Sánchez Morcillo I</i> Further Explored .....                                    | 169 |
| 4.4.2.1. | Tribunal Constitucional: Access to Court of First Instance Guaranteed.....          | 169 |
| 4.4.2.2. | Audiencia Provincial Castellón: An Unjustified Procedural Advantage .....           | 170 |
| 4.4.3.   | Implementation and Follow-Up.....                                                   | 172 |
| 4.4.3.1. | A Transformative Function of Article 47, to a Certain Extent .....                  | 172 |
| 4.4.3.2. | <i>Res Judicata</i> Effect of a Decision in the Enforcement Proceedings? .....      | 176 |
| 4.5.     | Right to be Heard.....                                                              | 179 |
| 4.5.1.   | Introduction: The Prohibition of <i>Indefensión</i> .....                           | 179 |
| 4.5.2.   | Tribunal Supremo on Article 47: A Reconciliatory Approach .....                     | 181 |

|                                                                                 |                                                                                                            |            |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 4.5.3.                                                                          | The Other Side of Article 47: The <i>Cláusulas Suelo</i> Saga . . .                                        | 186        |
| 4.5.3.1.                                                                        | Third-Party Effects of a Collective Action . . . . .                                                       | 186        |
| 4.5.3.2.                                                                        | <i>Res Judicata</i> Effect of Decisions Predating the<br>CJEU Judgment on <i>Cláusulas Suelo</i> . . . . . | 189        |
| 4.6.                                                                            | Interim Conclusion: The Role of Article 47 in Spanish<br>Case Law . . . . .                                | 192        |
| <b>Chapter 5. Article 47 in Unfair Terms Cases in the Netherlands . . . . .</b> |                                                                                                            | <b>195</b> |
| 5.1.                                                                            | Background: A Limited Role of Article 47 in Dutch Unfair<br>Terms Cases . . . . .                          | 196        |
| 5.1.1.                                                                          | Impact of the UCTD on Dutch Civil Procedure . . . . .                                                      | 196        |
| 5.1.2.                                                                          | Lack of References to Article 47 in Dutch Case Law . . . . .                                               | 200        |
| 5.1.2.1.                                                                        | Low Visibility of Article 47, Despite its<br>Discursive Value. . . . .                                     | 200        |
| 5.1.2.2.                                                                        | Space for Judicial Intervention. . . . .                                                                   | 201        |
| 5.1.2.3.                                                                        | Assumption that Article 47 of the Charter<br>and Article 6 ECHR are Interchangeable . . . . .              | 204        |
| 5.2.                                                                            | Access to Court . . . . .                                                                                  | 207        |
| 5.2.1.                                                                          | Arbitration Clauses in Consumer Contracts . . . . .                                                        | 207        |
| 5.2.1.1.                                                                        | <i>Van Marrum/Wolff</i> : Eliminary Function of<br>Article 47 in its Horizontal Dimension. . . . .         | 207        |
| 5.2.1.2.                                                                        | Signalling Function Ahead of Legislative<br>Change. . . . .                                                | 210        |
| 5.2.1.3.                                                                        | <i>Pharma Slovakia</i> : A Rhetorical Function of<br>Article 47 . . . . .                                  | 212        |
| 5.2.2.                                                                          | <i>Intermaris</i> Case: Intensity of Judicial Review of<br>Arbitral Awards. . . . .                        | 213        |
| 5.3.                                                                            | Effective (Judicial) Remedy . . . . .                                                                      | 217        |
| 5.3.1.                                                                          | The Notion of Effective Legal or Judicial Protection<br>in Dutch Law. . . . .                              | 217        |
| 5.3.2.                                                                          | Persuasive Authority in Respect of Norms with a<br>Protective Purpose . . . . .                            | 219        |
| 5.3.2.1.                                                                        | <i>SEBA</i> : An Expansive Interpretation of<br>Remedies . . . . .                                         | 219        |
| 5.3.2.2.                                                                        | <i>Lindorff/Nazier</i> : Spillover Effect to Purely<br>National Matters . . . . .                          | 222        |

|                                                                        |                                                                                              |            |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 5.4.                                                                   | Equality of Arms .....                                                                       | 224        |
| 5.4.1.                                                                 | <i>Ex Officio</i> Control Within the Ambit of the Dispute<br>on Appeal.....                  | 224        |
| 5.4.1.1.                                                               | <i>Asbeek Brusse</i> : No Systemic Issue with<br>Justiciability on Appeal.....               | 224        |
| 5.4.1.2.                                                               | <i>Ebecek/Stichting Trudo</i> : Ambit of the Dispute<br>and Role of the Court on Appeal..... | 226        |
| 5.4.2.                                                                 | Calls for a More Tailored Approach.....                                                      | 227        |
| 5.5.                                                                   | Right to be Heard.....                                                                       | 230        |
| 5.6.                                                                   | Interim Conclusion: The Role of Article 47 in Dutch Case Law ...                             | 233        |
| <br><b>Chapter 6. Conclusion: The Many Colours of Article 47 .....</b> |                                                                                              | <b>235</b> |
| 6.1.                                                                   | Synthesis: Article 47 in Unfair Terms Cases.....                                             | 235        |
| 6.1.1.                                                                 | A Chameleon-Like Provision .....                                                             | 235        |
| 6.1.2.                                                                 | Overview of Functions, with Examples from the<br>Analysed Case Law.....                      | 237        |
| 6.2.                                                                   | Article 47 in CJEU Case Law: Discrepancy Between Theory<br>and Practice .....                | 240        |
| 6.2.1.                                                                 | Article 47 as Enhanced Effectiveness.....                                                    | 240        |
| 6.2.2.                                                                 | A Mismatch Between Effectiveness and a Fundamental<br>Rights Perspective.....                | 241        |
| 6.3.                                                                   | Article 47 in Spanish and Dutch Case Law .....                                               | 243        |
| 6.3.1.                                                                 | Spain: A Clear Constitutional Dimension .....                                                | 244        |
| 6.3.2.                                                                 | The Netherlands: No Constitutionalisation of<br>Consumer Cases.....                          | 246        |
| 6.3.3.                                                                 | Differences Between Spain and the Netherlands.....                                           | 248        |
| 6.4.                                                                   | Justice for Both.....                                                                        | 249        |
| <br><i>Bibliography</i> .....                                          |                                                                                              | <b>253</b> |
| <i>Index</i> .....                                                     |                                                                                              | <b>271</b> |