

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Foreword</i>	v
<i>Preface</i>	vii
<i>Bibliography and Abbreviations</i>	ix
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxi
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xxv

PART I: OVERVIEW

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	3
A. The importance of private international law questions in (re)insurance disputes	3
B. The common law approach	3
C. The evolution of European private international law	3
D. Characteristics of European rules of private international law	4
1. The essential nature of the rules	5
2. An overlay of social engineering in the rules: consumer protection, etc	5
3. The need for a common interpretative approach	6
E. Approach and structure of this book	6
F. Terminology	7
CHAPTER 2: HISTORICAL OVERVIEW OF THE EUROPEAN PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW RULES	9
A. The harmonisation of EU jurisdiction rules	9
1. 1957 EEC treaty	9
2. 1968 Brussels Convention	10
3. 1971 Protocol on the European Court of Justice	12
4. 1972 UK joined the Community	13
5. 1978 UK signed the Brussels Convention	13
6. 1982 Greece signed the Brussels Convention	15
7. 1986 The Single European Act	15
8. 1988 Lugano Convention signed by EFTA countries and the Community	16
9. 1989 Spain and Portugal join the Brussels Convention	17
10. 1992 European Union (EU) created, and European Community christened, by the Treaty on European Union (the Maastricht Treaty)	17
11. 1992 European Economic Area (EEA) formed	19
12. 1994 Austria, Finland and Sweden joined the Brussels Convention	19
13. 1997 Treaty of Amsterdam amended the treaties of EC and EU	19
14. Brussels Regulation	20
15. 2001 Nice Treaty amended the treaties of EC and EU	21
16. 2003 Accession of ten new members to the EU and Brussels Regulation	21
B. The harmonisation of EU choice of law rules	22
1. Development of the Rome Convention	23
2. The Insurance Directives	25
3. The future of the Rome Convention and insurance directives	27

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 3: INTERPRETATION	29
A. Introduction	29
B. Autonomous interpretation	29
1. Official Commentaries	31
2. References to the European Court of Justice	32
3. Other sources	34
PART II: JURISDICTION	
CHAPTER 4: LEGAL REGIMES DETERMINING JURISDICTION	37
A. Introduction	37
B. Identifying the regime to apply	37
1. Do the European rules apply?	37
(i) Brussels Regulation	38
(ii) Brussels Convention	39
(iii) Lugano Convention	39
2. European rules summary	40
3. Reinsurance and insurance under the European rules	40
4. Does the common law apply?	41
5. Jurisdiction within the UK	41
CHAPTER 5: REINSURANCE JURISDICTION – BRUSSELS REGULATION	43
A. Introduction	43
B. Preliminary matters	43
1. Is the subject-matter within the Brussels Regulation?	43
2. Does another convention apply?	44
3. Does Article 22 give mandatory jurisdiction?	44
4. Has the defendant entered an appearance under Article 24?	47
C. Is there a choice of jurisdiction agreement under Article 23?	50
1. Introduction	50
(i) General effect of Article 23	51
(ii) Development of Article 23	51
(iii) Objectives of Article 23	53
2. What approach should be adopted to decide if a jurisdiction agreement is within Article 23?	54
3. Burden and standard of proof	56
4. Is the jurisdiction agreement within Article 23?	59
(i) Are the courts of a Member State selected?	59
(ii) Is one or more of the parties domiciled in a Member State?	60
(iii) Was the jurisdiction agreement made in connection with the legal relationship which is the subject of the dispute?	61
(iv) Is a third party involved?	61
(v) Have the formalities under Article 23(1) been met?	62
Was the jurisdiction agreement made in writing?	63
Was the jurisdiction agreement evidenced in writing?	67
Was the jurisdiction agreement in accordance with the practices between the parties?	69
Was the jurisdiction agreement in accordance with a usage in international trade?	69
(vi) Article 23 may apply even if the contract is disputed	71
5. What can be done if proceedings have been commenced in breach of a jurisdiction agreement?	73
(i) If Article 23(1) applies to the exclusive jurisdiction agreement	73
(ii) If Article 23(1) does not apply to the jurisdiction agreement	74

TABLE OF CONTENTS

D. Is there jurisdiction based on the defendant's domicile under Article 2 or the operations of a branch under Article 5(5)?	75
1. Does the dispute arise out of the operations of a branch, agency or other establishment: Article 5(5)?	76
(i) What is a branch?	77
(ii) What are the operations?	79
E. Is there jurisdiction based on matters relating to a contract under Article 5(1)?	79
1. Introduction	79
(i) General effect of Article 5(1)	80
(ii) Development of Article 5(1)	81
(iii) Objectives of Article 5(1)	81
(iv) What approach should be adopted to decide if a claim is within Article 5(1)?	82
2. Is this a matter relating to contract?	82
(i) Introduction	82
(ii) What is a contract?	83
(iii) Claims involving third parties	84
Subrogation	84
Contribution	86
Assignment	87
(iv) Implied terms	88
(v) Tort	88
(vi) What if a party claims that the contract was not made or is not binding?	89
Contract not binding: the European cases	89
Both parties accept contract not binding	91
Claimant alleges no contract was made	91
Claim to avoid for non-disclosure or misrepresentation	93
Claim of breach of warranty	95
Claim for restitution	95
3. What is the obligation in question?	96
(i) Claim by reinsured	98
(ii) Claim by reinsurers	98
(iii) What if there is more than one obligation in question?	99
4. What is the place for performance?	101
(i) Claim by the reinsured	102
(ii) Claim by the reinsurer	103
(iii) What if there could be more than one place for performance?	103
F. Is there jurisdiction based on matters relating to tort under Article 5(3)?	105
1. Introduction	105
(i) Development of Article 5(3)	105
(ii) Objectives of Article 5(3)	105
(iii) Approach to Article 5(3)	106
2. Is this a matter relating to tort, delict or quasi-delict?	106
(i) Matters which relate to a contract	107
(ii) Matters which relate to a tort, delict or quasi-delict	108
(iii) Matters which do not relate to a contract or tort	109
3. What is the "place where the harmful event occurred"?	110
(i) What is the relevant damage?	110
(ii) What is the place where the damage occurred?	110
(iii) What is the place of the event giving rise to the damage?	111
G. Is there jurisdiction in respect of a claim against a co-defendant, third party or counterclaim under Article 6?	112
1. Introduction	112

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(i) Development of Article 6	113
(ii) Objectives of Article 6 generally	113
(iii) Approach to Article 6 generally	113
2. Article 6(1): one of a number of defendants	113
3. Article 6(2): third party proceedings	115
4. Article 6(3): counterclaim	117
H. Is there jurisdiction to grant provisional or protective measures under Article 31?	118
I. Preventing conflicting decisions: declining jurisdiction, stay and anti-suit injunctions	120
1. Introduction	120
(i) Development of section 9	121
(ii) Objectives of section 9	122
2. Is there exclusive jurisdiction under Article 29?	123
3. Are there proceedings involving the same cause of action under Article 27?	123
(i) Effect of Article 27	123
(ii) Same cause of action?	124
(iii) Same parties?	125
(iv) When does the court become seised?	126
(v) <i>Gasser</i> : The court second seised must always stay or decline jurisdiction in favour of court first seised (except if there is mandatory jurisdiction)	128
(vi) <i>Turner</i> : No anti-suit injunction in respect of proceedings in another Member State	129
4. Are there related actions under Article 28?	130
(i) Related actions?	130
(ii) Stay or decline jurisdiction?	132
(iii) <i>Owusu</i> : Can proceedings in a Member State be stayed in favour of a non-member state?	133
J. Jurisdiction within the UK under Schedule 4 of the Civil Jurisdiction and Judgments Act 1982	135
CHAPTER 6: INSURANCE JURISDICTION UNDER THE BRUSSELS REGULATION	137
A. Introduction	137
1. Development of Section 3	139
2. Objectives in interpreting Section 3	139
B. Who are the policy-holder, insured and beneficiary?	139
C. What matters relate to insurance?	140
D. Proceedings against insurer	141
1. Domicile of the policy-holder, etc	141
2. Domicile of the insurer	141
3. Proceedings against leading insurer	142
4. Liability and immoveable property insurance	142
5. Jurisdiction agreements	143
E. Proceedings by insurer	143
F. Is there an effective jurisdiction agreement?	143
1. Third parties	145
2. Jurisdiction agreement post dispute	146
3. Jurisdiction agreement conferring additional jurisdiction	146
4. Jurisdiction agreement by insurer and policy-holder in favour of their own Member State	146
5. Jurisdiction agreement with policy-holder not domiciled in a Member State	146
6. Jurisdiction agreement in respect of ships, aircraft, goods in transit and connected risks	147
7. Jurisdiction agreement in respect of large risks	148

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 7: REINSURANCE AND INSURANCE JURISDICTION AT COMMON LAW	151
A. Introduction	151
B. Establishing (re)insurance jurisdiction without permission	152
1. Introduction	152
2. Service within the jurisdiction on a foreign defendant	153
3. Submission to the jurisdiction	154
4. Jurisdiction under particular convention	154
C. Establishing (re)insurance jurisdiction with permission	155
1. Introduction	155
2. Procedure	156
3. Does the claim fall within CPR 6.20?	157
(i) A good arguable case	157
(ii) Jurisdictional grounds	159
(iii) Injunction and interim remedy – CPR 6.20(2) and (4)	159
(iv) Third party claims – CPR 6.20(3)	160
(v) Claims relating to contract – CPR 6.20(5), CPR 6.20(7)	161
Was the contract made in the jurisdiction – CPR 6.20(5)(a)?	162
Was the contract made by or through an agent trading or residing in England – CPR 6.20(5)(b)?	163
Is the contract governed by English law – CPR 6.20(5)(c)?	163
Is there an English jurisdiction agreement – CPR 6.20(5)(d)?	164
Is the claim in respect of a breach of contract committed in England – CPR 6.20(6)?	165
(vi) Is the claim made in tort?	165
4. Are the merits of the claim sufficiently strong?	166
5. Should the court exercise its jurisdiction to give permission – is England the <i>forum conveniens</i> ?	168
(i) The basic approach to <i>forum conveniens</i>	168
(ii) Connecting factors and juridical advantages	170
(iii) Is there a <i>lis alibi pendens</i> ?	172
(iv) Is there a jurisdiction agreement?	172
(v) Is there a claim for a negative declaration?	175
(vi) Illustrations of <i>forum conveniens</i> in reinsurance and insurance cases	178
Negative declarations	178
Issues of public policy and cases where the foreign court will not apply English law	179
The importance of English courts rather than foreign courts determining issues of English law	181
The relevance of multiple proceedings	182
D. Challenging (re)insurance jurisdiction: setting aside, stay and anti-suit injunction	184
1. Introduction	184
2. Will the permission to serve out of the jurisdiction be set aside?	184
3. Will a stay be ordered of English proceedings?	185
(i) Introduction	185
(ii) When should the court stay English proceedings for <i>forum non conveniens</i> ?	185
4. When can an anti-suit injunction be ordered?	186
(i) Introduction	186
(ii) Is there jurisdiction to grant an anti-suit injunction?	187
(iii) Should the court exercise its discretion to grant an anti-suit injunction?	187
The ends of justice	188
Is there an alternative forum?	189
Jurisdiction agreement	189
Other <i>forum non conveniens</i> cases	191
Comity	191

TABLE OF CONTENTS

E. Conclusions	192
1. Pursuing litigation in a foreign jurisdiction	192
2. Pursuing litigation in England	193
CHAPTER 8: REINSURANCE AND INSURANCE JURISDICTION IN ARBITRATION DISPUTES	195
A. Introduction	195
B. The jurisdiction of the English courts in relation to arbitration proceedings	196
1. The Arbitration Act 1996	196
2. Proceedings brought in breach of an arbitration agreement	197
(i) Proceedings before an English court	197
(ii) Anti-suit injunction in respect of foreign proceedings	197
C. Application of the Brussels Regulation to arbitration disputes	199
1. The general approach	199
2. The provisions of the Brussels Regulation	200
3. What if the dispute is whether or not an arbitration agreement was made or binding?	200
4. Incidental questions	201
5. Priority in arbitration matters where more than one court is seised	202
PART III: CHOICE OF LAW	
CHAPTER 9: LEGAL REGIMES DETERMINING CHOICE OF LAW	207
A. Introduction	207
B. Identifying the applicable regime	208
1. Overview	208
2. Scope of the Rome Convention	208
3. The Insurance Directives	210
(i) The meaning of “general” and “long-term” insurance	210
(ii) Contracts of general insurance	211
(iii) Contracts of long-term insurance	211
C. Limits to the applicable regime	212
1. The Rome Convention	212
(i) Capacity	212
(ii) Material validity	212
(iii) Formal validity	214
(iv) Interpretation	214
(v) Performance	215
(vi) Damages	215
(vii) Extinction of obligations	215
(viii) Limitation	215
(ix) Consequences of nullity	216
(x) Assignment	216
(xi) Subrogation	216
(xii) Procedure	217
(xiii) Agency	218
2. The Insurance Directives	219
CHAPTER 10: REINSURANCE AND INSURANCE OF RISKS OUTSIDE THE EEA – CHOICE OF LAW AND THE ROME CONVENTION	221
A. Overview	221
B. Parties’ choice of law (Article 3)	221
1. Express choice of law	222
(i) Incorporation of the choice of law clause	222
(ii) Slips and subsequent policy wordings	223

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(iii) Temporary cover	224
(iv) Express choice by reference	224
2. Choice demonstrated with reasonable certainty	225
(i) The nature of the test	225
3. Instances where the “reasonable certainty” test may be satisfied	226
(i) Examples in the Giuliano-Lagarde Report	226
(ii) Standard form contracts	227
(iii) Jurisdiction and arbitration clauses	228
(iv) Course of dealing between the parties	231
(v) Other factors not expressly considered in the Giuliano-Lagarde Report	231
(vi) Follow London clauses	232
(vii) Lead policies	232
(viii) The effect of insurance contracts on contracts of reinsurance	233
4. Restrictions on the parties’ choice: impossible choices	235
(i) Choice confined to the choice of the law of a given municipal system	236
(ii) “Floating” choice of law clauses	236
(iii) <i>Dépeçage</i>	236
5. Proposals in the Rome I Regulation	237
C. Applicable law in the absence of choice (Article 4)	237
1. Introduction	237
2. The presumed law in insurance and reinsurance cases	238
(i) Stages (i) and (ii): Performance characteristic of the contract and the party effecting that performance	238
(ii) Stage (iii): Relevant territorial connection	239
3. Disregarding the Article 4(2) presumption: the effect of Article 4(5)	241
(i) Article 4(5)	241
(ii) Alternative tests for displacing the presumption	241
(iii) The case law	243
(iv) The application of Article 4(5) in reinsurance cases	245
(v) No characteristic performance in a (re)insurance contract	248
4. The proposed Rome I Regulation	248
D. Consumer contracts (Article 5)	248
1. The definition of a consumer contract	248
2. Effect of Article 5	249
(i) Article 5(2): continued application of mandatory rules	249
(ii) Article 5(3): non-application of Article 4	249
E. Displacement of the applicable law: Mandatory rules and public policy	250
1. Introduction	250
(i) Mandatory rules	250
(ii) Rules of public policy	250
2. Mandatory rules	250
(i) Two different types of mandatory rule	250
(ii) Article 3(3): non-excludable mandatory rules	252
(iii) Consumer contracts	253
(iv) Article 7: Overriding mandatory rules	253
(v) Mandatory and overriding statutes in (re)insurance cases	253
3. Public policy	255
CHAPTER 11: INSURANCE OF RISKS IN THE EEA: CHOICE OF LAW AND THE INSURANCE DIRECTIVES	259
A. The scope of the Insurance Directives	259
B. Overview	260
C. Non-life business	261

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Expression of choice	261
2. Extent to which the parties are permitted a choice	261
(i) Large risks	261
(ii) Non-large risks	262
(iii) Policy-holder resident in the EEA State in which the risk is situated: Regulation 4(2)	262
(iv) Policy-holder not resident in the EEA State in which the risk is situated: Regulation 4(3)	262
(v) Policy-holder carrying on a business: Regulation 4(4)	263
(vi) Insured risks limited to events occurring in one EEA State: Regulation 4(6)	263
(vii) Other restrictions on the parties' choice: impossible choices	263
3. Applicable law in the absence of choice or valid choice	264
(i) Where the policy-holder resides in the EEA State in which the risk is situated	264
(ii) All other cases	264
(iii) Identifying potentially applicable laws	264
(iv) Identifying the country most closely connected with the contract	265
(v) Rebutting the presumption	266
4. Displacement of the applicable law: mandatory rules and public policy	266
(i) Non-excludable mandatory rules	266
(ii) Overriding mandatory rules	267
(iii) Public policy	268
D. Life business	268
1. Express choice of the parties	268
2. Restrictions on express choice	268
3. Applicable law in the absence of choice	268
4. Limits to the applicable law	269
E. Scope of the applicable law	269
CHAPTER 12: REINSURANCE AND INSURANCE: CHOICE OF LAW UNDER THE	
COMMON LAW	271
A. The scope of the common law	271
B. The approach at common law	271
1. Introduction	271
2. Application of the common law in (re)insurance cases	272
(i) Express choice	272
(ii) Implied choice	272
(iii) Imputed choice	273
(iv) Life insurance	273
(v) Indemnity insurance	273
(vi) Reinsurance	274
3. Scope of the proper law	275
(i) Contractual issues determined by reference to the proper law	275
(ii) Limits on choice of law	275
CHAPTER 13: REINSURANCE AND INSURANCE: CHOICE OF LAW IN ARBITRATION	
DISPUTES	277
A. Introduction	277
B. The different choices of law issues which arise in arbitrations	277
C. The law governing the agreement to submit the dispute to arbitration	277
D. The law governing the reference to arbitration	278
E. The law governing the arbitral proceedings	278
F. The law governing the substance of the dispute	280
1. Express choice of law	281
2. No express or implied choice of law	283

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDIX 1: JURISDICTION MATERIALS

APPENDIX 1.1 – Comparative Table of Brussels Regulation (EC) 44/2001 and Brussels Convention (1998)	287
APPENDIX 1.2 – The Jenard Report	313
APPENDIX 1.3 – The Schlosser Report	375

APPENDIX 2: CHOICE OF LAW MATERIALS

APPENDIX 2.1 – Diagram: Identifying which legal regime applies	447
APPENDIX 2.2 – Diagram: Applicable law under the Rome Convention	449
APPENDIX 2.3 – The Contracts (Applicable Law) Act 1990	451
APPENDIX 2.4 – The Giuliano-Lagarde Report	465
APPENDIX 2.5 – The Insurance Directives	505
APPENDIX 2.6 – Statutory Instruments made under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000	533

<i>Index</i>	537
--------------	-----