| Lis | st of Abbreviations | XV |
|------|---|-----|
| For | reword | xix |
| Ac | knowledgements | XXi |
| Ch | apter 1 | |
| Int | roduction | 1 |
| I. | From the Illusion of a Borderless 'No-Man's Land' to the Reality of a | |
| | Location-Sensitive 'Every-Man's Land' | 2 |
| II. | The Substantive Focus | 4 |
| | A. Issues | 6 |
| | 1. Jurisdiction | 7 |
| | 2. Declining Jurisdiction | 10 |
| | 3. Choice of Law | 11 |
| | 4. Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments | 12 |
| | B. Areas of Law | 13 |
| | 1. Contracts | 13 |
| | 2. Consumer Contracts | 14 |
| | 3. The Tort of Defamation | 15 |
| | 4. Trademarks | 16 |
| | 5. The Relationship between Contracts, Torts and Trademarks | 17 |
| III. | The Jurisdictional Focus | 18 |
| | A. Australia | 19 |
| | B. England | 19 |
| | C. Germany | 20 |
| | D. Hong Kong SAR | 20 |
| | E. Sweden | 20 |
| | F. The People's Republic of China | 21 |

| | G. The United States of America | 22 |
|------|--|-----|
| | H. The Chosen Mix of States | 23 |
| IV. | Comments on the Terminology | 24 |
| | A. Private International Law, Conflict of Laws or Jurisdictional Issues? | 24 |
| | B. IT Law, Cyberspace Law or Internet Law | 26 |
| | C. The Relevant Actors | 27 |
| | C. The record | |
| Ch | apter 2 | |
| | proaching the Internet | 29 |
| | The Internet's Characteristics | 33 |
| | A. Borderlessness | 34 |
| | B. Geographical Independence | 35 |
| | C. Limited Language Dependence | 37 |
| | D. One-to-Many | 38 |
| | E. Low Threshold Information Distribution | 39 |
| | F. Widely Used | 40 |
| | G. Portability | 41 |
| | H. Limited Utilization of Geographical Identifiers | 44 |
| | I. Reactive Nature | 46 |
| | J. Lack of Central Control | 49 |
| | K. Convergence | 50 |
| II. | Consequences of the Characteristics of Internet Communication | 52 |
| | A. Consequences for the Jurisdictional Issues in General | 52 |
| | B. Consequences for the Jurisdictional Issues in Contracts | 56 |
| | C. Consequences for the Jurisdictional Issues in the Tort | |
| | of Defamation | 57 |
| | D. Consequences for the Jurisdictional Issues in Trademarks | 60 |
| III | Concluding Observations | 61 |
| TTT. | Contracting Cost various | O I |
| Ch | apter 3 | |
| | proaching Private International Law | 63 |
| | Unilateralism and Multilateralism | 64 |
| | Desirable Qualities of Private International Law Rules | 67 |
| | A. Legitimate Party Expectations (A Fundamental Quality) | 73 |
| | 1. The Relation between Predictability and Flexibility | 75 |
| | 2. Contracts | 77 |
| | 3. Defamation | 82 |
| | 4. Trademarks | 84 |
| | B. Suitable Level of Generalization (A Fundamental Quality) | 84 |
| | C. Abuse-Resistance (A Fundamental Quality) | 85 |
| | D. Non-Violation of Public International Law (A Fundamental Quality) | 87 |
| | 1. The Statute of the International Court of Justice | 89 |
| | 2. International Customs | 90 |
| | E. Forum-Neutral Language (A Fundamental Quality in | |
| | Some Settings) | 96 |

| | F. Policy Fulfilment (A Non-fundamental Quality) | 96 |
|------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | G. Efficiency (A Non-fundamental Quality) | 100 |
| | H. Simplicity (A Non-fundamental Quality) | 101 |
| | I. Wide Acceptance (A Non-fundamental Quality) | 101 |
| | J. Independence from Substantive Law Concepts | |
| | (A Non-fundamental Quality) | 102 |
| III. | Principles to Guide the Application of Private International | |
| | Law Rules | 103 |
| IV. | Concluding Observations | 105 |
| | pter 4 | |
| | ditional Common Law: Australia, England and Hong Kong SAR | 107 |
| I. | Jurisdiction | 109 |
| | A. Contracts | 115 |
| | 1. Limits on Exclusive Forum Selection | 116 |
| | B. Defamation | 118 |
| | C. Trademarks | 126 |
| II. | Declining Jurisdiction | 131 |
| | A. Forum Non Conveniens | 132 |
| | B. Lis Alibi Pendens | 147 |
| | C. Ineffectiveness | 147 |
| III. | Choice of Law | 148 |
| | A. Contracts | 148 |
| | 1. Limits on the Selection of Applicable Law | 150 |
| | B. Defamation | 151 |
| | C. Trademarks | 156 |
| IV. | Recognition and Enforcement | 157 |
| | pter 5 | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ |
| | United States of America | 159 |
| | Jurisdiction | 159 |
| | A. Contracts | 170 |
| | 1. Limits on Exclusive Forum Selection | 174 |
| | B. Defamation | 174 |
| | C. Trademarks | 180 |
| | Declining Jurisdiction | 182 |
| | A. Forum Non Conveniens | 182 |
| | B. Lis Alibi Pendens | 184 |
| | Choice of Law | 185 |
| | A. Contracts | 185 |
| | 1. Limits on the Selection of Applicable Law | 187 |
| | B. Defamation | 188 |
| | C. Trademarks | 189 |
| IV. | Recognition and Enforcement | 190 |

| | apter 6 | 4 ~ - |
|------|--|-------|
| Eui | copean Civil Law: Germany and Sweden | 195 |
| I. | Jurisdiction | 196 |
| | A. Contracts | 201 |
| | 1. Limits on Forum Selection | 203 |
| | B. Defamation | 204 |
| | C. Trademarks | 208 |
| II. | Declining Jurisdiction | 209 |
| | A. Forum Non Conveniens | 209 |
| | B. Lis Alibi Pendens | 211 |
| | C. Ineffectiveness | 212 |
| III. | Choice of Law | 212 |
| | A. Contracts | 213 |
| | 1. Limits on the Selection of Applicable Law | 214 |
| | B. Defamation | 215 |
| | C. Trademarks | 218 |
| IV. | Recognition and Enforcement | 218 |
| Ch | apter 7 | |
| | e People's Republic of China | 221 |
| I. | Jurisdiction | 223 |
| | A. Definition of Shewai Cases | 224 |
| | B. Contracts | 226 |
| | 1. Limits on Exclusive Forum Selection | 227 |
| | C. Defamation | 232 |
| | D. Trademarks | 234 |
| | Declining Jurisdiction | 236 |
| III. | Choice of Law | 237 |
| | A. Contracts | 239 |
| | 1. Limits on the Selection of Applicable Law | 241 |
| | B. Defamation | 242 |
| | C. Trademarks | 243 |
| IV. | Recognition and Enforcement | 244 |
| | apter 8 | |
| | ernational Instruments | 247 |
| 1. | The Relevant European Instruments | 248 |
| | A. Brussels I Regulation/New Lugano Convention | 248 |
| | B. Brussels Convention/1988 Lugano Convention | 261 |
| | C. Rome I Regulation | 265 |
| | D. Rome Convention | 269 |
| | E. Rome II Regulation | 272 |
| | F. Directive on Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts | 278 |
| | G. E-commerce Directive | 280 |
| | H. Regulation Establishing a European Small Claims Procedure | 282 |

| II. | Th | e Relevant Hague Conventions | 286 |
|------|------|--|-----|
| | A. | The Hague Convention 1955 | 287 |
| | | The Previously Proposed Hague Convention on Jurisdiction and | |
| | | Foreign Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters | 287 |
| | | 1. An Overview | 288 |
| | | 2. The Relevant Provisions | 289 |
| | | 3. Some Words about the Negotiations Process | 289 |
| | | 4. The Future of the 'Judgments Project' | 290 |
| | C. | The Hague Convention 2005 on Choice of Court Agreements | 291 |
| | | Proposal for a Hague Instrument on Choice of Law in | |
| | | International Contracts | 298 |
| III. | Ot | her International Instruments of Relevance | 299 |
| | A. | United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International | |
| | | Sale of Goods 1980 | 299 |
| | B. | New York Convention 1958 | 300 |
| | C. | Charter of the United Nations | 301 |
| | D. | International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) | 308 |
| | | 1. Alpert's ICCPR Challenge to Australian Jurisdiction | 309 |
| | | 2. Exhaustion | 311 |
| | | 3. Jurisdiction | 311 |
| | | 4. Substantive Dispute | 312 |
| | | 5. Concluding Remarks | 313 |
| | E. | Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property and | |
| | | the TRIPS Agreement | 314 |
| Ch | apt | er 9 | |
| A (| Crit | ique of Current Rules of Private International Law | 317 |
| I. | Ru | ales of Jurisdiction | 319 |
| | A. | Uninteresting and Uncontroversial Jurisdictional Grounds | 319 |
| | B. | Uninteresting but Controversial Jurisdictional Grounds | 320 |
| | C. | The Doctrine of General Jurisdiction | 321 |
| | D. | Submission | 322 |
| | | 1. Submission after the Dispute Arises | 322 |
| | | 2. Contract Nominating Forum (Submission before the | |
| | | Dispute Arises) | 323 |
| | | 3. The Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements | 324 |
| | | The Location of Contract Formation | 329 |
| | | The Applicable Law Being the Law of the Forum | 331 |
| | | The Location of Contract Performance | 331 |
| | | Contract Breached within the Forum | 332 |
| | | Location of Object of Litigation | 332 |
| | | US Contractual Specific Jurisdiction | 333 |
| | K. | The Place of the Wrongful Act and the Place of Harm | 333 |
| | | 1. Jurisdiction Exercised over Step One | 336 |
| | | 2. Jurisdiction Exercised over Step Two | 336 |

| | 3. Jurisdiction Exercised over Step Three | 338 |
|------|--|------------|
| | 4. Jurisdiction Exercised over Step Four | 344 |
| | 5. Extent of Plaintiff's Reputation | 345 |
| | 6. Enforcement Difficulties | 347 |
| | 7. Prior Notice (Foreseeability) | 348 |
| | 8. Jurisdiction Exercised over Step Five | 353 |
| | 9. Jurisdiction Exercised over Step Six | 354 |
| | 10. Concluding Observations in Relation to Jurisdiction Based on | |
| | Place of Wrong/Place of Harm | 355 |
| | L. Plaintiff's Habitual Residence or Domicile | 355 |
| | M. The Location of the Server | 356 |
| | N. US Effects Test | 357 |
| | O. Plaintiff's Location when Observing the Infringement | 358 |
| | P. Injunctions | 358 |
| | Q. Detainable Property within the Forum State | 359 |
| | R. Representative Office/Branch | 360 |
| | S. Location of Registration | 361 |
| | T. Directing Activities/Targeting | 361 |
| II. | Rules of Declining Jurisdiction | 368 |
| | A. Forum Non Conveniens | 368 |
| | 1. How Can Judges Take Away What the Lawmakers Have | |
| | Given to the Plaintiff? | 369 |
| | 2. Too Much Discretion | 370 |
| | 3. Lack of Uniformity | 372 |
| | 4. Delays the Process | 373 |
| * | 5. 'Case-Shopping' Used to Ease the Courts' Workload | 373 |
| | 6. 'Case-Shopping' Used to Protect Domestic Interests | 374 |
| | 7. Discretion to Uphold Choice of Forum Clauses Nominating a | 275 |
| | Foreign Forum | 375 |
| | 8. Conditional Exercise of Forum Non Conveniens | 376 |
| | 9. Forum Non Conveniens: Clearly Inappropriate for International | 277 |
| | Instruments | 377 |
| | 10. Forum Non Conveniens: Superfluous if Jurisdictional Rules | 270 |
| | Are Reasonable | 378 |
| | 11. The Doctrine Is Easily Circumvented | 379 |
| | 12. The Doctrine Has Lost Its Meaning in Modern Society | 379 |
| | 13. Conclusions Regarding the Doctrine of Forum Non Conveniens | 380 |
| | B. Lis Alibi Pendens | 381 |
| TIT | C. Ineffectiveness | 381 382 |
| 111. | Rules of Choice of Law | |
| | A. Contract Designated Law R. Classest Connection | 382 383 |
| | B. Closest Connection C. Low of Buyer's Domicile | 383 |
| | C. Law of Buyer's Domicile D. Lex Fori | 384 |
| | E. Lex Loci Delicti | 384 |
| | L. Lea Leuch Denen | JUT |

| | F. The 'Double Actionability' Test and Its Flexible Exception | 385 |
|------|---|------|
| | G. The Law of the Parties' Common Habitual Residence | 386 |
| | H. Lex Loci Protectionis | 387 |
| | I. The Law of the Victims Habitual Residence | 387 |
| | J. Lex Loci Damni | 388 |
| IV. | Rules of Recognition and Enforcement | 389 |
| | A. No Recognition and Enforcement | 389 |
| | B. Convention-Based Recognition and Enforcement | 390 |
| | C. Reciprocity | 390 |
| | D. Restricted Recognition and Enforcement | 391 |
| V. | Concluding Observations | 392 |
| Cha | apter 10 | |
| | o-identification: Time to Take Technology Seriously | 395 |
| I. | Internet Architecture, Regulation and 'Borders' | 396 |
| | Geo-location Technologies | 398 |
| | A. Sophisticated Geo-location Technologies | 400 |
| | 1. Client-Side Geo-location | 400 |
| | 2. Server-Side Geo-location | 401 |
| | 3. The Future of Sophisticated Geo-location Technologies | 414 |
| | B. Unsophisticated Geo-location Technologies | 418 |
| | C. Geo-location Technologies in Practice | 419 |
| III. | Non-Technical Means of Geographical Identification | 426 |
| | A. Disclaimers | 427 |
| | B. 'Click-Wrap' Agreements | 429 |
| | C. Menus: The Better and Worst Alternative | 430 |
| | D. Delivery Address | 431 |
| | 1. Digitized Products | 432 |
| | E. Offline Identification | 433 |
| | F. Non-Technical Geo-identification in Practice | 433 |
| IV. | Geo-identification: A Question of Attitudes | 435 |
| V. | Concluding Observations | 440 |
| Ch | apter 11 | |
| Pro | posed 'Defamation Convention Model' | 445 |
| I. | Structure of the Models | 446 |
| П. | Introduction to the 'Defamation Model' | 446 |
| III. | Basic Features of the Proposed Model | 447 |
| IV. | Article-By-Article | 450 |
| V. | Putting The 'Defamation Model' to the Test | 468 |
| Ch | apter 12 | |
| | posed 'Contracts Convention Model' | 471 |
| I. | Basic Features of the Proposed Model | 471 |
| | Article-By-Article | 472 |
| III. | Putting the 'Contracts Model' to the Test | 489 |
| | | Xiii |

| Chapter 13 Concluding Remarks | 491 |
|-------------------------------|-----|
| Appendix 1 | 495 |
| Appendix 2 | 499 |
| Appendix 3 | 503 |
| Selected Bibliography | 507 |
| Table of Authorities | 529 |
| Index | 547 |