

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	xv
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xvii
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xxv
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxix

PART I THEORIZING CONSTITUTIONAL CONFLICT

1. Introduction	3
1 Setting the Stage	5
2 The Judicial Triangle as an Analytical Tool	7
3 Methods	13
3.1 Sources	13
3.2 Definitions and Scope	15
3.2.1 United Kingdom	16
3.2.2 Excluded Member States: Finland, Greece, the Netherlands, and Sweden	19
3.3 Limitations	21
4 Aims and Outline of the Book	22
2. Theoretical Framework: Constitutional Pluralism	25
1 Introduction	25
2 The Plurality of Pluralisms	27
3. Constitutional Pluralism as a Descriptive Theory	32
1 Competing Claims to Ultimate Authority	33
2 Heterarchy and the Question of the Final Arbiter	38
3 The Auto-correct Function	46
4 Analysis of Judicial Vocabulary	49
4. Constitutional Pluralism as a Normative Theory	56
1 The Normative Core of Constitutional Pluralism	57
2 Constructive Constitutional Conflict	59
2.1 <i>Juízes Portugueses</i>	63
2.2 <i>FX</i>	64
2.3 <i>Grossmania</i>	65
3 Destructive Constitutional Conflict	66
4 Conclusion	71

PART II THE JURISPRUDENCE

5. <i>Ultra Vires</i> Review	75
1 Introduction	75
2 Introducing Direct Effect and Primacy	78
3 An Explicit Assertion to the Final Say by the Court of Justice	82
4 Expanding the Self-referential System of the Treaties to External Action	86
5 Substantive Expansions of the Self-referential System of the Treaties	88
6 Claims to <i>Ultra Vires</i> Review of EU Action	94
6.1 Germany	95
6.2 The Czech Republic	106
6.3 Denmark	114
7 Rule of Law and Judicial Independence	123
8 Conclusion	127
6. Identity Review	129
1 Introduction	129
2 Constitutional Identity	130
2.1 Constitutional Identity as an Unconditional Limit to the Primacy of EU Law	131
2.2 Constitutional Identity as a Conditional Limit to the Principle of Primacy	138
2.2.1 Poland	139
2.2.2 France	146
2.2.3 Spain	148
2.2.4 Italy	151
2.2.5 Portugal	154
3 Article 4(2) TEU (the National Identity Clause)	156
3.1 National Identity as an Expression of Public Policy	160
3.2 Language as an Expression of National Identity	166
3.3 Invoking the Invalidity of an EU Act Based on Article 4(2) TEU	168
4 Conclusion	169
7. Fundamental Rights Review	175
1 Introduction	175
2 The Common Perspective: The Beginning of Constitutional Conflict	177
2.1 The Period until 1969	178
2.2 The Start of the Conflict (the Period between 1969 and 1984)	179
2.3 The Incremental Accommodation of Conflict	185
3 Further Expansions of Fundamental Rights Review by the Court of Justice	187
3.1 National Measures	188
3.2 The Scope of the Charter of Fundamental Rights	190
3.3 External Actors	197

4	The Common Perspective after the Charter	200
4.1	The Area of Freedom, Security and Justice	201
4.1.1	The EAW Framework Decision	202
4.1.1.1	Poland	202
4.1.1.2	Germany	203
4.1.1.3	Cyprus	207
4.1.1.4	Czech Republic	207
4.1.1.5	Belgium and the Court of Justice's Response	209
4.1.1.6	Consequences in Judicial Power Relations	211
4.1.2	Balancing Fundamental Rights Protection and the Principle of Mutual Trust Post-Lisbon	215
4.2	Data Retention	221
4.2.1	Data Retention before National Courts	223
4.2.2	<i>Digital Rights Ireland</i>	227
4.2.3	Data Retention after the Annulment of the Directive	232
5	Conclusion	235

PART III CONCLUSIONS

8.	Judicial Triangles across Case Studies	241
1	<i>Ultra Vires</i> Review	245
2	Identity Review	250
3	Fundamental Rights Review	253
9.	Three Lessons and a Warning	262
	<i>Bibliography</i>	265
	<i>Index</i>	283