

Contents

Acknowledgements	17
Introduction	18
1. Opening scene	18
2. Democratic indeterminacy	20
2.1 <i>Democratic ideals and persistent disappointment</i>	23
3. Democratic indeterminacy, disenchantment and unpolitics	25
3.1 <i>Types of indeterminacy</i>	26
3.2 <i>Socialist and liberal origins of unpolitical democracy</i>	29
4. The citizen and the state	31
4.1 <i>Equality or equity: Athens versus Rome</i>	32
6. The Mlynář model of citizenship	34
7. Methodology	37
8. Outline of this study	39
8.1 <i>Foundations and baselines for analyses</i>	41
8.2 <i>Comparison of common models across time</i>	42
8.3 <i>Evaluation of transition to liberal democracy</i>	43
Chapter 1. Theory: Conceptions of Citizenship within Socialist and Liberal Democratic States	45
Introduction	45
1.1 Defining a democratic state	47
1.2 Contested visions of democracy	49
1.3 Comparing socialist and liberal democratic visions	51
1.4 Conceptualising citizenship in empirical models	52
1.5 Empirical models of citizen duty	53
1.6 A working definition of citizenship	55
<i>IP1: Cognitive engagement model (information)</i>	57
<i>IP2: General incentives model (motivation)</i>	58
<i>SP1: Civic voluntarism model (time, money and civic skills)</i>	60
<i>SP2: Equity fairness model (a sense of what is just and fair)</i>	62

<i>SP3: Social capital model (social interaction)</i>	64
1.7 Complementary and rival models of citizen action	68
Conclusion	70
PART 1. POLITICAL ATTITUDES AND ASPIRATIONS UNDER SOCIALIST DEMOCRACY	73
Chapter 2. Political Attitudes under Socialist Democracy in Czechoslovakia in 1968	74
Introduction	74
2.1 Analysis of the May 1968 survey by members of the Mlynář team	76
2.2 Quality of the May 1968 survey data	78
2.2.1 <i>Subgroup profile of survey response effects</i>	80
2.2.2 <i>Modelling survey bias effects</i>	83
2.2.3 <i>Survey response bias modelled as random intercepts</i>	85
2.2.4 <i>Survey response bias modelled as heteroscedasticity</i>	88
2.3 Citizen consensus and support for democracy	91
2.3.1 <i>Public attitudes, level of consensus and climate of opinion</i>	92
2.4 Structure of political attitudes in May 1968	93
2.4.1 <i>Unidimensional preference scaling results</i>	94
2.4.2 <i>Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) results</i>	95
2.4.3 <i>Comparison of the political attitudes of Czechs and Slovaks</i>	100
2.4.4 <i>Structure of attitudes and survey response bias</i>	103
Conclusion	105
Chapter 3. Structure of Democratic Attitudes and Popular Support for Pluralism under Socialist Democracy	107
Introduction	107
3.1 Structure of Czechoslovak citizens' attitudes	109
3.1.1 <i>Structural Equation Modelling (SEM)</i>	110
3.2 Modelling political attitudes using EFA and CFA	112
3.2.1 <i>Structure of political attitudes in 1968: Czechs versus Slovaks</i>	115
3.3 Modelling attitudes toward pluralism	117
3.3.1 <i>Modelling citizen support for greater pluralism under socialism</i>	119
3.3.2 <i>Modelling results for citizens' attitudes toward pluralism</i>	122
3.4 Attitudinal determinants of citizen duty under socialism	125
3.4.1 <i>Attitudinal explanations of citizen activism</i>	127
3.4.2 <i>Differences between Czechs and Slovaks</i>	128
Conclusion	135

Chapter 4. Opinion Preferences and Their Expression under Socialism: Evidence for Spiral of Silence Effects	137
Introduction	137
4.1 Spiral of silence, attitudes and their expression	139
4.1.1 <i>Dynamic aspects of the spiral of silence theory</i>	142
4.2 Expression of political attitudes and spiral of silence effects	145
4.2.1 <i>Linking personal opinions with current and future majority positions</i>	146
4.2.2 <i>Operationalisation of current and future majority opinion</i>	148
4.2.3 <i>Endogeneity among the explanatory variables</i>	149
4.2.4 <i>Direct determinants of expressing an opinion</i>	149
4.3 Data and modelling strategy	151
4.3.1 <i>Modelling strategy: path analysis</i>	155
4.4 Empirical results	157
4.4.1 <i>Path analysis modelling results</i>	159
Conclusion	164

Chapter 5. Were Czechoslovak Citizens Satisfied under Communism? Subjective Well-being under Socialist Democracy prior to the Prague Spring Reforms	167
Introduction	167
5.1 Subjective well-being, striving and regime support	169
5.2 What is SASS and what does it measure?	171
5.2.1 <i>Is interpersonal comparison possible with SASS measures?</i>	174
5.2.2 <i>Substantive interpretation of SASS scores</i>	174
5.3 Modelling individual aspirations under socialist democracy	175
5.3.1 <i>Attitudinal orientation and striving</i>	177
5.3.2 <i>Information effects and individual aspirations</i>	179
5.3.3 <i>Efficacy and subjective well-being</i>	180
5.3.4 <i>Sense of dissatisfaction and unfairness and SASS scores</i>	181
5.3.5 <i>Social position and life satisfaction</i>	182
5.4 Results of empirical analysis	184
5.4.1 <i>Profile of the subjective well-being scales</i>	185
5.4.2 <i>Determinants of subjective well-being</i>	187
5.4.3 <i>Model fit and 'best' explanation of subjective well-being</i>	191
5.4.4 <i>Comparison of subjective well-being under socialist and liberal democracy</i>	195
Conclusion	197

PART 2. MODELLING ATTITUDES TOWARD CITIZEN DUTY UNDER SOCIALIST AND LIBERAL DEMOCRACY	201
Chapter 6. Testing Rival Models of Citizen Duty under Socialist and Liberal Democracy, 1968–2008	202
Introduction	202
6.1 Competing models of citizen duty	204
<i>IP 1: Cognitive engagement model (information)</i>	205
<i>IP 2: General incentives model (motivation)</i>	208
<i>SP 1: Civic voluntarism model (time, money and civic skills)</i>	209
<i>SP 2: Equity fairness model (a sense of what is just and fair)</i>	211
<i>SP 3: Social capital model (social interaction)</i>	213
6.2 Results of model testing	216
<i>IP 1: Cognitive engagement model (information)</i>	217
<i>IP 2: General incentives model (motivation)</i>	220
<i>SP 1: Civic voluntarism model (time, money and civic skills)</i>	222
<i>SP 2: Equity fairness model (a sense of what is just and fair)</i>	224
<i>SP 3: Social capital model (social interaction)</i>	226
Conclusion	228
Chapter 7. Presence and Level of Enthusiasm for Candidacy under Socialist and Liberal Democracy, 1968–2008	230
Introduction	230
7.1 Conceptualising and modelling citizen activism	232
7.2 Modelling citizen activism	234
7.2.1 <i>How should zeros be interpreted?</i>	235
7.2.2 <i>How should non-zero values be interpreted?</i>	236
7.3 Continuous data: OLS with normal distribution, 1PM	236
7.4 Methodology: Tobit and Cragg Models	238
7.4.1 <i>Cragg model: A 2PM Tobit model</i>	239
7.4.2 <i>Interpreting Cragg model results</i>	239
7.5 Results of model testing	241
<i>IP 1: Cognitive engagement model (information)</i>	242
<i>IP 2: General incentives model (motivation)</i>	245
<i>SP 1: Civic voluntarism model (time, money and civic skills)</i>	247
<i>SP 2: Equity fairness model (a sense of what is just and fair)</i>	250
<i>SP 3: Social capital model (interaction)</i>	252
Conclusion	256

Chapter 8. Stability of Democratic Attitudes and Perceptions of the Fall of Communism	260
Introduction	260
8.1 Political values across two regimes, 1968–2008	262
8.1.1 <i>Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)</i>	263
8.2 Stability of perceptions of democracy, 1990–2001	266
8.3 Contemporary citizens' perceptions of the Velvet Revolution	269
8.3.1 <i>Unprompted considerations of the Velvet Revolution</i>	270
8.3.2 <i>Classification of open-ended responses</i>	271
8.4 Individual level analyses of attitudes toward the Velvet Revolution	274
8.4.1 <i>Model of popular attitudes toward 1989</i>	276
8.4.2 <i>Historical and social context of popular attitudes toward 1989</i>	279
8.4.3 <i>Models of attitudes toward the Velvet Revolution</i>	281
Conclusion	285
Conclusion	
Closing scene	288
1. Consolidating and extending the evidence	291
1.1 <i>Evolution of political attitudes, 1968–2008</i>	293
1.2 <i>Values and political culture</i>	294
1.3 <i>Political orientation and knowledge prior to the Velvet Revolution</i>	295
2. Types of democracy and liberty	299
2.1 <i>Three visions of liberty</i>	300
3. Sources of contemporary disenchantment with democracy	303
3.1 <i>Growth in inequality and the undermining of democracy</i>	305
3.2 <i>Liberal democracy as a strategy of stability</i>	307
3.3 <i>Contrasting legacies of 1968 and 1989</i>	308
3.4 <i>Failure of liberal socialism and civic participatory democracy</i>	310
4. Liberal and socialist democratic political cultures in Czechoslovakia	313
4.1 <i>Turning communists into democrats?</i>	313
Final comments	315
Bibliography	318
Abstract	354
Appendices	355
Index	459