

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ADMINISTRATIVE COURT MODEL AND JURISDICTION IN VIETNAM - FOREIGN EXPERIENCES AND NEW CHALLENGES

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	12
ABSTRACT	13
INTRODUCTION.....	14
1. Background.....	14
2. Purposes, Previous Research & Current Research Questions.....	16
3. Main Contents	20
4. Methodology	25
5. Materials.....	25
CHAPTER I.....	27
ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION COURT MODEL: THE START OF THEORETICAL CONTROVERSY	27
Introduction	27
I. Overview of Administrative Disputes Resolution in Vietnam Prior to 1996 ...	28
1. Under Development of Vietnamese Administrative Law Regarding Judicial Review	28
1.1. Outlook of Comparative Studies of Administrative Law	29
1.2. Some Analyses on the Under Development of Vietnamese Administrative Law	33
2. Administrative Disputes Resolution Prior to 1996: Main Characteristics and Criticisms.....	39
2.1. Main Characteristics.....	39
2.2. Criticisms	42
2.2.1. <i>Lack of Judicial Review</i>	42
2.2.2. <i>Imperfection of Legal Norms</i>	43
2.2.3. <i>Defect of Settlement Proceedings and Failure of Implementation</i>	44
2.2.4. <i>Capacity Limitation of State Officials and People's Legal Awareness</i>	46
II. New Perception to Judicial Review of Administrative Action in Vietnam....	48

1. Whether Judicial Review of Administrative Action Existed Historically in Vietnam	48
1.1. Trace back to the Feudalism Regarding Settlement of People's Claims	48
1.2. Court Jurisdiction over Administrative Cases Prior to 1975	53
2. Looking for Model of Law-Based State and of Judicial Review of Administrative Action	57
2.1. From Socialist Legality to Socialist Law-Based State.....	57
2.2. Indispensable Need for Judicial Review of Administrative Action.....	60
2.2.1. Political and Democratic Aspects	61
2.2.2. Economic and Administrative Management Aspects	62
2.2.3. Legal and International Integration Aspects	63
2.3. Legal Perception to Judicial Review of Administrative Action.....	64
III. The Birth of Model of Administrative Division Courts: Interim or Eternal Solution	69
1. Proposed Models and Analyses: Theoretical Conflict and Divergence	69
1.1. Model of Independent Administrative Court Formed by National Assembly	69
1.2. Model of Administrative Court as Independent System Attached to Government.....	70
1.3. Model of Semi-Independence Administrative Court	72
1.4. Model of Administrative Division within People's Courts (ADCs).....	73
2. Choice of ADCs Model	74
2.1. Rationale.....	74
2.1.1. Issue of Constitutionality	74
2.1.2. Issues of Feasibility	75
2.2. Its Foundation and Operation (since July, 1 st 1996).....	76
2.2.1. Legal Basics	76
2.2.2. Court Organization	77
2.2.3. Trial Panel and Participation of Procurators	80
3. Theoretical Conflict and Controversy	84
3.1. Model of ADCs: Interim or External?.....	84
3.2. Taxonomy of Controversy Regarding Court's Models	88

Concluding Remarks	91
CHAPTER II	93
JURISDICTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION COURTS:	93
CONSTANT CONTROVERSY AND PRACTICAL REMAINS.....	93
Introduction	93
I. Administrative Division Court's Jurisdiction: Its Enlargement and Debates...	94
1. Legal Concept	94
2. Grounds for Jurisdiction over Administrative Litigation	101
2.1. Principle of Legal Examination: Legality or Rationality.....	101
2.1.1. <i>Examination on Substantive Requirements</i>	103
2.1.2. <i>Examination of Procedural Requirements</i>	107
2.2. Non-Power of Inquiry in to Legal Norms	110
3. Objects to Judicial Review	114
3.1. Individual Administrative Decisions.....	115
3.2. Job Dismissal Disciplinary Decisions.....	118
3.3. Administrative Actions.....	119
4. Court's Jurisdiction: Classification and Analyses	120
4.1. Jurisdiction over Territorial Units	120
4.1.1. <i>Supreme People Court</i>	120
4.1.2. <i>Local Courts</i>	120
4.1.3. <i>Delimitation of Court's Jurisdiction</i>	121
4.2. Jurisdiction over Enumerated Administrative Matters	123
4.3. Jurisdiction over Trial Proceedings.....	129
4.3.1. <i>Examining the Standing</i>	129
4.3.2 <i>On Evidences</i>	132
4.3.3. <i>On Damage Compensation</i>	132
4.4. Judgment and Interim Relief.....	134
4.4.1. <i>Administrative Judgment – A Legislative Vacancy</i>	134
4.4.2. <i>Temporary Suspension and Suspension of Settlement of Administrative Cases</i>	136
4.4.3. <i>Temporary Urgent Measures</i>	137
4.5. Jurisdiction over Appeal and Supervisory, Review Trial.....	138

II. Vietnamese Case-Study Analyses: Current Controversies and Mistakes of Judgments.....	139
1. Whether Courts Can Review at Any Period of Administrative Complaints	139
1.1. Issue of Compulsory Pre-Litigation and Its Obstacles	139
1.2. Revocation of Judgment Regarding Land Dispute in <i>Dong Nai</i> Province (1999)	144
1.3. Lawsuit Regarding Final Reconsideration Decision in <i>Can Tho</i> Province (2006)	145
2. Whether Court Can Apply Retroactive Principle	148
2.1. Issue of Error of Law	148
2.2. A Case of Personal Income Tax Decision to Mr.K.S (Japanese) in <i>Hanoi</i> (1998)	153
3. Whether Court Can Encroach upon Administration	157
3.1. Issue of Judgment's Defect and Discretionary Judgment.....	157
3.2. Annulment of Discretionary Judgment on Land in <i>Thai Binh</i> Province (1999)	161
3.3. Annulment of Illegal Judgments Regarding Tax Sanction in <i>Hai Phong</i> (2000)	164
4. Whether Scope of Accepting Cases Can Be Extended At Maximum	166
4.1. Issue of Scope of Judicial Review	166
4.2. Dismissal of Petition Regarding Land Recovery in <i>Hanoi</i> (2004).....	169
5. Whether Sanction Decision Violated Time Limit Can Be Wholly Quashed	171
5.1. Issue of Time Effect	171
5.2. Lawsuit Regarding Sanction on Intellectual Property in <i>HCM City</i> (2005)	172
6. Overview of ADCs's Adjudication Over Past Ten Years and Judgment's Mistakes	175
6.1. Statistical Data and Analyses	175
6.2. Some Main Mistakes of Judgments	179
Concluding Remarks	181

CHAPTER III.....	182
LESSONS FROM FOREIGN EXPERIENCES	
REGARDING ADMINISTRATIVE COURT MODEL AND JURISDICTION.....	182
Introduction	182
I. Motivations to Administrative Law Reform and Impacts of Foreign Experiences	183
1. Legal Transplant: Impacts of Foreign Experiences By Means of Foreign Legal Assistance	183
2. Motivations to Reform of Vietnamese Administrative Law.....	189
2.1. Self-Identity.....	190
2.2. Necessity of International Integration and Saving Time.....	193
II. Lessons from Oversea Experiences	196
1. Learning French Experiences	198
1.1. Structure of French Administrative Court System.....	198
1.1.1. <i>Conseil d'Etat</i>	198
1.1.2. <i>Tribunaux Administratifs and Cours Administratives D'Appel</i>	200
1.2. Some Experiences on Court's Jurisdiction.....	202
1.2.1. <i>Objects subject to Judicial Review</i>	202
1.2.2. <i>Grounds for Judicial Review</i>	205
1.2.3. <i>Judgments, Decisions and Effects of Annulment of Administrative Acts</i>	209
1.3. Introduction to French Sikh Schoolboys Lose Turban Case (2005).....	211
2. Learning from Chinese Experiences	214
2.1. Establishment of ADCs and an Administrative Litigation System.....	214
2.1.1. <i>Constitutional Background: From Rule by Man to Socialist Rule of Law State</i>	214
2.1.2. <i>Administrative Litigation System and Model of ADCs</i>	217
2.2. Some Experiences Regarding Jurisdiction of Administrative Division Court.....	221
2.2.1. <i>Principle of Legal Inquiry and Scope of Accepting Cases</i>	221
2.2.2. <i>No Compulsory Requirement of Administrative Reconsideration</i>	225

2.2.3. <i>Judgment and Performance</i>	227
2.3. Introduction to Some Administrative Lawsuits in China.....	229
2.3.1. <i>Hepatitis B Carriers Case (2003)</i>	229
2.3.2. <i>Qiao Zhangxiang vs. Ministry of Railways Case (2001)</i>	231
3. Learning from Japanese Experiences	233
3.1. Change from Model of Administrative Court to Ordinary Court	233
3.1.1. <i>Meiji Constitution and Model of Continental Administrative Court</i> ...	233
3.1.2. <i>Japanese Constitution (1947) and Changes to Model of Ordinary Court</i>	239
3.2. Some Experiences on Jurisdiction over Settlement of Administrative Cases.....	243
3.2.1. <i>Scope of Judicial Review</i>	243
3.2.2. <i>No Compulsory Administrative Reconsideration</i>	250
3.2.3. <i>Categories of Administrative Litigation</i>	251
3.2.4. <i>Interim Relief, Judgment and Implementation</i>	255
3.3. Introduction to Some Administrative Lawsuits in Japan	257
3.3.1. <i>Revocation of Approval Disposition on Constructing Odakyu Line (2005)</i>	257
3.3.2. <i>Confirmation of Invalid Permission in Monju Case (2003)</i>	261
III. Necessity of Foreign Legal Assistance Regarding ALRS	264
1. Administrative Law Review System: A Difficult but Potential Field	265
1.1. Limitation of FLA Projects	265
1.2. Potential Development	270
2. Recommendations	271
2.1. Support for Improving Legal Framework	272
2.2. Support for Constructing Institutions.....	276
2.3. Support for Legal Training and Exchange of Legal Information	279
Concluding Remarks	282
CHAPTER IV	284
NEW CHALLENGES TO EXISTENCE OF CURRENT ADCs IN VIETNAM	284
Introduction	284

2.2.3. <i>Judgment and Performance</i>	227
2.3. Introduction to Some Administrative Lawsuits in China.....	229
2.3.1. <i>Hepatitis B Carriers Case (2003)</i>	229
2.3.2. <i>Qiao Zhangxiang vs. Ministry of Railways Case (2001)</i>	231
3. Learning from Japanese Experiences	233
3.1. Change from Model of Administrative Court to Ordinary Court.....	233
3.1.1. <i>Meiji Constitution and Model of Continental Administrative Court</i> ...	233
3.1.2. <i>Japanese Constitution (1947) and Changes to Model of Ordinary Court</i>	239
3.2. Some Experiences on Jurisdiction over Settlement of Administrative Cases.....	243
3.2.1. <i>Scope of Judicial Review</i>	243
3.2.2. <i>No Compulsory Administrative Reconsideration</i>	250
3.2.3. <i>Categories of Administrative Litigation</i>	251
3.2.4. <i>Interim Relief, Judgment and Implementation</i>	255
3.3. Introduction to Some Administrative Lawsuits in Japan.....	257
3.3.1. <i>Revocation of Approval Disposition on Constructing Odakyu Line (2005)</i>	257
3.3.2. <i>Confirmation of Invalid Permission in Monju Case (2003)</i>	261
III. Necessity of Foreign Legal Assistance Regarding ALRS.....	264
1. Administrative Law Review System: A Difficult but Potential Field.....	265
1.1. Limitation of FLA Projects	265
1.2. Potential Development	270
2. Recommendations	271
2.1. Support for Improving Legal Framework.....	272
2.2. Support for Constructing Institutions.....	276
2.3. Support for Legal Training and Exchange of Legal Information	279
Concluding Remarks	282
CHAPTER IV	284
NEW CHALLENGES TO EXISTENCE OF CURRENT ADCs IN VIETNAM	284
Introduction	284

I. Contemporary Legal Debates on Setting up Models Other than the Existing Model	285
1. Transplanting Rule of Law and Judicial Reform Regarding the Administrative Court Model	285
1.1. Informal Institutional Approach to Rule of Law.....	285
1.2. Judicial Reform and Vietnamese Communist Party's Points of View.....	290
2. Constant Debate on Looking for the Best Model	295
2.1. Whether to Form an Administrative Jurisdiction Body Attached to Government.....	295
2.2. Whether to Set Up Administrative Court Model like Current Military Courts	302
2.3. Whether to Establish a Model of Regional Administrative Courts.....	303
2.4. Whether to Introduce a Model of Administrative Tribunals.....	306
II. Theoretical Implications and Recommendations	312
1. Theoretical Implication for Future Development of Vietnamese Administrative Law	312
2. Recommendations on Improving the Court Model and Jurisdiction in Vietnam	316
2.1. The Ruling Party's Role and the State Mechanism.....	316
2.2. Legal Framework and Expansion of Jurisdiction	319
2.3. Court Model and Institutions	324
2.3.1. <i>AJB attached to Government and New Appellate System</i>	324
2.3.2. <i>ADCs and Judicial Review System</i>	328
2.4. Legal Human Resources	331
2.4.1. <i>Appointment and Training Administrative Judges</i>	331
2.4.2. <i>Other Legal Posts</i>	346
Concluding Remarks	350
CONCLUSION	351
BIBLIOGRAPHY	359