
TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>Brief Table of Contents</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>Table of Cases</i>	<i>xix</i>
Introduction	1
Introduction.....	1
A Structured Approach to Interpreting Article 1 of Protocol No 1	5
The Structure of the Book.....	6
Reforming the Human Rights Act 1998.....	9
1. Interpreting Article 1 of Protocol No 1	10
Interpreting Convention Rights in the UK.....	10
The Interpretive Presumptions	11
The Requirement to ‘Mirror’ Decisions of the ECtHR	11
Precedent and the Strasbourg Court.....	12
Interpreting Legislation in a Manner that is Compatible with the ECHR.....	13
Duties on Public Authorities	15
Identifying a ‘Public Authority’	15
The Meaning of a ‘Victim’ under the Human Rights Act 1998, Section 7	17
Shareholders of a Company and Victim Status.....	19
Article 34 Procedure	21
Limitations	22
Horizontal Effect: Private Law and Article 1 of Protocol No 1	22
Horizontal Effect, Private Law and the Strasbourg Court.....	24
Parliamentary Scrutiny.....	26
Remedies.....	26
The Devolved Administrations.....	27
Summary of the Key Principles for Interpreting Convention Rights in the UK.....	29
Interpreting the European Convention on Human Rights	30
The Restrained Approach	30
A Black-Letter Approach.....	30
Intentionalism: The Guiding Principles on Giving Effect to the <i>Travaux Préparatoires</i>	31
The ‘Margin of Appreciation’	32

Criticisms of the Margin of Appreciation.....	37
Conclusions on the Margin of Appreciation.....	37
Guiding Principles on when the ECtHR Takes an Activist Approach.....	37
The ‘Autonomous Approach’.....	37
Article 1 of Protocol No 1 as part of a ‘Living Instrument’.....	38
An ‘Innovative’ Approach to Interpretation.....	40
Conclusions on the Interpretive Tools Used by the ECtHR.....	40
2. ‘Property’ as a Disputed Human Right.....	42
Introduction.....	42
Property as a Natural Right.....	43
Should ‘Property’ or ‘Right to Property’ Be Considered Human Rights?.....	44
The Ambit of Article 1 of Protocol No 1.....	49
The Relevance of the Right to Property Being Omitted from the Convention.....	50
The Inclusion of the Human Right to Property in International Human Rights Law.....	50
Example of the Right to Property in Other Legal Systems.....	52
USA.....	52
France.....	53
Republic of Ireland.....	54
Republic of South Africa.....	56
Other Contracting States to the European Convention on Human Rights.....	57
Legal Protections and Presumptions Concerning the Right to Property before Article 1 of Protocol No 1.....	57
What Is ‘Property’?.....	59
Property in ‘Thin Air’.....	61
Conclusion.....	62
3. Drafting Article 1 of Protocol No 1.....	63
Introduction.....	63
The Background to the European Convention on Human Rights.....	63
Drafting the European Convention on Human Rights.....	64
The Initial Proposal to Include a Right to Property.....	65
The Opposition to the Right to Property.....	66
Support for a Right to Property.....	68
The Initial Failure to Agree on the Inclusion of a Right to Property.....	68
The Difficulties in Agreeing on the Inclusion and Drafting of a Right to Property.....	69
The <i>Travaux Préparatoires</i> for the First Protocol.....	72
The Final Push: Reconciling Differences and Drafting What would become Article 1 of Protocol No 1.....	73
Conclusions.....	76

4. Identifying a Possession	78
Introduction.....	78
Reconciling the French and English Texts on the Meaning of Possession.....	79
The Guiding Principles on the Meaning of 'Possession'	81
The Autonomous Approach to Interpreting 'Possession'	81
The Protection of a Person's Existing 'Possessions'	83
Identifying When an Individual has a 'Legitimate Expectation' of Acquiring a 'Possession'	84
Legitimate Expectation to a Possession should Relate to a Part of Existing Property.....	85
When an Individual can Hold a Legitimate Expectation to an 'Asset'	88
The Development of (New) Possessions in the Context of Social Security	91
Establishing a Legitimate Expectation?.....	94
Summary of how to Establish a Legitimate Expectation to a Possession.....	96
The Relevance of Domestic Law when Considering the Meaning of 'Possession'.....	96
Summary of the Key Factors to Consider when Identifying a 'Possession'	99
What is a 'Possession'?.....	99
Real Property, Licences to Occupy Property and Housing.....	99
Contracts	102
Company Shares, Money and Financial Products	104
Court Fees, Costs and Receivers.....	105
Taxation	105
Claims and Judgment Debts	108
Arbitral Awards.....	109
Adjudication Awards.....	109
Insurance Claims	110
Goodwill and Identifying the Dividing Line between Goodwill and 'Future Income'	111
Social Security, Employment Benefits and Pensions	116
Intellectual Property.....	120
Licences.....	121
What is not a Possession?.....	123
Inherently Limited Property Rights	123
Waiving the Right to a Possession	124
No Right to a 'Pleasant Environment'	126
The Inability to Demonstrate the Existence of an Asset.....	127
No Right to a Conditional Claim	128
No Right to 'Future Income'	128
No Right to Social Security	129
'Possessions' in the Human Body.....	130
Conflict of Laws and Possessions	130

The Evidence Required to Establish the Existence of a ‘Possession’ before the Strasbourg Court.....	131
Conclusion on the Meaning of ‘Possession’.....	131
5. Categorising Interferences: The Three Rules in <i>Sporrong</i>	133
Introduction.....	133
Categorising Interferences: The Principles Derived from <i>Sporrong</i> and <i>Lönnroth v Sweden</i>	134
Locating the Dividing Line between ‘Control of Use’ and ‘Deprivation’	137
How the ECtHR Approaches Different Interferences with the Peaceful Enjoyment of Possession.....	138
The Meaning of a ‘Deprivation’	139
Identifying a de jure Deprivation	139
Identifying a de facto Deprivation	140
How Domestic Courts have Interpreted and Applied the Dividing Line between a Deprivation and a Control of Use	141
A Move Away from the Importance of Classifying an Interference by Domestic Courts?	143
Summary of What Constitutes a Deprivation	145
The Meaning of a ‘Control of Use’	146
Examples of Controls of Use	147
A Control of Use: Landlord and Tenant Law.....	147
A Control of Use: Customs, Confiscation and Seizure.....	150
A Control of Use: Adverse Possession	151
A Control of Use: Planning and Environmental Law	155
A Control of Use: Licences	157
A Control of Use: Ethical Objections.....	159
A ‘General Interference’	159
A General Interference: Land Consolidation	160
A General Interference: The Inability to Safely Access Property	161
A General Interference: Planning and Environmental Law	162
What Is Not an Interference?.....	164
No Interference where the Effect of a Measure is to Implement Rather than Override a Private Bargain.....	164
The Reverse Reasoning of the ECtHR and the Importance of the Presumption of Compensation for Deprivations.....	166
Classifying Interferences and Property as a Bundle of Rights	169
Conclusions on Classifying Interferences.....	169
Key Principles for Identifying Different Types of Interference.....	170
6. Identifying when an Interference is ‘Lawful’	171
Introduction.....	171
What Is the ‘Law’?	172
The Meaning of ‘Lawful’ and the ‘Rule of Law’	172
Identifying the Rule of Law	173
The Principle of Lawfulness.....	173

Identifying the Meaning of 'Substantive Protection'	175
The Importance of a Basis in Domestic Law	176
When Will the ECtHR Undertake a Substantive Review of Domestic Law?	177
Lawfulness, Abrupt Changes to the Law and the Yukos Affair.....	180
Conclusions on Domestic Law	182
The Key Features of Lawfulness: Accessible, Precise and Foreseeable.....	182
The Meaning of 'Accessible'	182
The Meaning of 'Precise'	185
The Meaning of 'Foreseeable'	188
Retrospective Laws.....	192
The General Principles of International Law	193
How the ECtHR has Interpreted the 'General Principles of International Law'	193
Identifying the General Principle of International Law	194
Conclusions on Lawfulness	196
7. The 'Public' and 'General Interest'	199
Introduction.....	199
Reconciling the French and English Language Texts.....	200
How the ECtHR has Interpreted the Meaning of the Public and General Interest	200
The Public Interest and the Pervasive Influence of the Margin of Appreciation	204
Environmental Protection and the Public Interest.....	205
Interferences not in the Public Interest.....	206
Domestic Interpretation of the Public Interest Test	207
Conclusion on the Meaning of the Public and General Interest	208
8. Proportionality	209
Introduction.....	209
The Structure of Proportionality.....	210
Proportionality, the Margin of Appreciation and Deference	213
The Burdens and Presumptions in Proportionality Review.....	216
Proportionality and Micro- and Macro-Level Review.....	218
The Development of Proportionality Review.....	221
The Four-Part Structure to Proportionality Review.....	222
What Constitutes a Legitimate Aim?	222
Domestic Courts in the UK and a Legitimate Aim	224
When Is an Interference 'Rationally Connected' to a 'Legitimate Aim'?	226
When Is an Interference under Article 1 of Protocol No 1 No More Than Necessary?	228
Stage Three Proportionality and the Material to which a Court can Give Weight.....	236
Summary on Identifying When an Interference is No More than Necessary	238

How to Identify When an Interference Strikes a 'Fair Balance'	239
Modifying Common Law Rights to Compensation	240
The Importance of Compensation to the Fair Balance.....	242
Just Compensation and Deprivations.....	243
Departing from Compensation Reasonably Related to Market Value.....	244
Compensation below Market Value: Social Justice	244
Compensation below Market Value: Fundamental Economic Restricting and Political Change.....	245
Compensation below Market Value: Financial Crises.....	249
The Fair Balance and Idiosyncratic Value.....	252
The Fair Balance, Idiosyncratic Value and an Individual's Morality	252
The Inability to Purchase 'Equivalent' Land	253
Compensation above Market Value: An Individual's Main Source of Income.....	255
Compensation above Market Value: Devaluation of Retained Property.....	255
Cultural, Artistic and Historical Value.....	257
<i>James v United Kingdom: An 'Insurmountable Obstacle' to Compensation or the Emergence of a 'Stronger Protection'?</i>	257
Just Compensation and Controls of Use	261
Just Compensation and General Interferences.....	265
Compensation Must be Paid Promptly	266
The Fair Balance and the Procedural Obligation	266
The Procedural Obligation and Judicial Review	267
The Procedural Obligation and Critical Review	270
The Procedural Obligations and Alternative Dispute Resolution	272
The Procedural Obligation and Retrospective Measures.....	273
Domestic Courts, Retrospectivity and 'Special Justifications'	276
The Fair Balance, Retrospectivity and Taxation	279
Conclusion on the Fair Balance and Retrospectivity	279
Conclusion on Four-Part Proportionality.....	280
Manifestly without Reasonable Foundation.....	280
Development of the Manifestly without Reasonable Foundation	282
<i>JD and A v United Kingdom: The 'Bedroom Tax' Case</i>	284
<i>Humphreys v Revenue and Customs Commissioner</i>	287
Manifestly without Reasonable Foundation in Practice	290
The Manifestly without Reasonable Foundation in Hong Kong	292
Criticisms of the Manifestly without Reasonable Foundation.....	295
Conclusion on the Manifestly without Reasonable Foundation.....	296
Conclusions on Proportionality.....	297
9. Just Satisfaction.....	300
Introduction.....	300
The Problem of Enforcement	301

Just Satisfaction under Article 41.....	302
First Condition: A Violation has Occurred.....	302
Second Condition: Inadequate Domestic Legal Remedies.....	302
Third Condition: Just Satisfaction is Necessary.....	303
The Procedure in Just Satisfaction Claims.....	303
Calculating Pecuniary Damages.....	306
The Relevant Date for Calculating Just Satisfaction.....	307
Calculating Non-pecuniary Damages.....	309
Method of Calculating Non-pecuniary Damages under Article 1 of Protocol No 1.....	309
Calculating Costs and Expenses.....	311
Calculating Just Satisfaction in England and Wales.....	311
Damages for Breaches of Article 1 of Protocol No 1.....	313
Conclusion.....	316
10. Positive Obligations.....	317
Introduction.....	317
The Scope of the Positive Obligation under Article 1 of Protocol No 1.....	318
Effective Adjudication and Procedural Safeguards.....	318
Good Governance and an Effective System of Land Registration.....	319
Protecting Private Property from Environmental and Man-Made Risks.....	320
The Positive Obligation to Preserve and Prevent the Loss or Destruction of Seized Property.....	326
Duty to Conduct an Effective Investigation When Property has been Stolen.....	327
Conclusion.....	329
11. The Relationship between Article 1 of Protocol No 1 and Articles 6, 8 and 14.....	330
Introduction.....	330
Article 6 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1.....	331
The Existence of a Dispute and the Meaning of 'Civil Rights and Obligations'.....	332
Disputes Falling Outside the Scope of Article 6(1).....	334
The Right to Fair and Public Hearing: The Right to Access a Court.....	334
Independent and Impartial Tribunal Established by Law.....	336
Independence.....	336
Impartiality.....	337
Impartiality: The Subjective Test.....	337
Impartiality: The Objective Test.....	337
Judgment within a Reasonable Time and the Enforcement of Court Decisions.....	338
Fairness.....	341
The Right to a Public Hearing.....	341
Sufficient Reasons.....	341

Inconsistent and Conflicting Case Law	342
Article 6 and Retrospective Laws	342
The Relationship between the Lawfulness Standard, Article 1 of Protocol No 1 and Article 6.....	342
Article 6 and Criminal Proceedings.....	344
Article 8 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1	345
The Structure of Article 8	346
Positive Obligations under Article 8	346
Article 8 and the Margin of Appreciation	346
The Meaning of ‘Home’	347
Interference with a ‘Home’	349
When Is an Interference under Article 8 Justified?.....	349
The Meaning of ‘in Accordance with Law’ in Article 8	349
Necessary in a Democratic Society	350
Identifying a ‘Legitimate Aim’	350
When Is an Interference with a ‘Home’ Proportionate?	351
Article 8, Proportionality Review and Possession Proceedings	352
Balancing Article 8 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1.....	356
Article 8 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1	358
Balancing Article 8 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1 in Vertical (Public) Relationships.....	360
Balancing Article 8 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1 in Horizontal (Private) Relationships	362
Conclusion on Balancing Article 8 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1	363
Article 14 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1	363
Protocol No 12 and the General Prohibition of Discrimination.....	365
The Equality Act 2010.....	365
The Structure of Article 14.....	366
The ‘Ambit’ of Article 1 of Protocol No 1	367
Has There Been Discrimination?.....	368
Identifying the Grounds of Discrimination in Article 14	370
Gender	370
Race.....	372
Birth	372
Language	372
Religion	372
Property.....	373
Nationality.....	373
Identifying What Constitutes an ‘Other Status’ under Article 14	374
When Is Discrimination Justified?	376
Suspect Grounds, Article 14 and a Narrow Margin of Appreciation	377
Article 14 and a Wide Margin of Appreciation.....	378
Conclusion on Article 14 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1.....	382

12. Conclusion.....383
 The Role of Article 1 of Protocol No 1383
 Article 1 of Protocol No 1 as a Threshold Protection383
 A Precautionary Approach to Article 1 of Protocol No 1384
 Article 1 of Protocol No 1 Modifying the Law386
 Summary387

Bibliography.....389
Index.....397

Ab...
 Ad...
 AFD...
 A...
 AGO...
 Agro...
 Agrate...
 Air Cas...
 Airey v Ire...
 Aldivar v...
 Alkua v...
 Albergas...
 Albert v Be...
 ... 7 July 2...
 Albid v Bos...
 ... App n...
 Al-Sheini v...
 Althoff v Ger...
 Amato Gaud...
 Ambrusci v Ita...
 Amundjadi v Ger...
 Andrijeva v Lat...
 ...
 Andreotti Papi v Tu...
 Ankeuser-Busch v...
 ...
 Annual Defenders Tr...
 ... 27 HOUR 21...
 Anselmo v Italy (20...
 Antonio Augusto v Port...
 ... 8 October 2013)...
 ... v Bulgaria (2...