
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|------------|
| <i>Acknowledgements</i> | <i>v</i> |
| <i>Brief Table of Contents</i> | <i>vii</i> |
| <i>Table of Cases</i> | <i>xix</i> |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Introduction..... | 1 |
| A Structured Approach to Interpreting Article 1 of Protocol No 1 | 5 |
| The Structure of the Book..... | 6 |
| Reforming the Human Rights Act 1998..... | 9 |
| 1. Interpreting Article 1 of Protocol No 1 | 10 |
| Interpreting Convention Rights in the UK..... | 10 |
| The Interpretive Presumptions | 11 |
| The Requirement to 'Mirror' Decisions of the ECtHR | 11 |
| Precedent and the Strasbourg Court..... | 12 |
| Interpreting Legislation in a Manner that is Compatible with the ECHR..... | 13 |
| Duties on Public Authorities | 15 |
| Identifying a 'Public Authority' | 15 |
| The Meaning of a 'Victim' under the Human Rights Act 1998, Section 7 | 17 |
| Shareholders of a Company and Victim Status..... | 19 |
| Article 34 Procedure | 21 |
| Limitations | 22 |
| Horizontal Effect: Private Law and Article 1 of Protocol No 1 | 22 |
| Horizontal Effect, Private Law and the Strasbourg Court..... | 24 |
| Parliamentary Scrutiny..... | 26 |
| Remedies..... | 26 |
| The Devolved Administrations..... | 27 |
| Summary of the Key Principles for Interpreting Convention Rights in the UK..... | 29 |
| Interpreting the European Convention on Human Rights | 30 |
| The Restrained Approach | 30 |
| A Black-Letter Approach..... | 30 |
| Intentionalism: The Guiding Principles on Giving Effect to the <i>Travaux Préparatoires</i> | 31 |
| The 'Margin of Appreciation' | 32 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Criticisms of the Margin of Appreciation..... | 37 |
| Conclusions on the Margin of Appreciation..... | 37 |
| Guiding Principles on when the ECtHR Takes an Activist Approach..... | 37 |
| The ‘Autonomous Approach’..... | 37 |
| Article 1 of Protocol No 1 as part of a ‘Living Instrument’..... | 38 |
| An ‘Innovative’ Approach to Interpretation..... | 40 |
| Conclusions on the Interpretive Tools Used by the ECtHR..... | 40 |
| 2. ‘Property’ as a Disputed Human Right..... | 42 |
| Introduction..... | 42 |
| Property as a Natural Right..... | 43 |
| Should ‘Property’ or ‘Right to Property’ Be Considered Human Rights?..... | 44 |
| The Ambit of Article 1 of Protocol No 1..... | 49 |
| The Relevance of the Right to Property Being Omitted from the Convention..... | 50 |
| The Inclusion of the Human Right to Property in International Human Rights Law..... | 50 |
| Example of the Right to Property in Other Legal Systems..... | 52 |
| USA..... | 52 |
| France..... | 53 |
| Republic of Ireland..... | 54 |
| Republic of South Africa..... | 56 |
| Other Contracting States to the European Convention on Human Rights..... | 57 |
| Legal Protections and Presumptions Concerning the Right to Property before Article 1 of Protocol No 1..... | 57 |
| What Is ‘Property’?..... | 59 |
| Property in ‘Thin Air’..... | 61 |
| Conclusion..... | 62 |
| 3. Drafting Article 1 of Protocol No 1..... | 63 |
| Introduction..... | 63 |
| The Background to the European Convention on Human Rights..... | 63 |
| Drafting the European Convention on Human Rights..... | 64 |
| The Initial Proposal to Include a Right to Property..... | 65 |
| The Opposition to the Right to Property..... | 66 |
| Support for a Right to Property..... | 68 |
| The Initial Failure to Agree on the Inclusion of a Right to Property..... | 68 |
| The Difficulties in Agreeing on the Inclusion and Drafting of a Right to Property..... | 69 |
| The <i>Travaux Préparatoires</i> for the First Protocol..... | 72 |
| The Final Push: Reconciling Differences and Drafting What would become Article 1 of Protocol No 1..... | 73 |
| Conclusions..... | 76 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 4. Identifying a Possession | 78 |
| Introduction..... | 78 |
| Reconciling the French and English Texts on the Meaning of Possession..... | 79 |
| The Guiding Principles on the Meaning of 'Possession' | 81 |
| The Autonomous Approach to Interpreting 'Possession' | 81 |
| The Protection of a Person's Existing 'Possessions' | 83 |
| Identifying When an Individual has a 'Legitimate Expectation' of Acquiring a 'Possession' | 84 |
| Legitimate Expectation to a Possession should Relate to a Part of Existing Property..... | 85 |
| When an Individual can Hold a Legitimate Expectation to an 'Asset' | 88 |
| The Development of (New) Possessions in the Context of Social Security | 91 |
| Establishing a Legitimate Expectation?..... | 94 |
| Summary of how to Establish a Legitimate Expectation to a Possession..... | 96 |
| The Relevance of Domestic Law when Considering the Meaning of 'Possession'..... | 96 |
| Summary of the Key Factors to Consider when Identifying a 'Possession' | 99 |
| What is a 'Possession'?..... | 99 |
| Real Property, Licences to Occupy Property and Housing..... | 99 |
| Contracts | 102 |
| Company Shares, Money and Financial Products | 104 |
| Court Fees, Costs and Receivers..... | 105 |
| Taxation | 105 |
| Claims and Judgment Debts | 108 |
| Arbitral Awards..... | 109 |
| Adjudication Awards..... | 109 |
| Insurance Claims | 110 |
| Goodwill and Identifying the Dividing Line between Goodwill and 'Future Income' | 111 |
| Social Security, Employment Benefits and Pensions | 116 |
| Intellectual Property..... | 120 |
| Licences..... | 121 |
| What is not a Possession?..... | 123 |
| Inherently Limited Property Rights | 123 |
| Waiving the Right to a Possession | 124 |
| No Right to a 'Pleasant Environment' | 126 |
| The Inability to Demonstrate the Existence of an Asset..... | 127 |
| No Right to a Conditional Claim | 128 |
| No Right to 'Future Income' | 128 |
| No Right to Social Security | 129 |
| 'Possessions' in the Human Body..... | 130 |
| Conflict of Laws and Possessions | 130 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| The Evidence Required to Establish the Existence of a ‘Possession’ before the Strasbourg Court..... | 131 |
| Conclusion on the Meaning of ‘Possession’..... | 131 |
| 5. Categorising Interferences: The Three Rules in <i>Sporrong</i> | 133 |
| Introduction..... | 133 |
| Categorising Interferences: The Principles Derived from <i>Sporrong</i> and <i>Lönnroth v Sweden</i> | 134 |
| Locating the Dividing Line between ‘Control of Use’ and ‘Deprivation’ | 137 |
| How the ECtHR Approaches Different Interferences with the Peaceful Enjoyment of Possession..... | 138 |
| The Meaning of a ‘Deprivation’ | 139 |
| Identifying a de jure Deprivation | 139 |
| Identifying a de facto Deprivation | 140 |
| How Domestic Courts have Interpreted and Applied the Dividing Line between a Deprivation and a Control of Use | 141 |
| A Move Away from the Importance of Classifying an Interference by Domestic Courts? | 143 |
| Summary of What Constitutes a Deprivation | 145 |
| The Meaning of a ‘Control of Use’ | 146 |
| Examples of Controls of Use | 147 |
| A Control of Use: Landlord and Tenant Law..... | 147 |
| A Control of Use: Customs, Confiscation and Seizure..... | 150 |
| A Control of Use: Adverse Possession | 151 |
| A Control of Use: Planning and Environmental Law..... | 155 |
| A Control of Use: Licences | 157 |
| A Control of Use: Ethical Objections..... | 159 |
| A ‘General Interference’ | 159 |
| A General Interference: Land Consolidation | 160 |
| A General Interference: The Inability to Safely Access Property | 161 |
| A General Interference: Planning and Environmental Law | 162 |
| What Is Not an Interference?..... | 164 |
| No Interference where the Effect of a Measure is to Implement Rather than Override a Private Bargain..... | 164 |
| The Reverse Reasoning of the ECtHR and the Importance of the Presumption of Compensation for Deprivations..... | 166 |
| Classifying Interferences and Property as a Bundle of Rights | 169 |
| Conclusions on Classifying Interferences..... | 169 |
| Key Principles for Identifying Different Types of Interference..... | 170 |
| 6. Identifying when an Interference is ‘Lawful’ | 171 |
| Introduction..... | 171 |
| What Is the ‘Law’? | 172 |
| The Meaning of ‘Lawful’ and the ‘Rule of Law’ | 172 |
| Identifying the Rule of Law | 173 |
| The Principle of Lawfulness..... | 173 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Identifying the Meaning of 'Substantive Protection' | 175 |
| The Importance of a Basis in Domestic Law | 176 |
| When Will the ECtHR Undertake a Substantive Review of Domestic Law? | 177 |
| Lawfulness, Abrupt Changes to the Law and the Yukos Affair..... | 180 |
| Conclusions on Domestic Law | 182 |
| The Key Features of Lawfulness: Accessible, Precise and Foreseeable..... | 182 |
| The Meaning of 'Accessible' | 182 |
| The Meaning of 'Precise' | 185 |
| The Meaning of 'Foreseeable' | 188 |
| Retrospective Laws..... | 192 |
| The General Principles of International Law | 193 |
| How the ECtHR has Interpreted the 'General Principles of International Law' | 193 |
| Identifying the General Principle of International Law | 194 |
| Conclusions on Lawfulness | 196 |
| 7. The 'Public' and 'General Interest' | 199 |
| Introduction..... | 199 |
| Reconciling the French and English Language Texts..... | 200 |
| How the ECtHR has Interpreted the Meaning of the Public and General Interest | 200 |
| The Public Interest and the Pervasive Influence of the Margin of Appreciation | 204 |
| Environmental Protection and the Public Interest..... | 205 |
| Interferences not in the Public Interest..... | 206 |
| Domestic Interpretation of the Public Interest Test | 207 |
| Conclusion on the Meaning of the Public and General Interest | 208 |
| 8. Proportionality | 209 |
| Introduction..... | 209 |
| The Structure of Proportionality..... | 210 |
| Proportionality, the Margin of Appreciation and Deference | 213 |
| The Burdens and Presumptions in Proportionality Review..... | 216 |
| Proportionality and Micro- and Macro-Level Review..... | 218 |
| The Development of Proportionality Review..... | 221 |
| The Four-Part Structure to Proportionality Review..... | 222 |
| What Constitutes a Legitimate Aim? | 222 |
| Domestic Courts in the UK and a Legitimate Aim | 224 |
| When Is an Interference 'Rationally Connected' to a 'Legitimate Aim'? | 226 |
| When Is an Interference under Article 1 of Protocol No 1 No More Than Necessary? | 228 |
| Stage Three Proportionality and the Material to which a Court can Give Weight..... | 236 |
| Summary on Identifying When an Interference is No More than Necessary | 238 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| How to Identify When an Interference Strikes a 'Fair Balance' | 239 |
| Modifying Common Law Rights to Compensation | 240 |
| The Importance of Compensation to the Fair Balance..... | 242 |
| Just Compensation and Deprivations..... | 243 |
| Departing from Compensation Reasonably Related to Market Value..... | 244 |
| Compensation below Market Value: Social Justice | 244 |
| Compensation below Market Value: Fundamental Economic Restricting and Political Change..... | 245 |
| Compensation below Market Value: Financial Crises..... | 249 |
| The Fair Balance and Idiosyncratic Value..... | 252 |
| The Fair Balance, Idiosyncratic Value and an Individual's Morality | 252 |
| The Inability to Purchase 'Equivalent' Land | 253 |
| Compensation above Market Value: An Individual's Main Source of Income..... | 255 |
| Compensation above Market Value: Devaluation of Retained Property..... | 255 |
| Cultural, Artistic and Historical Value..... | 257 |
| <i>James v United Kingdom: An 'Insuperable Obstacle' to Compensation or the Emergence of a 'Stronger Protection'?</i> | 257 |
| Just Compensation and Controls of Use | 261 |
| Just Compensation and General Interferences..... | 265 |
| Compensation Must be Paid Promptly | 266 |
| The Fair Balance and the Procedural Obligation | 266 |
| The Procedural Obligation and Judicial Review..... | 267 |
| The Procedural Obligation and Critical Review..... | 270 |
| The Procedural Obligations and Alternative Dispute Resolution | 272 |
| The Procedural Obligation and Retrospective Measures..... | 273 |
| Domestic Courts, Retrospectivity and 'Special Justifications'..... | 276 |
| The Fair Balance, Retrospectivity and Taxation | 279 |
| Conclusion on the Fair Balance and Retrospectivity | 279 |
| Conclusion on Four-Part Proportionality..... | 280 |
| Manifestly without Reasonable Foundation..... | 280 |
| Development of the Manifestly without Reasonable Foundation | 282 |
| <i>JD and A v United Kingdom: The 'Bedroom Tax' Case</i> | 284 |
| <i>Humphreys v Revenue and Customs Commissioner</i> | 287 |
| Manifestly without Reasonable Foundation in Practice | 290 |
| The Manifestly without Reasonable Foundation in Hong Kong | 292 |
| Criticisms of the Manifestly without Reasonable Foundation..... | 295 |
| Conclusion on the Manifestly without Reasonable Foundation..... | 296 |
| Conclusions on Proportionality..... | 297 |
| 9. Just Satisfaction..... | 300 |
| Introduction..... | 300 |
| The Problem of Enforcement | 301 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Just Satisfaction under Article 41..... | 302 |
| First Condition: A Violation has Occurred..... | 302 |
| Second Condition: Inadequate Domestic Legal Remedies..... | 302 |
| Third Condition: Just Satisfaction is Necessary..... | 303 |
| The Procedure in Just Satisfaction Claims..... | 303 |
| Calculating Pecuniary Damages..... | 306 |
| The Relevant Date for Calculating Just Satisfaction..... | 307 |
| Calculating Non-pecuniary Damages..... | 309 |
| Method of Calculating Non-pecuniary Damages under Article 1 of Protocol No 1..... | 309 |
| Calculating Costs and Expenses..... | 311 |
| Calculating Just Satisfaction in England and Wales..... | 311 |
| Damages for Breaches of Article 1 of Protocol No 1..... | 313 |
| Conclusion..... | 316 |
| 10. Positive Obligations..... | 317 |
| Introduction..... | 317 |
| The Scope of the Positive Obligation under Article 1 of Protocol No 1..... | 318 |
| Effective Adjudication and Procedural Safeguards..... | 318 |
| Good Governance and an Effective System of Land Registration..... | 319 |
| Protecting Private Property from Environmental and Man-Made Risks..... | 320 |
| The Positive Obligation to Preserve and Prevent the Loss or Destruction of Seized Property..... | 326 |
| Duty to Conduct an Effective Investigation When Property has been Stolen..... | 327 |
| Conclusion..... | 329 |
| 11. The Relationship between Article 1 of Protocol No 1 and Articles 6, 8 and 14..... | 330 |
| Introduction..... | 330 |
| Article 6 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1..... | 331 |
| The Existence of a Dispute and the Meaning of 'Civil Rights and Obligations'..... | 332 |
| Disputes Falling Outside the Scope of Article 6(1)..... | 334 |
| The Right to Fair and Public Hearing: The Right to Access a Court..... | 334 |
| Independent and Impartial Tribunal Established by Law..... | 336 |
| Independence..... | 336 |
| Impartiality..... | 337 |
| Impartiality: The Subjective Test..... | 337 |
| Impartiality: The Objective Test..... | 337 |
| Judgment within a Reasonable Time and the Enforcement of Court Decisions..... | 338 |
| Fairness..... | 341 |
| The Right to a Public Hearing..... | 341 |
| Sufficient Reasons..... | 341 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Inconsistent and Conflicting Case Law | 342 |
| Article 6 and Retrospective Laws | 342 |
| The Relationship between the Lawfulness Standard, Article 1 of Protocol No 1 and Article 6..... | 342 |
| Article 6 and Criminal Proceedings..... | 344 |
| Article 8 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1 | 345 |
| The Structure of Article 8 | 346 |
| Positive Obligations under Article 8 | 346 |
| Article 8 and the Margin of Appreciation | 346 |
| The Meaning of ‘Home’ | 347 |
| Interference with a ‘Home’ | 349 |
| When Is an Interference under Article 8 Justified?..... | 349 |
| The Meaning of ‘in Accordance with Law’ in Article 8 | 349 |
| Necessary in a Democratic Society | 350 |
| Identifying a ‘Legitimate Aim’ | 350 |
| When Is an Interference with a ‘Home’ Proportionate? | 351 |
| Article 8, Proportionality Review and Possession Proceedings | 352 |
| Balancing Article 8 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1..... | 356 |
| Article 8 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1 | 358 |
| Balancing Article 8 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1 in Vertical (Public) Relationships..... | 360 |
| Balancing Article 8 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1 in Horizontal (Private) Relationships | 362 |
| Conclusion on Balancing Article 8 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1 | 363 |
| Article 14 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1 | 363 |
| Protocol No 12 and the General Prohibition of Discrimination..... | 365 |
| The Equality Act 2010..... | 365 |
| The Structure of Article 14..... | 366 |
| The ‘Ambit’ of Article 1 of Protocol No 1 | 367 |
| Has There Been Discrimination?..... | 368 |
| Identifying the Grounds of Discrimination in Article 14 | 370 |
| Gender | 370 |
| Race..... | 372 |
| Birth | 372 |
| Language | 372 |
| Religion | 372 |
| Property..... | 373 |
| Nationality..... | 373 |
| Identifying What Constitutes an ‘Other Status’ under Article 14 | 374 |
| When Is Discrimination Justified? | 376 |
| Suspect Grounds, Article 14 and a Narrow Margin of Appreciation | 377 |
| Article 14 and a Wide Margin of Appreciation..... | 378 |
| Conclusion on Article 14 and Article 1 of Protocol No 1..... | 382 |

12. Conclusion383

 The Role of Article 1 of Protocol No 1383

 Article 1 of Protocol No 1 as a Threshold Protection383

 A Precautionary Approach to Article 1 of Protocol No 1384

 Article 1 of Protocol No 1 Modifying the Law386

 Summary387

Bibliography.....389

Index397

Ab...
 Ad...
 AFD...
 AGO...
 Agro...
 Agrote...
 Air Cas...
 Airey v Ir...
 Aldívar v...
 Alkua v...
 Albergas...
 Albert v Br...
 7 July 20...
 Allie v Box...
 App no 8...
 Al-Sheini v...
 Althoff v Ger...
 Amato Gaud...
 Amquost v Ita...
 Amundjadi v Ge...
 Andrejeva v Lat...
 Andreou Papl v Tu...
 Anheuser-Busch v...
 Annual Defenders Tr...
 SF HOUR 21...
 Anagnosto v Italy (20...
 Antonio Augusto v Port...
 8 October 2013)...
 Anundadi v Bulgaria (2...