

Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>Table of Cases</i>	<i>xiii</i>
<i>Legal Documents and Reports</i>	<i>xvii</i>

Introduction	1
---------------------------	----------

PART I FUNDAMENTALS

1. On the Concepts of Law and Human Rights	13
I. The Dual Nature of Law	13
II. The Concept of Human Rights	14
2. A New Concept of Evolutive and Static Interpretation	17
I. Evolutive Interpretation Within a Normative Theory of Interpretation	18
II. Evolutive Interpretation as an Element of the Time Dimension of Interpretation	21
III. Static Interpretation as the Parameter for Evolutive Interpretation	23
3. The Legitimacy of Evolutive Interpretation Revisited	28
I. Evolutive Interpretation and the ECHR	30
A. European Consensus	30
B. Moral Reading and States' Commitment	35
C. Rights Principle	39
II. Evolutive Interpretation and the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties	41
A. Parties' Intentions	42
B. Object and Purpose	47
C. Other Rules of International Law Applicable in the Relations between Parties	51
D. Effectiveness	54

III.	Evolutionary Interpretation and General Principles of International Law	56
A.	Objectivity of the Law	57
B.	Human Dignity	58
C.	<i>Pro Persona</i> Interpretation.....	60
4.	The Criticism against Evolutionary Interpretation Revisited.....	62
I.	Democratic Legitimacy of Evolutionary Interpretation.....	63
A.	The Conceptual Problem of the Critique.....	65
B.	The Problematic Positioning of Evolutionary Interpretation	68
II.	Sovereignty	71
A.	Evolutionary Interpretation as the Creation of New Obligations	72
B.	The Contestable Concept of Validity.....	75
III.	Rule of Law.....	77

PART II
THE ECHR CONSTITUTION

5.	The Argument of Constitutionalism	85
I.	Constitutionalism in the International Realm.....	85
II.	Cosmopolitan Constitutionalism	90
III.	Deliberative or Discursive Constitutionalism	91
6.	The Constitutional Nature of the ECHR	94
I.	The Constitutional Status of the ECHR's Judicial Review Mechanism.....	95
II.	Locating the ECHR in the International Constitutionalism Debate	99
7.	Three Basic Constitutional Principles of the ECHR.....	102
I.	The Three Pillars of the Council of Europe as Constitutional Principles	103
A.	Rule of Law.....	104
B.	Democracy	107
C.	Human Rights	111
II.	The Ideal and Real Dimension in the ECHR.....	112
III.	Time Dimension of Interpretation and the Dual Nature of the ECHR	113

PART III
BALANCED LEGITIMACY MODEL

8.	Setting the Scene for Balancing at the Interpretation Stage	119
	I. The Distinction between Rules and Principles	119
	II. Connecting Static and Evolutive Interpretation to Formal and Material Principles	121
	III. Balancing in the Different Stages of Law Application	122
	IV. Balancing of Interpretive Canons.....	124
	A. Alexy's Model of a Preference Relation for Canons	124
	B. Klatt's 'Balancing-dependent Subsumption'	125
	C. Wróblewski's 'Second-level Directive of Interpretation'	126
	D. Interim Conclusions	128
9.	The Balancing Model for Evolutive and Static Interpretation	129
	I. Basic Ideas on the Balancing Model	129
	II. Critical Aspects of Balancing in Human Rights Interpretation	131
	III. Internal Structure of the Balancing Model	133
	IV. Weight Formula	136
10.	External Justification	139
	I. How to Accord Weights in the ECHR?	140
	II. Weighting Rules in the Time Dimension of Interpretation.....	142
	A. Weighting Factors for the Intensity of the Interference With Static Principles	142
	B. Weighting Factors for the Importance of Evolutive Principles	144
	C. The Weight of Consensus.....	148
	III. The Epistemic Reliability of the Underlying Premises	149

PART IV
THE BALANCED LEGITIMACY MODEL APPLIED

11.	The Right to Divorce	155
	I. Facts of the Case	155
	II. Time Dimension of Interpretation	156
	III. The ECtHR's Reasoning.....	157
	IV. The Balancing of Static and Evolutive Interpretation	158
	V. The Legitimacy of the Evolutive Approach to Interpretation	164

12. The Right to Assisted Suicide	165
I. Facts of the Case.....	165
II. Time Dimension of Interpretation.....	166
III. The ECtHR's Reasoning	167
IV. The Balancing of Static and Evolutive Interpretation.....	169
V. The Legitimacy of the Static Approach to Interpretation.....	172
13. The Right to Preservation of the Environment	173
I. Facts of the Case.....	173
II. Time Dimension of Interpretation.....	175
III. The ECtHR's Reasoning	177
IV. The Balancing of Static and Evolutive Interpretation.....	178
V. A Stalemate Case	181
Conclusion	182
Bibliography	185
Index	193