

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS	V
LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES	XVI
ABBREVIATIONS	XIX
INTRODUCTION	1
1 WESTERN EUROPEAN ASYLUM POLICIES FOR EXPORT: THE TRANSFER OF PROTECTION AND DEFLECTION FORMULAS TO CENTRAL EUROPE AND THE BALTICS	5
1.I The EU Accession Process	5
1.II Non-Admission and Non-Arrival Policies	10
1.II.A <i>Various Types of Deflection of Asylum Seekers</i>	10
1.II.B <i>Deflection Policies with a Particular Impact on Central European States</i>	14
1.II.C <i>Shifting Responsibility and Creating Safe Third Countries</i>	16
1.III Safe Third Country Practices	17
1.III.A <i>The Notion of a Safe Third Country</i>	17
1.III.B <i>The Dublin Convention and EU Member States as Safe Third Countries</i>	19
1.III.C <i>Harmonization and Safe Third Country Practices</i>	21
1.III.D <i>Accession, Enlargement and Safe Third Country Practices</i>	25
1.IV Reshuffling Protection Burdens	28
2 THE CENTRAL LINK: GERMANY, POLAND AND THE CZECH REPUBLIC	29
2.1 Germany	29

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2.1.A	<i>Policy</i>	29
2.1.B	<i>Practices</i>	31
2.1.A.1	The Domestic Legal Framework	33
2.1.A.1.(a)	<i>The German concept of safe third countries</i>	33
2.1.A.1.(b)	<i>Entry and apprehension in the border zone</i>	38
2.1.A.1.(c)	<i>In-country application</i>	40
2.1.A.2	Negotiating the Eastern Border	41
2.1.A.2 (a)	<i>Poland</i>	42
2.1.A.2 (b)	<i>The Czech Republic</i>	44
2.1.C	<i>Conclusion</i>	46
2.II	Poland	48
2.II.1	<i>General Situation and Policy</i>	48
2.II.A.1.	Conversion from Sending Country to Transit	48
2.II.A.2	Migratory Pressure from the East and South	53
2.II.A.3	Pressure from the West	56
2.II.A.3 (a)	<i>Financing and co-operation</i>	56
2.II.A.3 (b)	<i>The political aspect</i>	59
2.II.A.4	Readmission Agreements with the Eastern Neighbours	60
2.II.B	<i>Changes in the Law</i>	62
2.II.B.1	Fundamental Steps	62
2.II.B.1 (a)	<i>Refugee status and asylum</i>	64
2.II.B.1 (b)	<i>Bodies deciding in refugee matters</i>	64
2.II.B.2	Access to the Determination Procedure	66
2.II.B.3	The Notion of 'Safe Country'	68
2.II.B.3 (a)	<i>Definitions</i>	68
2.II.B.3 (b)	<i>Practice</i>	70
2.II.B.4	Detention	71
2.II.B.5	Humanitarian Status (Temporary Protection)	73
2.II.C	<i>Conclusions</i>	75
2.III	The Czech Republic	78
2.III.A	<i>Transit or Destination State?</i>	78
2.III.A.1	Political Concerns	78
2.III.A.2	Rising Numbers of Asylum Seekers	78
2.III.A.3	Implementing Readmission Agreements with Neighbouring States	79

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2.III.B	<i>Legislative Practices</i>	81
2.III.B.1	The Aliens Act	81
2.III.B.2	The Asylum Act	85
2.III.B.3	Multifunctional Character of the Asylum Act	85
2.III.B.4	A Comparison of the Asylum Act and the Charter of Fundamental Rights and Freedoms	85
2.III.B.5	The Concept of the Safe Country of Origin	87
2.III.B.6	The Concept of the Safe Third Country	88
2.III.B.7	The Concept of Persecution	89
2.III.B.8	The Entry of an Applicant into the Territory and the Institution of Asylum Procedure	89
2.III.B.9	Appeal Options	91
2.III.B.10	Manifestly Unfounded Applications	91
2.III.B.11	Minimum Procedural Guarantees	92
2.III.C	<i>Conclusion</i>	92
2.IV	Conclusions on the Central Link	94
2.IV.A	<i>The Proliferation of Strategies and Counter-Strategies</i>	94
2.IV.B	<i>Numbers</i>	95
2.IV.C	<i>The New Orbiters</i>	96
3	THE SOUTHERN LINK: AUSTRIA AND HUNGARY	100
3.1	Austria	100
3.1.A	<i>Introduction</i>	100
3.1.B	<i>Developments in Domestic Legislation</i>	101
3.1.B.1	Amendments in 1990 Justified as 'Emergency Responses'	101
3.1.B.2	The Phase of Consolidated Restrictionism	104
3.1.B.3	Relaxing Restrictionism: 1997 and Beyond	107
3.1.C	<i>Building a New Curtain</i>	110
3.1.C.1	The Multilateral Dimension	110
3.1.C.1 (a)	<i>EU membership</i>	110
3.1.C.1 (b)	<i>Schengen</i>	111
3.1.C.2	The Bilateral Dimensions	113
3.1.C.2 (a)	<i>Border control and apprehension in the border zone</i>	113
3.1.C.2 (b)	<i>Readmission agreements</i>	116
3.1.D	<i>Transposing the Acquis</i>	119

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.I.D.1	The Safe Third Country Concept	119
3.I.D.2	Implementation of the Dublin Convention	127
3.I.D.3	Manifestly Unfounded Cases and Accelerated Procedures	128
3.I.D.4	Airport Transit and Applications for Asylum at the Airports	129
3.I.D.5	Carrier Sanctions	131
3.I.D.6	Applications at the Borders	131
3.I.E	<i>Austrian Policies Targeting Hungary</i>	132
3.I.E.1	Hungary as a Safe Third Country	132
3.I.E.2	Border Control and Apprehension in the Border Zone	135
3.I.E.4	Austria's Capacity-building Measures in Hungary	137
3.II	Hungary	138
3.II.A	<i>Introduction: Notes on the History of Migratory Movements in Hungary</i>	138
3.II.B	<i>Challenges Shaping Hungary's Role and Policy over the Last Decade</i>	140
3.II.B.1	The General Pressure of Migrants	140
3.II.B.2	Hungary as a Country of Asylum	146
3.II.B.2 (a)	<i>The first phase</i>	147
3.II.B.2 (b)	<i>The second phase</i>	149
3.II.B.2 (c)	<i>The third phase</i>	150
3.II.B.2 (d)	<i>Role of the UNHCR until 1998</i>	151
3.II.B.2 (e)	<i>The fourth phase</i>	152
3.II.B.2 (f)	<i>Problems with the data (where have all the new arrivals gone?)</i>	155
3.II.C	<i>The Challenge Posed by the EU</i>	158
3.II.C.1	Bordering Austria and the European Union	158
3.II.C.2	Legal and Policy Harmonization with the EU	159
3.II.D	<i>The Practice in its Changing Form</i>	161
3.II.D.1	Impact of Non-Domestic Actors Including Western European States and the EU	162
3.II.D.2	The Effect of Bilateral Treaties	167
3.II.D.3	Unique Features of Hungarian Law and Practice	176
3.II.D.3 (a)	<i>The road to the new act</i>	177

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.II.D.3 (b) <i>Critical elements of the new Hungarian legislation concerning asylum seekers and refugees</i>	178
3.II.D.3 (c) <i>The 2001 bill: the EU acquis as a pretext or a guiding tool?</i>	194
3.II.E <i>Conclusion</i>	197
3.III <i>Concluding Observations on the Southern Link</i>	200
4 THE NORTHERN LINK	203
4.1 <i>Nordic Policy Responses to the Baltic Asylum Challenge</i>	203
4.1.A <i>Strategic Aspects of the Nordic-Baltic Relationship</i>	203
4.1.A.1 <i>Diverging Interests: Political Support vs. Self-Protection</i>	203
4.1.A.2 <i>Safe Third Country Criteria and the Ensuing Containment Policy</i>	205
4.1.A.3 <i>The Episodic Approach to Policy-making</i>	208
4.1.B <i>Political Self-Interests and Dependencies</i>	211
4.1.B.1 <i>Conditionality in Migration Policies</i>	211
4.1.B.2 <i>Abolition of the Visa Regime</i>	213
4.1.B.3 <i>From Sub-regional Conditionality to EU Accession Pressures</i>	216
4.1.C <i>Cooperation and Coordination on Asylum and Migration Issues</i>	218
4.1.C.1 <i>Cooperation Forums and Division of Responsibilities</i>	218
4.1.C.2 <i>Influential Cooperation: Containment and Capacity-building</i>	220
4.1.D <i>Protection vs. Exclusion as the Objective of Cooperation</i>	222
4.II <i>Lithuania</i>	226
4.II.A <i>Factors which Prompted Establishment of Asylum Systems</i>	226
4.2.A.1 <i>Background and Context</i>	226
4.II.A.2 <i>Trading Rights with Nordic States: Visa-free Travel and Refugee Protection</i>	228

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4.II.A.3	Pressure from International Organizations	230
4.II.B	<i>Legislative Practice: Balancing Refugee Protection against Exclusion</i>	231
4.II.B.1	Access to the Territory	231
4.II.B.2	Access to the Procedures	235
4.II.B.2 (a)	<i>At the border</i>	235
4.II.B.2 (b)	<i>In the territory</i>	235
4.II.B.2 (c)	<i>On readmission from the West</i>	238
4.II.B.3	Refugee Definition	239
4.II.B.3 (a)	<i>Existing definition</i>	239
4.II.B.3 (b)	<i>Exclusion clauses</i>	243
4.II.B.4	Asylum Procedures	245
4.II.B.4 (a)	<i>Time-limit clauses for asylum applications</i>	245
4.II.B.4 (b)	<i>Appeal rights and suspending effect</i>	246
4.II.B.4 (c)	<i>Accelerated procedures</i>	248
4.II.B.4 (d)	<i>Detention of asylum seekers: general rule or exception?</i>	249
4.II.B.5	Cases Falling Outside the Existing Refugee Definition	253
4.II.B.5 (a)	<i>Lack of alternative status and efforts towards introducing one</i>	253
4.II.B.5 (b)	<i>Fate of persons excluded from the existing refugee definition</i>	254
4.II.B.6	Restrictive Practices	258
4.II.C	<i>Policy Strategies</i>	259
4.II.C.1	Concerns for Stability	259
4.II.C.2	Avoiding the 'Closed-Sack' Effect	260
4.II.C.3	Adopting Western Practices	265
4.III	Latvia	267
4.III.A	<i>Factors which Prompted Establishment of the Asylum System</i>	267
4.III.A.1	Background and Context	267
4.III.A.2	Trading Rights with Nordic States: Visa-free Travel and Asylum Protection	270
4.III.A.3	Pressure from International Organizations	271
4.III.B	<i>Legislative Practice: Balancing Refugee Protection against Exclusion</i>	272
4.III.B.1	Access to Territory	272
4.III.B.2	Access to Procedures	273

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4.III.B.2 (a) <i>At the border</i>	273
4.III.B.2 (b) <i>In the territory</i>	274
4.III.B.3 <i>Refugee Definition</i>	274
4.III.B.3 (a) <i>Existing definition</i>	274
4.III.B.3 (b) <i>Exclusion clauses</i>	275
4.III.B.4 <i>Asylum Procedures</i>	276
4.III.B.4 (a) <i>First instance level</i>	276
4.III.B.4 (b) <i>Second instance</i>	276
4.III.B.4 (c) <i>Accelerated procedures</i>	277
4.III.B.5 <i>Caseload Falling Outside the Existing Definition</i>	277
4.III.B.5 (a) <i>Lack of alternative status</i>	277
4.III.B.5 (b) <i>Deportation</i>	278
4.III.C <i>Capacity Building</i>	278
4.III.C.1 <i>Reception and Asylum Systems</i>	278
4.III.C.2 <i>Strengthening Border Controls</i>	279
4. IV <i>Estonia</i>	281
4.IV.A <i>Factors which Prompted the Establishment of Asylum Systems</i>	281
4.IV.A.1 <i>Background and Context</i>	281
4.IV.A.2 <i>Trading Rights with Nordic States: Visa-free Travel and Asylum Protection</i>	283
4.IV.A.3 <i>Pressure from international Organisations</i>	284
4.IV.B <i>Legislative Practices: Balancing Refugee Protection against Exclusion</i>	286
4.IV.B.1 <i>Access to Territory</i>	287
4.IV.B.2 <i>Access to Procedures</i>	287
4.IV.B.2 (a) <i>At the border</i>	287
4.IV.B.2 (b) <i>In the territory</i>	290
4.IV.B.2 (c) <i>Upon readmission from the west</i>	290
4.IV.B.3 <i>Refugee Definition</i>	291
4.IV.B.4 <i>Asylum Procedures</i>	292
4.IV.B.4 (a) <i>Time-limit clauses for asylum applications</i>	292
4.IV.B.4 (b) <i>Appeal rights and suspensive effect</i>	292
4.IV.B.4 (c) <i>Accelerated procedures</i>	294
4.IV.B.4 (d) <i>Detention of asylum seekers</i>	296
4.IV.B.5 <i>Caseload Falling outside the Existing Definition</i>	297

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4.IV.B.5 (a) <i>Lack of alternative status</i>	297
4.IV.B.5 (b) <i>Fate of those persons outside of the definition</i>	297
4.IV.C <i>Policy Strategies</i>	298
4.IV.C.1 <i>Policies of Evasion: Fear of 'Closed-Sack' Effect</i>	298
4.IV.C.2 <i>Transposing Western Practices</i>	299
4.V <i>Concluding Observations on the Northern Link</i>	301
5 PROTECTION IN A SPIRIT OF SOLIDARITY?	305
5.I <i>The Role of Burden-Sharing in the Enlargement Context</i>	305
5.I.A <i>The Objective of Burden-sharing</i>	306
5.I.B <i>The Scope of Burden-sharing</i>	307
5.I.B.1 <i>Sharing Norms</i>	311
5.I.B.2 <i>Sharing Money</i>	312
5.I.B.3 <i>Sharing People</i>	313
5.II <i>A Synopsis of Burden-Sharing in the EU Context</i>	313
5.II.A <i>Bosnia and Kosovo as Problem Indicators</i>	313
5.II.B <i>Standard-Setting and Regime-Building Efforts in the EU</i>	314
5.III <i>Burden-Concentration in Europe</i>	319
5.III.A <i>The Dublin Convention</i>	319
5.III.B <i>Safe Third Countries in the East</i>	321
5.IV <i>Conclusions</i>	324
6 TITLE IV TEC AND THE SCHENGEN INTEGRATION PROTOCOL WITH SPECIAL REGARD TO IMPLICATIONS ON ACCESSION CANDIDATES	325
6.I <i>Introduction</i>	325
6.II.A <i>New Areas of Jurisdiction in Title IV of the TEC; the Third Pillar <i>Acquis</i> in the Fields of Asylum and Refugee Law to be Implemented in the First Pillar</i>	328

TABLE OF CONTENTS

6.II.B	<i>Forms of Legal Action; Possibilities of Incorporating the Acquis to Date into Community Law</i>	336
6.II.C	<i>Jurisdictions of the ECJ</i>	341
6.II.D	<i>Implications for Accession Candidates</i>	341
6.II.E	<i>The Schengen Protocol; Incorporating the Schengen Acquis into Community or Union Law</i>	345
6.II.F	<i>Issues of Transparency</i>	348
6.II.G	<i>The Asylum Protocol</i>	350
6.III	<i>Résumé</i>	350
7	RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN CENTRAL EUROPE AND THE BALTIC STATES IN THE ASYLUM FIELD: A VIEW FROM UNHCR AND THE STRATEGIES OF THE HIGH COMMISSIONER FOR ENHANCING THE ASYLUM SYSTEMS OF THE REGION	351
7.I	<i>Introduction</i>	351
7.II	<i>Recent Developments in the Central European and Baltic States</i>	352
7.II.A	<i>Important Achievements in Building Asylum Systems</i>	352
7.II.B	<i>The Forces Driving Progress</i>	352
7.II.C	<i>Some Problems Still to be Overcome</i>	353
7.II.D	<i>Prevention of Illegal Migration and Refugee Protection</i>	354
7.III	<i>UNHCR's Objectives and Strategies in Central Europe and the Baltic States</i>	356
7.III.A	<i>How to Proceed in the New Asylum Countries?</i>	356
7.III.B	<i>The Prime Objectives of UNHCR in the CEBS</i>	356
7.III.C	<i>UNHCR's Main Activities in the Region</i>	358
7.IV	<i>EU Involvement in Institution and Capacity Building in the Central European and Baltic States</i>	359

TABLE OF CONTENTS

7.V	EU Harmonization and the EU <i>Acquis</i> on Asylum: UNHCR's Perspective on the Implications for the Central European and Baltic States	360
7.V.A	<i>UNHCR's View of the EU Harmonization Process</i>	360
7.V.B	<i>The EU Acquis as an Asset for Refugee Protection in the CEBS</i>	362
7.V.C	<i>The EU Acquis on Asylum and International Refugee Law Standards</i>	362
7.VI	Assessing the Results of UNHCR's Strategy	366
7.VII	An Attempt to Predict the Future	368
7.VII.A	<i>The Continued Momentum Created by EU Accession</i>	368
7.VII.B	<i>Some Problems that may Persist</i>	370
7.VII.C	<i>Future UNHCR Activities in the Region</i>	371
8	FUTURE PERSPECTIVES: ACCESSION AND ASYLUM IN AN EXPANDED EUROPEAN UNION	373
8.I	Introduction	373
8.II	Migration and Asylum Policy in the West in the 1990s	378
8.III	Factoring the Influences on Asylum Policy: the Accession Process in Context	381
8.III.A	<i>Chain Reactions: Readmission Agreements, 'Safe Third Country' Policies and the 'Closed Sack' Fear</i>	383
8.III.B	<i>Transferring the Acquis and the Democratic Process</i>	391
8.IV	The Process of Accession	396
8.V	The Tampere Summit and the Future of the Asylum <i>Acquis</i>	399
8.VI	Transposing the <i>Acquis</i>	402
8.VI.A	<i>Manifestly Unfounded Claims</i>	403
8.VI.B	<i>Minimum Guarantees for Asylum Procedures</i>	408

TABLE OF CONTENTS

8.VI.C <i>Bifurcated Harmonization: Procedure Before Substance</i>	412
8.VI.D <i>Judicial Challenges to the Presumption of Equal Justice</i>	416
8.VII <i>Lessons Learned</i>	421
9 TRANSFORMATION OF ASYLUM IN EUROPE	423
9.I <i>A Comparative Assessment of Migration Control and Refugee Protection in the Three Sub-regions</i>	424
9.II <i>The Phases of the Transformation of Asylum</i>	426
9.III <i>Asylum and Enlargement</i>	429
SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY	433
LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS	439
INDEX	443