

Contents

Foreword by Bruno Simma v

Series Editor's Preface vii

Preface ix

Acknowledgements xi

Introduction 1

1. A Choice of Method 3

 I. The Terms of the Methodological Debate on the Non-Use of Force:
 The Extensive Versus the Restrictive Approach 4

 A. The Extensive and Restrictive Approaches to the Interpretation
 of the Rule Prohibiting the Use of Force 6

 B. Towards a Disappearance of Restrictive Approaches? 24

 II. Methodological Approach of this Book 41

 A. Reliance on a Novel Right 43

 B. The Acceptance of the Modification of the Legal Rule by the
 International Community of States as a Whole 48

 III. Conclusion 59

2. What Do 'Use of Force' and 'Threat of Force' Mean? 61

 I. What Does 'Force' Mean? 62

 A. The Boundary between Military Force and Police Measures 63

 B. Determining the Threshold: 'Force' within the Meaning of Charter
 Article 2(4) 76

 C. A Few Problematic Situations: Humanitarian Operations or Protection
 of Nationals, Targeted Military Actions or 'Targeted Killings' 91

 D. The Case of 'Cyber Attacks' 98

 II. What Does 'Threat of Force' Mean? 109

 A. The Restrictive Meaning of 'Threat' under Charter Article 2(4) 110

 B. The Scope of the Prohibition of Threat: The Absence of any Specific
 Regime for the Contemplated Use of Force 125

 III. Conclusion 136

**3. Do the Prohibition of the Use of Force and Self-Defence Apply to Non-State
Actors?** 137

 I. Exclusion of Non-State Political Entities from the Rule's Scope of
 Application: Rebel Groups, National Liberation Movements and Territories
 or Entities Whose Legal Status is Contested 137

 A. Inapplicability of the Rule Prohibiting the Use of Force to Civil Wars 138

 B. Inapplicability of the Rule to National Liberation Struggles 145

 C. The Case of Territories with Entities of Controversial Legal Status 156

II.	Exclusion of Private Groups from the Rule's Scope of Application	165
A.	Maintaining 'International Relations' as Relations among States: The Letter and Spirit of the Rule	167
B.	Maintaining 'International Relations' as Relations between States: The Interpretation of Texts in Practice	179
C.	Maintaining 'International Relations' as Relations between States: The Works of the International Law Commission and of the International Court of Justice	194
III.	Conclusion	203
4.	Can Circumstances Precluding Wrongfulness be Invoked to Justify a Use of Force?	204
I.	Inadmissibility in Principle	205
A.	The Peremptory Character of the Rule in Charter Article 2(4)	206
B.	Inadmissibility of Circumstances Precluding Wrongfulness Not Provided for by the UN Charter	217
II.	Inadmissibility Confirmed in Practice	226
A.	Precedents Attesting to States' General Reluctance to Invoke Circumstances Precluding Wrongfulness	226
B.	Precedents Attesting Unequivocal Condemnation of Armed Reprisals	234
C.	The Rare Precedents Where Circumstances Precluding Wrongfulness Have Been Invoked to Justify the Use of Force: Necessity and 'Bona Fide Error'?	235
III.	Conclusion	246
5.	Intervention by Invitation	247
I.	The General Legal Regime of Military Intervention by Invitation	248
A.	Consent to Armed Intervention within the Limits of Peremptory Law (<i>Jus Cogens</i>): The Necessity of <i>Ad Hoc</i> Consent	248
B.	The Requirement for Consent of the State's Highest Authorities	256
II.	Observance of the Right of Peoples to Self-Determination and the Security Council's Growing Role	274
A.	The Right of Peoples to Self-Determination: A Relevant Principle for Evaluating the Lawfulness of Intervention by Invitation?	274
B.	The Problem of Concurrent Governments	278
C.	The Problem of the Object and Effects of the Intervention by Invitation	291
III.	Conclusion	314
6.	Intervention Authorised by the UN Security Council	316
I.	The General Legal Regime of Authorised Military Intervention	316
A.	The Lawfulness of Military Intervention Authorised by the Security Council	317
B.	The Unlawfulness of Military Intervention 'Authorised' by Another UN Body or by Another Subject of International Law	334

II.	The Problem of Presumed Authorisation	353
A.	The Absence of Recognition of Presumed Authorisation in Practice	354
B.	Refusals and Obstacles of Principle to Recognition of a Presumed Authorisation.....	388
III.	Conclusion	397
7.	Self-Defence	398
I.	'Armed Attack' According to Charter Article 51	399
A.	'Preventive Self-Defence' Theories	403
B.	The Question of 'Indirect Aggression'	436
II.	Necessity and Proportionality	469
A.	The Limit of Necessary Measures Adopted by the Security Council	470
B.	The General Meaning of Conditions of Necessity and Proportionality.....	477
III.	Conclusion	489
8.	A Right of Humanitarian Intervention?	490
I.	Non-Recognition in Legal Texts	493
A.	The Dismissal of the Right of Humanitarian Intervention in Classical Legal Texts	493
B.	The Persistent Refusal to Accept a 'Right of Humanitarian Intervention'.....	503
II.	The Non-Existence of Decisive Precedents.....	517
A.	The Absence of Consecration of a Right of Humanitarian Intervention before 1990	517
B.	The Absence of Consecration of a Right of Humanitarian Intervention since 1990	525
III.	Conclusion	540
	Conclusion	541
	<i>Selected Reading</i>	544
	<i>Index</i>	547