

# CONTENTS

## LEVELLING UP LEFT BEHIND PLACES

### The scale and nature of the economic and policy challenge

<b>Foreword</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>About the authors</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Preamble</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Executive summary and key recommendations</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1. Introduction: The new discourse of “left behind places”</b>	<b>11</b>
1.1 The Re-emergence and (Re)discovery of “left behind places”	12
1.2 “Left Behind places” as sites of discontent	15
1.3 What are “left behind places”?	18
1.4. “Levelling up”: Moving beyond slogans	20
<b>2. Becoming “left behind”: How places have grown apart</b>	<b>29</b>
2.1 Situating the “left behind” problem	30
2.2 The “great turnaround”: From convergence to divergence	31
2.3 Growing apart: macro-geographies of “falling behind”	32
2.4 From broad regions to local places: The complexity of the “left behind” landscape	35
2.5 The productivity puzzle and “left behind places”	44
2.6 Conclusions: The scale and geographies of the “left behind” problem	48
<b>3. Why places have fallen behind: The geographically uneven effects of economic transformation</b>	<b>53</b>
3.1 Evolving geographies of economic transformation	54
3.2 Post-industrial spaces of growth and decline	55

3.3	The changing location of employment	56
3.4	The rise of knowledge-intensive business services (KIBS)	57
3.5	A public sector counter-weight?	60
3.6	A combined urban and regional problem	61
3.7	Cumulative processes and vicious circles	64
3.8	Conclusions: Understanding how places have become "left behind"	68
<b>4.</b>	<b>Economic shocks and the differential resilience of places</b>	<b>73</b>
4.1	Local economic development as a shock-prone process	74
4.2	The notion of local economic resilience	74
4.3	Economic resilience across UK localities	77
4.4	The emerging implications of brexit and the pandemic shocks	80
4.5	Conclusions: Resilience and recovery	82
<b>5.</b>	<b>Learning from past policies for "levelling up" and "left behind places" in the UK</b>	<b>87</b>
5.1	Learning from the past	88
5.2	Distinguishing spatial policies	89
5.3	Nine decades of the UK spatial policy	92
5.4	The limitations and weaknesses of past policies	96
5.5	Conclusions: Lessons from the past	101
<b>6.</b>	<b>Institutions and policies for "levelling up" and "left behind places"</b>	<b>107</b>
6.1	Key findings and their policy implications	108
6.2	Grasping the transformative moment for local, regional and urban development policy	110
6.3	Establishing a clear and binding national mission for "levelling up"	112
6.4	Realizing the potential of place in policymaking	116
6.5	Decentralizing towards a multilevel federal polity in the UK	118
6.6	Strengthening subnational funding and financing	121
6.7	Embedding geography in the national state and policy machinery	124
6.8	Improving subnational strategic research, intelligence, monitoring and evaluation capacity	126
6.9	Conclusions	127