Contents

Pref	ace	x
Prol	ogue	xv
Acknowledgements		xxvi
ACK		AAVI
	Haruko Obokata	
1	Research strategies in the biomedical sciences	
	The use of statistics	
	The range and classification of available methods	5
	Observational human studies	
	Animal and in vitro experiments	16
	Human experimental studies	21
	Quality assessment of human trials	25
	Meta-analysis	26
	Decision-making and hierarchies of evidence	30
	National institute for health and clinical excellence (NICE)	34
	Key references	35
2	Case studies of scientific errors	37
	Case study 1 – Sleeping position and cot death	37
	Case study 2 – The protein gap	40
	Case study 3 – Defective brown fat thermogenesis	
	as an important cause of obesity	45
	Case study 4 – Antioxidants supplements to increase	
	life expectancy	49
	Key references	54
	Peter review by co-suthors release and editors	
3	More general concerns about scientific credibility	57
	Are most published research findings wrong?	57
	An avalanche of junk papers	64
	Why is so much published data irreproducible?	65
	Is all published research useful?	73
	Key references	77

4	The accused – case studies of scientists accused		
	of research misconduct	81	
	Jatinder Ahluwalia	81	
	Werner Bezwoda	84	
	Joachim Boldt	86	
	Stephen Breuning	88	
	Michael Briggs	89	
	Sir Cyril Burt	91	
	Ranjit Kumar Chandra	94	
	Dipak Kumar Das	97	
	Charles Dawson	99	
	Yoshitaka Fujii	102	
	Viswa Jit Gupta	103	
	John William Heslop Harrison	104	
	Paul Kammerer	105	
	Gregor Mendel	107	
	Haruko Obokata	110	
	J Malcolm Pearce	111	
	Scott S Reuben	113	
	Ram Bahadur Singh	114	
	Diederik Stapel	117	
	Jon Sudbo	118	
	William Talley Summerlin	120	
	Andrew Wakefield	122	
	Minor case studies	124	
5	Research fraud overview	133	
	Basic definitions	133	
	How common is research fraud?	134	
	Is research fraud becoming more common?	135	
	The harm done by research fraud – why it matters	136	
	The publication process: how is the accuracy and integrity		
	of the scientific record maintained?	145	
	Key references	146	
	Case study 4 - Antioxidants supplements to increase		
6	Protection – barriers to the publication of fraudulent data	149	
	Author level barriers	149	
	Peer review by co-authors, referees and editors	155	
	Key references	167	
	Are most published research findings wrong?		
7	Detection: identifying fraud after publication	169	
	Faith in the efficacy of peer review and replication	169	
	Encouraging and protecting potential whistle-blowers	170	
	Reader scrutiny	173	
	Indicators of fraudulent papers and fraudulent scientists	174	
	Key references	180	

8	Disinfection and measures for minimising the impact	
	of research fraud	183
	Disinfection overview	183
	One confirmed act of fraud should trigger a wider	
	investigation	183
	Who should investigate accusations of research fraud?	184
	Indications that data has been fabricated	191
	Interviews with co-authors	194
	Life after death - continuing influence of retracted papers	195
	What can be done to improve the situation?	197
	Key references	201
Index		203

research research during the 1950s and 1950s an illusion (see ane world) in