
FOREWORD	-----	11
1.	THE GENERALIZED PRINCIPLE OF ECONOMIC RATIONALITY	----- 13
1.1	ALTERNATIVES TO THE HOMO ECONOMICUS PARADIGM	----- 14
1.2	MINIMIZATION OF THE SUBJECTIVE PROBABILITY OF ECONOMIC EXTINCTION	----- 16
1.3	PARETO DISTRIBUTION OF THE PROBABILITY OF SURVIVAL	----- 17
1.3.1	FIRST-ORDER PARETO PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION	----- 17
1.3.2	SECOND-ORDER PARETO PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION	----- 19
1.3.3	GENERAL PARETO PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION	----- 20
2.	MODELLING RISK AND HEDGING AGAINST IT	----- 23
2.1	PROBABILITY OF SURVIVAL FOR INCOME AS A RANDOM VARIABLE	----- 26
2.2	FORMULATION OF THE LENINGRAD CASINO PROBLEM	----- 27
2.3	MODEL OF THE ST. PETERSBURG PARADOX	----- 29
3.	MORAL HAZARD AND ADVERSE SELECTION IN THE CONTEXT OF MAXIMIZATION OF THE PROBABILITY OF ECONOMIC SURVIVAL	----- 37

3.1	PRINCIPAL-AGENT MODEL -----	38
3.1.1	ADVERSE SELECTION -----	39
3.1.2	MORAL HAZARD -----	42
3.2	APPLICATION OF GENERALIZED MICROECONOMICS: MAXIMIZATION OF THE PROBABILITY OF ECONOMIC SURVIVAL -----	44
3.2.1	THREAT TO THE AGENT DUE TO EXTINCTION OF THE PRINCIPAL -----	44
3.2.2	ADVERSE SELECTION IN THE CONTEXT OF PROBABILITY OF SURVIVAL -----	45
3.2.3	MORAL HAZARD IN THE CONTEXT OF PROBABILITY OF SURVIVAL -----	47
3.2.4	COMPARISON OF THE STANDARD HOMO ECONOMICUS WITH A SURVIVAL-PROBABILITY-MAXIMIZING AGENT -----	48
4.	THE DEMAND FUNCTION IN THE INSURANCE MARKET: COMPARISON OF MAXIMIZATION OF THE PARETO PROBABILITY OF SURVIVAL WITH THE VON NEUMANN-MORGENSTERN EU THEORY AND KAHNEMAN-TVERSKY PROSPECT THEORY -----	51
4.1	INSURANCE IN THE MODEL OF MAXIMIZATION OF AN AGENT'S PARETO PROBABILITY OF (ECONOMIC) SURVIVAL -----	51
4.2	INSURANCE DEMAND IN THE VON NEUMANN-MORGENSTERN MODEL OF MAXIMIZATION OF THE EXPECTED UTILITY OF INCOME (EU THEORY) -----	56
4.3	INSURANCE DEMAND IN THE KAHNEMAN-TVERSKY MODEL (PROSPECT THEORY, PT) -----	62
4.4	COMPARISON OF THE DEMAND FUNCTIONS OF MODELS A, B, AND C (FROM THE PREVIOUS THREE SECTIONS) -----	68
5.	MODELLING NON-PROFIT INSTITUTIONS: THE UNIVERSITY SUPPLY FUNCTION -----	73
5.1	ECONOMIC RATIONALITY IN THE NON-PROFIT SECTOR -----	73
5.2	AN OPTIMIZATION MODEL OF UNIVERSITY BEHAVIOUR -----	75
5.3	UNIVERSITY SUPPLY FUNCTION -----	83
6.	BEHAVIOUR OF A FIRM IN A CENTRALLY PLANNED ECONOMY— THE HOMO SE ASSECURANS MODEL -----	87
6.1	SET OF FEASIBLE PRODUCTION SITUATIONS IN A CENTRALLY PLANNED ECONOMY -----	88
6.2	THE INDEX PLANNING METHOD AND THE CRITERION OF A PRODUCER IN A CENTRALLY PLANNED ECONOMY -----	89

6.3	MAXIMIZATION OF THE ABSOLUTE RESERVE -----	90
6.4	MAXIMIZATION OF THE RELATIVE RESERVE (I.E. MAXIMIZATION OF THE PARETO PROBABILITY OF SURVIVAL IN A CPE) -----	93
7.	MODEL OF AN ECONOMY WITH WIDESPREAD CORPORATE INSOLVENCY -----	97
7.1	THE PROBLEM OF SECONDARY INSOLVENCY -----	97
7.2	MODELS OF DECISION-MAKING IN AN ECONOMY WITH WIDESPREAD SECONDARY INSOLVENCY -----	99
7.2.1	MODEL A: MINIMAX STRATEGY -----	102
7.2.2	MODEL B: MINIMUM EXTINCTION RISK STRATEGY -----	104
8.	THE PRODUCER'S OPTIMUM UNDER INCREASING RETURNS TO SCALE -----	107
8.1	MODEL A: UNIFORM DISTRIBUTIONS OF THE PROBABILITY OF EXTINCTION W.R.T. PRICE -----	111
8.2	MODEL B: UNIFORM DISTRIBUTIONS OF THE PROBABILITY OF EXTINCTION W.R.T. PROFITABILITY -----	113
8.3	MODEL C: NORMAL DISTRIBUTIONS OF THE PROBABILITY OF EXTINCTION W.R.T. PROFITABILITY -----	115
9.	MODELS OF MARKET ALLOCATION OF EXTERNALITIES, GENERALIZED COASE THEOREM -----	121
9.1	EMISSIONS PERMIT MARKET -----	121
9.2	THE COASE THEOREM FOR NEGATIVE EXTERNALITIES -----	123
9.2.1	THE COASE THEOREM FOR NEGATIVE EXTERNALITIES: THE CASE OF TWO PRODUCERS -----	124
9.2.2	THE GENERALIZED COASE THEOREM FOR NEGATIVE EXTERNALITIES IN THE CONTEXT OF SURVIVAL PROBABILITY MAXIMIZATION -----	127
9.2.3	THE COASE THEOREM FOR THE CASE WHERE A PRODUCER HARMS A CONSUMER -----	132
9.3	THE COASE THEOREM FOR POSITIVE EXTERNALITIES -----	133
9.3.1	THE GENERALIZED COASE THEOREM FOR POSITIVE EXTERNALITIES AND AGENTS MAXIMIZING THEIR OWN SURVIVAL PROBABILITY -----	133
9.3.2	SINGLE POSITIVE EXTERNALITY PROVIDER MODEL -----	134
9.3.3	MULTIPLE POSITIVE EXTERNALITY PROVIDERS MODEL -----	136
9.4	EFFICIENCY OF ACQUISITION AND TRANSFER OF INFORMATION BETWEEN AGENTS THAT DEPEND ON EACH OTHER TO SURVIVE -----	138

9.4.1	MODEL A: INFORMATION EFFECT = INFORMATION ACQUISITION AND TRANSFER COST -----	139
9.4.2	MODEL B: INFORMATION EFFECT < INFORMATION ACQUISITION AND TRANSFER COST -----	142
10.	ALTRUISM AND REDISTRIBUTION INCREASING THE PROBABILITY OF SURVIVAL OF INDIVIDUALS -----	147
10.1	ALTRUISM AND BELONGING TO THE COMMUNITY -----	147
10.2	REDISTRIBUTION -----	149
10.2.1	THE SUPPLY SIDE OF REDISTRIBUTION (THE WILLINGNESS TO FORGO PART OF ONE'S PERSONAL PROSPERITY) DERIVED FROM THE SOCIAL NATURE OF INDIVIDUALS' PREFERENCES -----	150
10.2.1.1	TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE INTERESTS OF OTHERS WHEN SUCH ACTION DIRECTLY INCREASES THE PROSPERITY OF THE INDIVIDUAL ("PSEUDO-ALTRUISM") -----	151
10.2.1.2	THE UNCALCULATED NEEDED TO DO GOOD (HARD-CORE ALTRUISM) -----	151
10.2.1.3	RECIPROCAL ALTRUISM -----	152
10.2.1.4	THE URGE OF INDIVIDUALS TO GROUP TOGETHER IN CLUBS -----	152
10.2.1.5	MUTUALITY (SOLIDARITY) OF COMMUNITY MEMBERS -----	155
10.2.1.6	RESPECT FOR AUTHORITY -----	156
10.2.2	THE WILLINGNESS TO REDISTRIBUTE IN FAVOUR OF PUBLIC GOODS (THE ACCEPTABILITY OF TAXATION) -----	157
10.2.3	HYPOTHESES REGARDING THE WILLINGNESS TO CONTRIBUTE TO REDISTRIBUTION -----	158
10.2.4	THE "DEMAND SIDE" OF REDISTRIBUTION: THE INFORMATION PROBLEM -----	160
10.2.5	EFFICIENCY OF REDISTRIBUTION IN RELATION TO THE DONOR'S PREFERENCES -----	162
10.3	ALTRUISTIC ALLOCATION MODELS -----	167
10.3.1	MODEL OF ABSOLUTE SOLIDARITY -----	168
10.3.2	MODEL OF MINIMIZATION OF THE RISK OF SIMULTANEOUS EXTINCTION OF BOTH INDIVIDUALS: CRUEL ALTRUISM -----	170
10.4	THE STATE IN THE ROLE OF DONOR -----	174
10.5	SOME DEBATABLE PRINCIPLES/RULES OF THE DONOR ACTIVITIES OF THE STATE -----	178
10.5.1	RESULT OF NON-TRANSFERABILITY OF A SUBSIDY TO THE NEXT PERIOD—OPTIMAL SUBSIDY TIMING MODEL -----	179
10.5.2	PRESCRIBED SUBSIDY USE STRUCTURE -----	185

CONCLUSION	-----	193
REFERENCES	-----	199
NAME INDEX	-----	205