CONTENTS

Table of Legislation List of Abbreviations	xix xxi
	xxi 1
1 T 1 D. 1	1
I Introduction and Background	1
1. Introduction and Background	
A. Introduction and Background	1
B. What is Litigation Funding?	4
C. History	5
D. How does it Work?	6
E. What Type of Case can be Funded?	9
F. Why is the Use of Third Party Litigation Funding Increasing?	12
G. The Effect of the Jackson Reforms	19
2. History and Development	22
A. History, Champerty, Evolution	22
1. History and development	22
2. Champerty and maintenance	23
3. Evolution	25
B. Case Law	26
1. Giles v Thompson	27
2. Stocznia Gdanska SA v Latreefers Inc	28
3. The Eurasian Dream (No 2)	30
4. Hamilton v Al-Fayed (No 2)	30
5. R (on the application of Factortame) v Secretary of State for	2.2
Transport, Local Government and the Regions (No 2)	33
6. Gulf Azov Shipping Co Ltd v Idisi	36
7. Arkin v Borchard Lines Ltd & Ors	38
8. London & Regional (St George's Court) Ltd v Ministry	42
of Defence 9. Merchantbridge & Co Ltd v Safron General Partner 1 Ltd	43
10. Sibthorpe and Morris v Southwark London Borough Council	45

		11.		nee of Alan Catchpole) v Norfolk	47
		12	& Norwich University Ho		
			Cecil & others v Bayat &		49
			Tinseltime Ltd v Eryl Rob	erts & Ors	50
			Flatman v Germany	D . 1 T 1	52
			Harcus Sinclair (a Firm) a Capital Limited & Ors Excalibur Ventures LLC v	v Buttonwood Legal v Texas Keystone Inc.; Gulf Keystone	53
				tone Petroleum International Ltd;	
			Gulf Keystone Petroleum		54
	C.	Co	nclusions	· Filler The Land of the Control of	56
2	тт.	C	T7 1.		-
Э.	Uso	e of	Funding	boucosbad bas acharbound	60
	A.	Use	e of Funding		60
	B.	Wł	ny is Funding Used?		61
			nich Cases?	ywazail-l	64
	О.		Subject matter		66
		2.	Client	What Tripe of Case can be Funded:	
		3.	11.		6
		4.	Value		6
		5.	Forum/venue/jurisdictio	n/applicable law	6
		0.	Enforceability	distroyy and Development	68
	D.	Lov	wer Value Cases		69
	E.	Alt	ernative Methods		7:
		1.	Portfolio funding		7
		2.	Basket of cases		7
		3.	Hybrid DBA funding		7
		4.	Alternative business stru	ctures	7
	F	Int	ernational Landscape		7
	•		United States		7
			Australia		7
			New Zealand		8
			Carrel A Caira	5. Elemente application of factoriume) v	8
			Canada		8
			France		8
					8
		8.	Caribbean		8
	6.				8
	1	10.	D 1 : 10	10. Sibilares and Morris v Southwark Lo	8
			Ireland		8
			Hong Kong		8
			Singapore		8

4.	Fu	nder Models	87
	A.	Funder Models	87
	B.	'Case by Case' Funding	88
		1. 'One-off' funders	89
		2. 'Fund raiser' funders	90
	C.	Family Office	93
	D.	Private Equity	95
	E.	Investment Fund	95
	F.	Publicly Listed	97
	G.	Case Study: Burford Capital	98
	H.	Case Study: Therium Capital Management Limited	100
	I.	Case Study: Vannin Capital	102
	J.	Conclusion	103
5.	The	e Funding Process	104
	A.	The Funding Process	104
	B.	Brokers or Direct Approach to Funders?	106
	C.	Key Areas	108
		1. Merits and quantum	109
		2. Duaget	110
	D	3. Strategy	
		Intake Review and Assessment	111
	E.	Indicative Terms	112
	F.	Negotiation	113
	G.	Term Sheet	114
	H.	Exclusivity	114
	I.	Due Diligence Assessment	116
		Pricing	117
		Retainers	119
	L.	ATE	119
	M.	The Litigation Funding Agreement	120
		1. Section Two—'Agreement to Fund'	121
		2. Section Three—'Payment Terms and Interest'	121
100		3. Section Four—'Changes to Project Plan'	121
		4. Section Five—'Excluded Costs and Liabilities'	121
		 Section Six—'Conditions Precedent and Warranties' Section Seven—'Payment of Reasonable Costs' 	122

		7. Section Eight—'Adverse Costs'		122
		8. Section Nine—'Claimant's Obligations'		122
		9. Section Twelve—'Security for Costs'		122
		10. Section Thirteen—'Treatment of Claim Proceeds'		123
		11. Section Fourteen—'Confidentiality'		123
		12. Section Fifteen—'Termination'		123
		13. Section Twenty-Five—'Dispute Resolution'		124
		14. 'Schedule'		124
		15. Appendix 1—'Project Plan'		124 124
		16. Appendix 2—'Reliance Letter'17. Appendix 3—'Priorities Agreement'		124
	NT			
	IN.	Conclusion		125
6	Co	sts and Insurance		
0.		- 111111		127
	A.	Costs and Insurance	moison last	127
	B.	ATE Insurance		128
	C.	Payment of the Insurance Premium		129
		1. Payment in full on inception		131
		2. Deposit premium		132
		3. Fully deferred premium		133
	D.	Security for Costs		134
	E.	Costs of Setting up Funding and ATE		138
	F.	Funders' Costs Risk		139
	G.	Excalibur		140
	H.	Conclusion		141
81				
7.		oup Litigation		142
		Group Litigation		142
	B.	England and Wales		143
	C.	Issues for Litigation Funders		149
	D.	Conclusion		152
8.		kson and DBAs		154
	Α.	Jackson and Damages Based Agreements		154
	B.	Outline of the Changes		155
	I ,	1. Conditional fee agreements		155
	ly I	2 ATF insurance premium recoverability		156
		3. Increase in general damages		156
		THE RESIDER OF THE PROPERTY OF	A DO THE BUILDING IN	

		4. Simmons v Castle 5. Increased constions and Dark 26	
		5. Increased sanctions under Part 36 6. Proportionality	
		6. Proportionality7. Costs management orders	4.1
	C.	Damages Based Agreements	168
		1. The DBA Regulations	171
		2. Practicalities	174
	D.	The Effect of Jackson on Third Party	
		Litigation Funding	176
		1. Lawyers' costs immunity, DBAs, and third	
		party funding	176
		 Hodgson immunity Alternative business structures 	176 179
	Г		
	E.	Conclusion	179
9.	Pro	ofessional Obligations	182
	A.	Introduction	182
	B.	The Association of Litigation Funders	182
		1. Membership	183
		2. Code of conduct	183
	C.	Solicitors	192
		1. Conduct rules	194
		2. Solicitors' duties	196
	D.	Conclusion	203
10.	Fu	nding and the Future	205
	A.	Introduction	205
	B.	Regulation and Self-Regulation	208
	C.	Education	211
	D.	ABS	214
	E.	Jurisdictions	216
	F.	Evolution	217
	G.	Conclusion	218
Λ		lim 1 I inimation English Assessed	221
		dix 1. Litigation Funding Agreement dix 2. Preamble	221 248
		dix 3. A Procedure to Govern Complaints Made	240
		against Funder Members by Funded Litigants	252

Appendix 4.		tion of the Association of Litigation	1
۸			26
			27.
Appendix 6.	and Wales	Litigation Funders of England	7
	and wates	attround a septiment for a contract of	27
Index			28
		arion magniful in the minimum to the	
	A-		
111			
		against Finador Mombers by Funde	