

# CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i> .....	v
----------------------	---

## PART ONE

### FORMALISATION AND LEGAL CONSEQUENCES OF SAME-SEX MARRIAGES AND PARTNERSHIPS

#### The Nordic Countries: Same Direction – Different Speeds

Ingrid LUND-ANDERSEN .....	3
1. Introduction .....	3
2. Regulation of Same-Sex Relationships .....	4
2.1. Registered Partnership Acts .....	4
2.1.1. Adoption .....	5
2.1.2. Conditions for the Registration of a Partnership – Residence and Nationality .....	6
2.1.3. Statistics on Registered Partnerships in Denmark and in Finland .....	7
2.1.3.1. Denmark .....	7
2.1.3.2. Finland .....	9
2.2. Gender-Neutral Marriage .....	9
2.3. Church Ceremony .....	12
2.4. Recognition of Formalised Relationships of Same-Sex Couples Entered into Abroad .....	13
3. Unmarried Cohabitation .....	14
4. Conclusions .....	16

#### Same-Sex Couples in Central Europe: Hop, Step and Jump

Frederik SWENNEN and Sven EGGERMONT .....	19
1. Introduction .....	19
2. Same-Sex Marriages .....	20
3. Separate but Equal .....	23
3.1. Introduction .....	23
3.2. Why Separate but Equal .....	25
3.3. Conditions for a Registered Partnership .....	27
3.4. Legal Effects of a Registered Partnership .....	27

3.5. Judicial or Administrative Dissolution of a Registered Partnership..	29
4. Separate and Unequal.....	30
4.1. Introduction.....	30
4.2. Conditions for a Registered Partnership.....	34
4.3. Legal Effects of a Registered Partnership.....	34
4.4. Judicial or Administrative Dissolution of a Registered Partnership..	36
5. Cohabitation.....	37
6. Conclusion.....	38

### All or Nothing: The Dilemma of Southern Jurisdictions

Cristina GONZÁLEZ BEILFUSS.....	41
---------------------------------	----

1. Introduction.....	41
2. Marriage and Other Forms of Legal Recognition of Same-Sex Couples: Spain and Portugal.....	41
2.1. Marriage.....	42
2.2. Other Forms of Legal Recognition.....	45
2.3. Children.....	49
3. Marriage and Other Forms of Legal Recognition of Same-Sex Couples: Italy and Greece.....	50
3.1. Legislation on Unmarried Couples.....	51
3.2. Same-Sex Marriage.....	52
4. Concluding Remarks.....	53

### Eastern European Countries: From Penalisation to Cohabitation or Further?

Monika JAGIELSKA.....	55
-----------------------	----

1. General Overview.....	55
2. Social Attitude.....	56
3. Social and Political Background.....	57
4. Existing Regulations.....	58
4.1. Hungary.....	59
4.1.1. General Overview.....	59
4.1.2. Current Legislation.....	60
4.1.3. Legal Consequences.....	60
4.2. The Czech Republic.....	61
4.3. Slovenia.....	62
4.3.1. General Overview.....	62
4.3.2. The Existing Law.....	63
5. Other Countries.....	64
5.1. Bulgaria.....	64
5.2. Estonia.....	64
5.3. Latvia.....	65

5.4. Lithuania.....	65
5.5. Poland.....	66
5.6. Romania.....	67
5.7. Slovakia.....	67
6. Recognition.....	68
7. Summary.....	68

## A Patchwork of Partnerships: Comparative Overview of Registration Schemes in Europe

Ian CURRY-SUMNER.....	71
1. Introduction.....	71
2. Substantive Law Comparison.....	72
2.1. Introduction.....	72
2.2. Same-Sex Marriage.....	72
2.3. Registered Partnerships.....	74
2.4. Unregistered Relationship Forms.....	78
2.5. Summary.....	78
3. Common Threads and Models.....	79
3.1. Introduction.....	79
3.2. Three Models of Registration.....	79
3.3. Rights and Duties Incumbent on Partners.....	82
4. Conclusion.....	86

## PART TWO

### SAME-SEX COUPLES AND CHILDREN

#### Parenthood for Same-Sex Couples – Scandinavian Developments

Maarit JÄNTERÄ-JAREBORG.....	91
1. General Remarks.....	91
1.1. Who Qualify as a Family – Same-Sex Couples’ Claim for Legal Recognition.....	91
1.2. Focus on Scandinavian Developments.....	93
1.2.1. Pioneer States with Similar Legal Policies.....	93
1.2.2. The Introduction of a Registered Partnership for Same-Sex Couples.....	94
1.2.3. The Introduction of a Gender-Neutral Marriage Concept....	94
1.2.4. Reasons to Study the Scandinavian Developments.....	96
2. The Ideological Framework towards Same-Sex Parenthood.....	97
2.1. Legislative Engineering towards Societal Acceptance.....	97
2.2. Arguments For and Against.....	99

3.	The Gradual Development towards All-Inclusive Parental Rights . . . . .	101
3.1.	Various Systematisations of Parenthood . . . . .	101
3.2.	Stepchild Adoptions . . . . .	102
3.2.1.	The First Step towards Joint Parental Status . . . . .	102
3.2.2.	Exceptions in Relation to Inter-Country Adoptions . . . . .	103
3.2.3.	Evaluation . . . . .	104
3.3.	Joint Adoption by a Same-Sex Couple . . . . .	104
3.3.1.	A Controversial Issue . . . . .	104
3.3.2.	Swedish Investigations on the Topic . . . . .	105
3.3.3.	Evaluation . . . . .	106
3.3.4.	The “Same Rules for All” Do Not Safeguard Equality . . . . .	107
3.4.	Assisted Fertilisation Services for Lesbian Couples . . . . .	108
3.4.1.	No Reason to Distinguish between Methods . . . . .	108
3.4.2.	Co-Parenthood between the Birth-Mother and her Consenting Partner . . . . .	109
3.4.3.	Safeguarding the Child’s Right to Information about Its Origin . . . . .	109
3.4.4.	Other Scandinavian Models . . . . .	110
3.4.5.	The Child’s Right to Information about the Donor . . . . .	111
3.4.6.	Comparisons and Evaluation . . . . .	113
3.5.	Parenthood through Surrogacy – A Future Option for Gay Couples? . . . . .	115
4.	Concerns of Private International Law . . . . .	116
4.1.	A New “Mixed” Challenge . . . . .	116
4.2.	The Scandinavian Approaches – An Outline . . . . .	117
4.3.	The Difficulty of Adjusting Legislation to Same-Sex Parenthood – A Swedish Example . . . . .	118
4.4.	International Challenges . . . . .	119
5.	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	120
Surrogacy and Same-Sex Couples in The Netherlands		
	Machteld VONK and Katharina BOELE-WOELKI . . . . .	123
1.	Introduction . . . . .	123
2.	Dutch Attitude towards Surrogacy in General . . . . .	124
3.	Surrogacy and Male Same-Sex Couples . . . . .	127
3.1.	Surrogate Mother is Married . . . . .	129
3.2.	Surrogate Mother is Not Married . . . . .	130
3.3.	Surrogate Mother Has Entered into a Registered Partnership: Both Partners Have Parental Responsibility . . . . .	132
4.	Going Abroad . . . . .	132
5.	Developments in Other Jurisdictions . . . . .	137
6.	Looking to the Future . . . . .	138

## PART THREE

## PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW

Private International Law Aspects of Same-Sex Marriages and Partnerships  
in Europe – Divided We Stand?

Patrick WAUTELET .....	143
1. By Way of Introduction .....	143
2. Access to Marriage and Partnership .....	145
2.1. Same-Sex Marriage .....	145
2.1.1. Countries Which Have Opened Up Marriage to Same-Sex Partners .....	145
2.1.2. Countries Which Have Not Opened Up Marriage to Same-Sex Partners .....	149
2.2. Partnerships .....	151
3. Consequences of Marriage and Partnership – The Life of the Relationship .....	158
3.1. Same-Sex Marriage .....	161
3.1.1. Between Countries Which Have Opened Up Marriage to Same-Sex Partners .....	161
3.1.2. Between Countries One of Which Does Not Allow Same-Sex Marriage .....	163
3.2. Partnerships .....	166
3.2.1. First Approach: Law of the Country of Origin .....	166
3.2.2. Second Approach: Law of the Host Country .....	172
3.2.3. Third Approach: Analogy with Marriage .....	174
4. Outlook .....	175
Private International Law Aspects of Same-Sex Couples under German Law	
Dieter MARTINY .....	189
1. German National Law .....	189
1.1. Registered Life Partnership .....	189
1.1.1. Purpose of Legislation .....	189
1.1.2. Formalities and Conditions of Validity for the Formation of a Life Partnership .....	190
1.1.3. Legal Consequences of Life Partnerships .....	191
1.1.4. Termination of the Life Partnership .....	193
1.1.5. Succession Rights .....	193
1.2. Unregistered Same-Sex Partnership .....	194
2. Non-Marital Cohabitation, Registered Partnerships and Same-Sex Marriages in the Conflict of Laws .....	195
2.1. Non-Marital Cohabitation of Persons of the Opposite Sex .....	195

2.2.	Same-Sex Registered Partnerships .....	196
2.3.	Same-Sex Marriages .....	197
2.4.	Heterosexual Registered Partnerships .....	199
2.5.	Unregistered Same-Sex Partnerships .....	200
3.	Conflict of Laws and Registered Same-Sex Life Partnerships .....	201
3.1.	Introduction .....	201
3.2.	Characterisation and Connection of Registered Life Partnerships. .	202
3.2.1.	Basic Concept .....	202
3.2.2.	Characterisation .....	203
3.2.3.	Connection .....	203
3.2.4.	Registered Life Partnership as a Preliminary Question .....	204
3.3.	Minimum and Maximum Effects of Life Partnership .....	204
3.3.1.	Minimum Effects According to German Law .....	204
3.3.2.	Limitation of Legal Effects .....	205
3.3.3.	Public Policy .....	207
3.4.	Formation of a Life Partnership .....	208
3.4.1.	Reference to the Place of Registration .....	208
3.4.2.	Change of Applicable Law .....	209
3.5.	Existing Life Partnership .....	210
3.5.1.	Family Name .....	210
3.5.2.	General Effects of Partnership .....	211
3.5.3.	Matrimonial Property Law Effects .....	211
3.5.4.	Protection of Third Parties .....	212
3.5.5.	Effects in Maintenance Law .....	213
3.5.6.	Effects in Succession Law .....	214
3.6.	Dissolution of a Life Partnership .....	216
3.6.1.	Dissolution .....	216
3.6.2.	Division of Property, Use of the Home and Household Goods .....	217
3.6.3.	Pension Rights Adjustment .....	218
3.6.4.	Maintenance Obligations .....	218
3.7.	Third Party Effects of Life Partnership .....	218
3.8.	Issues of Parent and Child Law .....	219
3.8.1.	Parentage and Name .....	219
3.8.2.	Adoption .....	219
3.8.3.	Custody and Contact .....	220
3.9.	International Civil Procedure .....	220
3.9.1.	Jurisdiction .....	220
3.9.2.	Recognition of Foreign Decisions .....	221
4.	Conclusion .....	222

Workshop: Cross-Border Recognition (and Refusal of Recognition) of Registered Partnerships and Marriages with a Focus on their Financial Aspects and the Consequences for Divorce, Maintenance and Succession  
 Dieter MARTINY ..... 225

*Case 1: “Income from the Italian Restaurant in Cologne” (Financial Consequences)*..... 225

Application of Regulation Proposal on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law and the Recognition and Enforcement of Decisions Regarding the Property Consequences of Registered Partnerships of 16 March 2011 ..... 226

1. Jurisdiction ..... 226

    1.1. Property Consequences ..... 226

    1.2. Registered Partnership ..... 226

    1.3. Jurisdiction of Italian and German Courts..... 227

2. Determination of the Law Applicable to the Property Consequences .... 227

    2.1. Implicit Rule Providing for Recognition of Personal and Family Status in the EU? ..... 227

    2.2. Preliminary Question ..... 227

    2.3. Law of the Place of Registration ..... 228

    2.4. Public Policy ..... 228

3. Recognition of Decisions ..... 229

*Case 2: “The Wealthy Computer Specialist” (Financial Consequences)* ..... 229

1. Application of the Regulation Proposal on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law and the Recognition and Enforcement of Decisions in Matters of Matrimonial Property Regimes of 16 March 2011 ..... 230

    1.1. Jurisdiction ..... 230

    1.2. Matrimonial Property Regime ..... 230

    1.3. Marriage ..... 230

    1.4. Implicit Rule Providing for Recognition of Personal and Family Status in the EU? ..... 231

        1.4.1. Concept of a Gender Neutral Marriage..... 231

        1.4.2. National Public Policy ..... 232

        1.4.3. “Downgrading” of the Marriage?..... 232

    1.5. Preliminary Question ..... 232

    1.6. Determination of the Applicable Law ..... 233

2. Matrimonial Property after Relocation to Germany..... 234

    2.1. Application of the Regulation Proposal on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law and the Recognition and Enforcement of Decisions in Matters of Matrimonial Property Regimes ..... 234

    2.2. Applicable Law ..... 234

<i>Case 3: “The German/Dutch Couple” (Dissolution/Divorce)</i> .....	235
1. Application of Brussels I <b>bis</b> , Jurisdiction .....	236
1.1. Brussels I <b>bis</b> .....	236
1.2. German Jurisdiction Rules .....	237
2. Rome III Regulation (Divorce) .....	238
2.1. Scope of Council Regulation (EU) No 1259/2010 Implementing Enhanced Cooperation in the Area of the Law Applicable to Divorce and Legal Separation of 20 December 2010 .....	238
2.1.1. “Divorce” .....	238
2.1.2. What is “Marriage” under the Divorce Regulation (Rome III)? .....	238
2.2. Preliminary Question .....	239
3. Divorce of a Marriage or Dissolution of a Registered Partnership in Germany? .....	240
3.1. Divorce of a Marriage or Dissolution of a Registered Partnership? .	240
3.2. Applicable Law .....	242
4. Divorce of a Marriage or Dissolution of a Registered Partnership in England? .....	242
5. Divorce in Sweden .....	242
5.1. Jurisdiction of the Swedish Court .....	243
5.2. Applicable Law .....	243
5.3. Summary .....	243
<i>Case 4: “Cost of Living in Berlin” (Maintenance)</i> .....	244
1. Application of Council Regulation (EC) No 4/2009 on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition and Enforcement of Decisions and Cooperation in Matters Relating to Maintenance Obligations of 18 December 2008 .....	244
1.1. Applicability .....	244
1.2. Maintenance Obligation .....	245
1.3. Family Relationship .....	245
2. Application of the Hague Protocol on the Law Applicable to Maintenance Obligations of 23 November 2007 .....	245
2.1. Application of the Hague Protocol .....	245
2.1.1. Maintenance Obligation .....	245
2.1.2. Family Relationship .....	246
2.2. Applicable Law .....	246
<i>Case 5: “Consequences of a Traffic Accident in Germany” (Succession)</i> .....	246
1. Result under the European Succession Proposal .....	247
1.1. Jurisdiction Rules .....	247
1.2. Applicable Law .....	247
1.3. Preliminary Question .....	248



2. Result for the Deceased Austrian Partner under German Private International Law . . . . .	248
Case 6: “The End of a PACS” (Succession) . . . . .	249
1. Regulation Proposal on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition and Enforcement of Decisions and Authentic Instruments in Matters of Succession of 14 October 2009 . . . . .	249
2. Deceased French Partner under German Private International Law . . . . .	250
2.1. Application of the Lex Successionis . . . . .	250
2.2. Preliminary Question . . . . .	250
2.3. Renvoi . . . . .	250
2.4. Modification of Foreign Law . . . . .	251
2.4.1. The Principle . . . . .	251
2.4.2. Lack of Succession Rights under French Law – Modification to Prevent Discrimination? . . . . .	252

PART FOUR  
EUROPEAN LAW ASPECTS

The Right to Private and Family Life, the Right to Marry and to Found a Family, and the Prohibition of Discrimination Bea VERSCHRAEGEN . . . . .	255
1. Introduction . . . . .	255
2. International Commission on Civil Status . . . . .	259
3. Council of Europe – European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) . . . . .	260
4. European Union . . . . .	266
4.1. Legal Framework . . . . .	266
4.2. Case Law . . . . .	268
5. Summary . . . . .	270
Comparing People or Institutions? Sexual Orientation Discrimination and the Court of Justice of the European Union Helmut GRAUPNER . . . . .	271
1. Human Rights Background . . . . .	271
1.1. Autonomy and Non-Discrimination . . . . .	271
1.2. A Duty to Protect . . . . .	273
2. Pre-Maruko ECJ-Case-Law . . . . .	273
3. The Case <i>Tadao Maruko</i> . . . . .	274
3.1. Tadao Maruko’s Argument . . . . .	274
3.2. The Submissions Made by the European Commission and the Advocate General . . . . .	275
3.3. The Judgment . . . . .	276

4. The Reaction of German High Courts.....	277
5. The Solution .....	278
6. The Case <i>Jürgen Römer</i> .....	279
6.1. The Opinion of the Advocate General .....	279
6.2. The Judgment .....	280
6.2.1. Retroactive Effect .....	281
6.2.2. Indirect Discrimination.....	282
7. Annex .....	283
 Migration Rights and Same-Sex Couples in EU Law: A Case Study	
Helen TONER .....	285
1. Introduction .....	285
2. History and Current Situation.....	285
2.1. Legislative Compromise and Current Problems .....	286
2.2. Some Examples?.....	289
3. Contemporary Perspectives? .....	291
3.1. EU Charter on Fundamental Rights.....	291
3.2. ECHR Case Law on Equality – <i>Karner v Austria</i> .....	292
3.3. ECJ Case Law on Equality: <i>Maruko</i> Case .....	293
3.4. Family Life: <i>Schalk &amp; Kopf v Austria</i> .....	295
3.5. ... And its Limitations .....	297
3.6. Free Movement Nationale for Migration Rights .....	298
3.7. Citizenship Discourse.....	299
3.8. <i>Zambrano</i> – A New Perspective on Citizenship and Family Residence Rights? .....	300
3.9. Differentiating <i>Zambrano</i> , Widening or Limiting its Effect?.....	302
3.10. What’s in a Name – Other EU Citizenship Cases?.....	304
3.11. Inspiration from National Law? .....	305
3.12. <i>Metock</i> : The Clearest Confirmation? .....	307
4. Conclusion .....	308
 <i>List of Authors</i> .....	 309