

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	v
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xv
<i>List of Cases</i>	xvii
<i>List of Treaties and Legislation</i>	xxiii

PART I. CONCEPT AND OBJECTIVES

Chapter 1. Introduction	3
1.1. Premise	3
1.2. Delineation of Party Autonomy	4
1.3. Development in EU Private International Law	5
1.4. Outline of Approach	13

Chapter 2. Conceptualising Free Will in EU Private International Law Relating to Family Matters and Succession	15
2.1. Introduction	15
2.2. The Intrinsic Value of Free Will in the Party Autonomy Context	15
2.2.1. Requisite Elements of Free Will	16
2.2.2. Freedom as an Intrinsic Justification for Party Autonomy	18
2.2.3. Reconciling the Will of the Parties with the Law	20
2.3. The Value Attached to Free Will in the EU Setting	23
2.3.1. European Human Rights Framework	23
2.3.2. CJEU Case Law	25
2.4. Free Will in EU Cross-Border Family Matters and Succession	29
2.4.1. Restricted Character	30
2.4.2. Addressing the Needs of Specific Parties	32

Chapter 3. The Objectives behind the Unification of Private International Law on Family Matters and Succession	35
3.1. Introduction	35
3.2. The Europeanisation of Private International Law on Family Matters and Succession	36
3.2.1. The Changing Nature of Free Movement	36

3.2.2.	The Emergence of the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice	38
3.2.3.	The Development of a Suitable Legal Basis	39
3.3.	Obstacle I: Legal Uncertainty	42
3.3.1.	Extricating the Elements of Legal Certainty	42
3.3.1.1.	The Rule of Law	44
3.3.1.2.	Securing a Predictable Outcome	44
3.3.1.3.	The Protection of Legitimate Expectations	45
3.3.2.	Sources of Legal Uncertainty in EU Cross-Border Family Matters and Succession	47
3.3.2.1.	The Complexity of the Private International Law Framework	48
3.3.2.2.	The Indefinite Nature of the Private International Law Framework	49
3.3.2.3.	Expectations that have Arisen under a Previously Applicable Legal System	50
3.3.2.4.	Entrenched Expectations as to the Effects of a Relationship	51
3.4.	Obstacle II: Lack of Affinity with the Applicable Law	54
3.4.1.	The Components of Close Connection	55
3.4.1.1.	Everyday Life	56
3.4.1.2.	Origin and Culture	57
3.4.1.3.	Situational Affinity	57
3.4.2.	Difficulty in Securing a Law of Close Connection	58
3.5.	Obstacle III: Practical Hindrances to Accessing Justice	59
3.5.1.	Access to Justice for Parties in Cross-Border Situations	60
3.5.2.	Barriers to Accessing Justice in the Private International Law Setting	61
3.5.2.1.	The Necessity to Travel to Attend Proceedings	61
3.5.2.2.	Complex Proceedings	61
3.5.2.3.	Incompatible Interactions	63

PART II. PRELIMINARIES OF CHOICE

Chapter 4. Material and Personal Scope	69
4.1. Introduction	69
4.2. Preliminary Observations	70
4.2.1. The Autonomous Meaning of Concepts in EU Law	70
4.2.2. Civil Matters	70
4.2.3. International Character	71

4.3.	Matrimonial Matters	73
4.3.1.	Material Scope	74
4.3.2.	Personal Scope	76
4.4.	Property Relations between Spouses and Registered Partners	78
4.4.1.	Material Scope	78
4.4.2.	Personal Scope	82
4.5.	Maintenance Obligations	84
4.5.1.	Material Scope	85
4.5.2.	Personal Scope	89
4.5.2.1.	Debtor	90
4.5.2.2.	Creditor	90
4.6.	Parental Responsibility	92
4.6.1.	Material Scope	93
4.6.2.	Personal Scope	97
4.6.2.1.	Child	97
4.6.2.2.	Holder of Parental Responsibility	97
4.6.2.3.	Parties to the Proceedings	98
4.7.	Succession	100
4.7.1.	Material Scope	100
4.7.2.	Personal Scope	105
4.7.2.1.	Deceased	105
4.7.2.2.	Parties Concerned in the Estate	105
4.8.	Synthesis	107
4.8.1.	Overview of the Findings	107
4.8.2.	Interplay between Related Areas	110
4.8.3.	Gaps to be Addressed	112
	Chapter 5. Focus of Choice	113
5.1.	Introduction	113
5.2.	Court	113
5.2.1.	General Delineation	114
5.2.2.	Non-Judicial Authorities	116
5.2.3.	Procedural Guarantees and Requirements	118
5.2.4.	Religious and Other Private Authorities	120
5.2.5.	Arbitral Tribunals	122
5.2.6.	Notification of Non-Judicial Authorities	123
5.3.	Applicable Law	125
5.3.1.	State Law	125
5.3.2.	Universal Scope	126

5.3.3.	Unity of the Law.....	126
5.3.4.	Exclusion of <i>Renvoi</i>	127
5.4.	Synthesis.....	128
5.4.1.	Overview of the Findings.....	128
5.4.2.	Interplay between Related Areas.....	129
5.4.3.	Gaps to be Addressed.....	130

PART III. CONTENT OF CHOICE

Chapter 6.	Direct Connections.....	133
6.1.	Introduction.....	133
6.2.	Habitual Residence.....	134
6.2.1.	General Description.....	134
6.2.2.	Choice of Court: Article 4(1)(a) and (c)(ii) of the Maintenance Regulation.....	139
6.2.3.	Choice of Law.....	141
6.2.3.1.	Article 5(1)(a) and (b) of the Rome III Regulation.....	141
6.2.3.2.	Article 22(1)(a) of the Property Regulations.....	143
6.2.3.3.	Article 8(1)(b) of the Hague Maintenance Protocol.....	143
6.3.	Nationality and Domicile.....	144
6.3.1.	General Description.....	145
6.3.2.	Substitution with Domicile.....	147
6.3.3.	Choice of Court: Article 4(1)(b) of the Maintenance Regulation.....	149
6.3.4.	Choice of Law.....	149
6.3.4.1.	Article 5(1)(c) of Rome III, Article 22(1)(b) of the Property Regulations and Article 8(1)(a) of the Hague Maintenance Protocol.....	150
6.3.4.2.	Article 22 of the Succession Regulation.....	150
6.4.	The Place where the Legal Relationship was Created.....	154
6.4.1.	General Description.....	154
6.4.2.	Choice of Court: Article 7 of the Property Regulations.....	154
6.4.3.	Choice of Law: Article 22(1)(c) of the Partnership Property Regulation.....	156
6.5.	Synthesis.....	156
6.5.1.	Overview of Findings.....	157
6.5.2.	Interplay between Related Areas.....	160
6.5.3.	Gaps to be Addressed.....	163

Chapter 7. Coordinating Provisions	165
7.1. Introduction	165
7.2. Jurisdictions that Decide on Related Matters	166
7.2.1. Article 5 of the Property Regulations	166
7.2.2. Article 4(1)(c)(i) of the Maintenance Regulation.....	169
7.2.3. Article 12(1) of the Brussels II Bis Regulation	169
7.3. Laws that Apply to Related Matters	173
7.4. Determining Jurisdiction on the Basis of Applicable Law	174
7.4.1. Article 7 of the Property Regulations	175
7.4.2. Articles 5, 6(b) and 7(b) and (c) of the Succession Regulation.....	176
7.5. Designating the Application of the <i>Lex Fori</i>	178
7.5.1. Article 5(1)(d) of Rome III.....	178
7.5.2. Article 7 of the Hague Maintenance Protocol.....	179
7.6. Synthesis	180
7.6.1. Overview of Findings	180
7.6.2. Value Added to the Framework	185
7.6.3. Gaps to be Addressed	188
 Chapter 8. Subsidiary Forms of Party Autonomy	 191
8.1. Introduction	191
8.2. Party Autonomy and Judicial Discretion	191
8.2.1. Article 12(3) of Brussels II Bis	192
8.2.2. Article 15 of Brussels II Bis.....	195
8.2.3. Articles 6(a) and 7(a) of the Succession Regulation.....	198
8.3. Entering an Appearance before a Court.....	199
8.3.1. Article 8 of the Property Regulations	200
8.3.2. Article 5 of the Maintenance Regulation.....	201
8.3.3. Article 9 of the Succession Regulation.....	202
8.4. Synthesis	203
8.4.1. Overview of Findings	203
8.4.2. Value Added to the Framework	205
8.4.3. Gaps to be Addressed	208
 PART IV. VARIABLES OF CHOICE	
 Chapter 9. Temporal, Formal and Material Requirements	 211
9.1. Introduction	211
9.2. Temporal Limits of Choice	211

9.2.1.	Choice of Court	212
9.2.1.1.	Article 7 of the Property Regulations and Article 4 of the Maintenance Regulation	212
9.2.1.2.	Article 5 of the Property Regulations	213
9.2.1.3.	Articles 5, 6(b) and 7(b) and 7(c) of the Succession Regulation	214
9.2.1.4.	Article 12 of Brussels II Bis	215
9.2.1.5.	Forum Non Conveniens Mechanisms	218
9.2.1.6.	Acceptance of Jurisdiction by Entering an Appearance	218
9.2.2.	Choice of Law	219
9.2.2.1.	Article 5 of Rome III	219
9.2.2.2.	Article 22 of the Property Regulations	220
9.2.2.3.	Article 8 of the Hague Maintenance Protocol	220
9.2.2.4.	Article 7 of the Hague Maintenance Protocol	221
9.2.2.5.	Article 22 of the Succession Regulation	222
9.3.	Formal Validity	222
9.3.1.	Choice of Court	222
9.3.1.1.	Article 7(2) of the Property Regulations	223
9.3.1.2.	Article 4(2) of the Maintenance Regulation	224
9.3.1.3.	Article 5(2) of the Succession Regulation	225
9.3.1.4.	Article 7(c) of the Succession Regulation	225
9.3.1.5.	Article 12 of Brussels II Bis	226
9.3.1.6.	Other Subsidiary Party Autonomy Provisions	227
9.3.2.	Choice of Law	229
9.3.2.1.	Article 7 of Rome III and Article 23 of the Property Regulations	229
9.3.2.2.	Articles 7(2) and 8(2) of the Hague Maintenance Protocol	231
9.3.2.3.	Article 22(2) of the Succession Regulation	232
9.4.	Material or Substantive Validity	236
9.4.1.	Choice of Court	236
9.4.2.	Choice of Law	238
9.4.2.1.	The Rome III Regulation	239
9.4.2.2.	The Property Regulations	239
9.4.2.3.	The Hague Maintenance Protocol	240
9.4.2.4.	The Succession Regulation	241
9.5.	Synthesis	242
9.5.1.	Overview of the Findings	242
9.5.2.	Interplay between Related Areas	246
9.5.3.	Gaps to be Addressed	249

Chapter 10. Enforcement of Choice	253
10.1. Introduction	253
10.2. Exclusivity of Jurisdiction and <i>Lis Pendens</i>	253
10.2.1. Exclusivity of Jurisdiction	254
10.2.2. <i>Lis Pendens</i>	255
10.3. Overriding Mandatory Provisions	256
10.3.1. Article 30 of the Property Regulations	257
10.3.2. Article 30 of the Succession Regulation	259
10.4. Public Policy (<i>Ordre Public</i>)	260
10.4.1. Article 12 of the Rome III Regulation, Article 31 of the Property Regulations and Article 35 of the Succession Regulation	261
10.4.2. Article 13 of the Hague Maintenance Protocol	265
10.5. Substantive Provisions	267
10.5.1. Article 10 of the Rome III Regulation	267
10.5.2. Articles 22(3) and 28 of the Property Regulations	268
10.5.3. Article 6 of the Hague Maintenance Protocol	270
10.5.4. Article 14 of the Hague Maintenance Protocol	270
10.6. (Non-)Recognition of a Relationship	271
10.6.1. General Approach	272
10.6.2. Article 13 of Rome III	278
10.6.3. Article 9 of the Property Regulations	279
10.7. The Impact of Enhanced Cooperation	279
10.7.1. The Rome III Regulation	281
10.7.2. The Property Regulations	282
10.8. Synthesis	283
10.8.1. Overview of the Findings	283
10.8.2. Interplay between Related Areas	286
10.8.3. Gaps to be Addressed	290

PART V. CONCLUSION

Chapter 11. Concluding Synthesis	297
11.1. Introduction	297
11.2. Legal Certainty	297
11.2.1. General Findings	298
11.2.2. Impact of the Interplay between Related Areas	302
11.2.3. Gaps to be Addressed	304

11.3.	Affinity with the Applicable Law.....	306
11.3.1.	General Findings	306
11.3.2.	Impact of the Interplay between Related Areas	309
11.3.3.	Gaps to be Addressed	309
11.4.	Practical Considerations in Accessing Justice	310
11.4.1.	General Findings	311
11.4.2.	Impact of the Interplay between Related Areas	313
11.4.3.	Gaps to be Addressed	317
11.5.	The Manifestation of Free Will in the Present Framework.....	318
11.5.1.	General Findings	319
11.5.2.	Impact of the Interplay between Related Areas	326
11.5.3.	Gaps to be Addressed	327
11.6.	Closing Remarks.....	330
	<i>Bibliography</i>	333
	<i>Index</i>	345