

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	1
A. Courts and Democratic Dysfunction: Promoting Democratic Responsiveness	2
B. Responsiveness to Context and Limits on Judicial Capacity	8
C. Responsive Judging: Responding to Litigants (and Disappointed Parties)	11
D. A Sometimes View of the Promise of Judicial Review	13
E. Structure of the Book	16

PART 1 DEMOCRATIC FOUNDATIONS

2. Constitutions and Constructional Choice	25
A. Judicial Review and Constructional Choice	27
1. Abortion, sexual privacy, and same-sex marriage	27
2. Implied speech and equality rights	33
3. Structural social rights	33
4. An unconstitutional amendment doctrine	36
B. Constitutional Theory and Constructional Choice	37
1. Abortion	40
2. Sexual privacy and equality	41
3. Structural social rights	42
C. Why Courts? Constitutional Choice and Democracy	43
D. Ely's Response	47
E. Criticism of Ely's Approach	52
F. Representation-Reinforcement Beyond Ely	54
3. Defining Democracy and Democratic Dysfunction	59
A. Defining Democracy	60
B. Democratic Dysfunction: Antidemocratic Monopoly Power	65
1. Electoral monopoly	72
2. Institutional monopoly	74
3. Monopoly: Intent versus effect	78
C. Legislative Blind Spots and Burdens of Inertia	80
1. Legislative blind spots	82
2. Legislative burdens of inertia	84
D. "Deliberate" versus Interconnected Democratic Blockages	88

PART 2 COURTS AND DEMOCRATIC
RESPONSIVENESS

4. The Scope and Intensity of Responsive Judicial Review	95
A. The Legal and Political Legitimacy of Judicial Review	96
B. The Political Legitimacy of Constitutional Implications	99
C. Responsive Review in Practice	102
1. Abortion rights	102
2. LGBTQI+ rights	108
3. Implied rights to freedom of expression and equality	115
4. Structural social rights	117
5. Unconstitutional amendment doctrine	122
D. The Intensity of Judicial Review: Toward Calibrated Proportionality or Scrutiny	127
1. Calibrating judgments about limitations on expression	131
2. Calibrating judgments about discrimination	134
E. Deference and a Legislative Action/Inaction Distinction	140
5. Democratic Dysfunction and the Effectiveness of Responsive Review	143
A. Detecting Democratic Dysfunction	145
B. Countering Dysfunction	151
C. Responsive Judicial Review in Practice	157
1. Comparative LGBTQI + rights	158
2. Structural social rights	161
3. Unconstitutional amendment doctrine	165
D. Preconditions for Success	167
1. Judicial independence and a political tolerance interval for judicial review	168
2. Litigation support structure	171
3. Jurisdiction and remedial toolkit	176
6. Risks to Democracy: Reverse Inertia, Democratic Backlash, and Debilitation	181
A. Limits on Judicial Capacity and Legitimacy	182
B. Reverse Burdens of Inertia	185
C. Democratic Backlash	194
D. Democratic Debilitation	200
E. Judicial Prudence, Principle, and Pragmatism	201

7. Toward Strong–Weak/Weak–Strong Judicial Review and Remedies	204
A. Weakened Judicial Review	205
B. Why (and How to) Weaken Review	216
1. The democratic minimum core and the pragmatic argument for weak–strong judicial review	217
2. Blind spots and burdens of inertia: a principled and pragmatic case for weak–strong review	220
C. Toward Strong–Weak/Weak–Strong Judicial Review	228
D. Conclusion	240

PART 3 RESPONSIVE JUDGING AND COMPARATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL THEORY

8. A Responsive Judicial Voice: Building a Court’s Legitimacy	245
A. Why Responsive Judging—or a Responsive Judicial Voice	246
B. Judicial Framing and Responsive Judicial Review	248
1. Authorship	248
2. Tone: Respect or comity	250
3. Narrative	252
C. Responsive Judicial Review and Judging: Building Support for LGBTQI+ Rights	254
D. Responsive Judging and the Democratic Minimum Core	261
E. Responsive Judging: Limits and Cautions	265
1. Limits on responsive judging	265
2. Democratic legitimacy versus legitimation	267
3. A responsive judicial voice beyond the bench	269
9. Conclusion: Toward a New Comparative Political Process Theory?	271
<i>Index</i>	281