

Contents

- Preface
Developing Law for the Anthropocene in the Global South XI
Abbreviations XVI
- 1 It's Time to Get Crazy
Justifying Radical Judicial Responses to Intersecting Social, Environmental, and Climate Injustices 1
- 1.1 Introduction 1
- 1.2 An Environmental Law Dispute 7
- 1.3 A Socio-ecological Systems Perspective for the Anthropocene 8
- 1.4 A Global South Context and Justification for Radical Judicial Responses for the Anthropocene 13
- 1.4.1 *Poverty and Inequality* 13
- 1.4.2 *Social, Environmental, and Climate (In)Justice* 17
- 1.5 Methodology and Structure of the Book 27
- 1.5.1 *Progressive and Reformist Legal Scholarship* 27
- 1.5.2 *A Legal Theory Emerging from a Tapestry of Norms, Woven Together* 27
- 1.5.3 *Grappling with Problematic Trends in the Adjudication of Environmental Law Disputes* 30
- 1.5.4 *Transformative Environmental Constitutionalism in Theory and Practice* 35
- 1.5.5 *Conclusion: The Importance of a Legal Theory of Transformative Environmental Constitutionalism* 38
- 2 Weaving Together a Tapestry of Norms
Transformative Constitutionalism, Transformative Adjudication, and Environmental Constitutionalism 39
- 2.1 Introduction 39
- 2.2 Transformative Constitutionalism 40
- 2.2.1 *Support for Transformative Constitutionalism Elsewhere in the World* 43
- 2.2.2 *Criticisms of Transformative Constitutionalism* 45
- 2.2.3 *Transformative Constitutionalism's Goals: A Critical Perspective* 51
- 2.3 Transformative Adjudication 58

- 2.3.1 *The Political Nature of Transformative Adjudication through Substantive Reasoning* 61
- 2.3.2 *The Need to Overcome Formalism* 64
- 2.3.3 *Transformative Adjudication and the Separation of Powers Doctrine* 67
- 2.4 Environmental Constitutionalism in South Africa 71
 - 2.4.1 *Category 1: Laws Explicitly Aimed at the Protection of the Environment and/or Components* 74
 - 2.4.2 *Category 2: Laws Requiring Transparent, Lawful, Participatory, Fair, and Reasonable Decision Making* 82
 - 2.4.3 *Category 3: Substantive Rights Interrelated with and Mutually Reinforcing of Environmental Protection* 85
- 2.5 Conclusion 87
- 3 **Problematic Trends in the Adjudication of Environmental Law Disputes** 89
 - 3.1 Introduction 89
 - 3.2 Overlooking Social, Environmental, and Climate Injustices in Environmental Law Disputes (the "Overlooking Trend") 95
 - 3.2.1 *Adendorffs Boerderye* 96
 - 3.2.2 *Kenton on Sea* 98
 - 3.3 Over-Proceduralising Environmental Law Disputes (the "Over-Proceduralisation Trend") 100
 - 3.3.1 *Normandien Farms* 106
 - 3.3.2 *The Barberton Mines Judgments* 109
 - 3.4 Under-Development of the Environmental Right (the "Under-Utilisation Trend") 113
 - 3.4.1 *Under-Development by Virtue of Courts Paying Lip Service to the Environmental Right* 117
 - 3.4.1.1 Propshaft 117
 - 3.4.1.2 iSimangaliso 118
 - 3.4.2 *Under-Development by Virtue of Courts Presuming that Substantive Provisions in Environmental Legislation Give Effect to the Environmental Right* 121
 - 3.5 Overlooking the Relationships among Environmental Rights and other Interrelated and Mutually Reinforcing Rights (the "Compartmentalisation Trend") 126
 - 3.6 Conclusion 130

- 4 **Developing Law for the Anthropocene**
Exploring the Content of a Legal Theory of Transformative Environmental Constitutionalism 132
- 4.1 Introduction 132
- 4.2 Justice-Oriented Framing of Disputes 137
- 4.3 Substantive, Rights-Based Adjudication 141
- 4.3.1 *Substantive Engagement with Justice-Oriented Provisions in Environmental Legislation* 143
- 4.3.2 *Environmental Justice* 144
- 4.3.3 *Public Trusteeship* 146
- 4.3.4 *Developing the Normative Content of the Environmental Right* 151
- 4.3.5 *Ecological Sustainability* 155
- 4.3.6 *Inter- and Intra-generational Equity* 158
- 4.3.7 *Recognising the Mutually Reinforcing and Interrelated Nature of the Environmental Right and Other Substantive Rights* 162
- 4.3.8 *The Rights to Life and Dignity* 163
- 4.3.9 *Socio-economic Rights* 165
- 4.3.10 *Cultural Rights* 166
- 4.4 Conclusion 168
- 5 **The Practical Significance of Transformative Environmental Constitutionalism**
Offering Hope for the Adjudication of Future Environmental Law Disputes 171
- 5.1 Introduction 171
- 5.2 Earthlife 174
- 5.2.1 *The Framing of the Dispute in Earthlife* 175
- 5.2.2 *Purposive and Substantive Rights-Based Adjudication in Earthlife* 181
- 5.3 VEJA 184
- 5.3.1 *The Framing of the Dispute in VEJA* 186
- 5.3.2 *Purposive and Substantive Rights-Based Adjudication in VEJA* 189
- 5.4 The Gongqose Judgments 194
- 5.4.1 *Framing of the Dispute in the Gongqose Judgments* 195
- 5.4.2 *Purposive and Substantive Rights-Based Adjudication in the Gongqose Judgments* 197
- 5.5 Conclusion 201

6 Conclusion	204
6.1 Transformative Environmental Constitutionalism as Work-in-Progress	204
6.2 Law for the Anthropocene: A Moving Target	205
Bibliography	207
Table of Cases	237
Index	243