

# Contents

<b>Introduction: Judging Is Human</b> . . . . .	1
Jeffrey J. Rachlinski	
<b>What Do We Mean by Precedent? Empirical Evidence of Ordinary Usage</b> . . . . .	7
Priscila C. de Andrade, Guilherme F. C. F. de Almeida, Ivar R. Hannikainen, and Noel Struchiner	
<b>Reasonableness on the Clapham Omnibus: Exploring the Outcome-Sensitive Folk Concept of <i>Reasonable</i></b> . . . . .	25
Markus Kneer	
<b>“That’s Him!”: Evaluating a Guilt Hypothesis in the Context of a Suspect Lineup</b> . . . . .	49
Moa Lidén	
<b>Debiasing Numerical Verdicts and Judicial Discretion: Reflections on Mitigating the Anchoring Effect in Judicial Decision-Making</b> . . . . .	79
Maciej Próchnicki, Bartosz Janik, and Piotr Bystranowski	
<b>Truth, Probability, and Evidence in Judicial Reasoning: The Case of the Conjunction Fallacy</b> . . . . .	105
Gustavo Cevolani and Vincenzo Crupi	
<b>An Analytic Framework for Research on Judicial Decision-Making</b> . . . .	123
Wessel Wijnvliet	
<b>Hercules on a Diet</b> . . . . .	159
Inbar Levy	
<b>The Challenges of Artificial Judicial Decision-Making for Liberal Democracy</b> . . . . .	179
Christoph K. Winter	

**Correction to: The Challenges of Artificial Judicial Decision-Making  
for Liberal Democracy . . . . . C1**  
Christoph K. Winter