

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	ix
CHAPTER I: MANDATORY NORMS: PRINCIPLES AND RULES	1
1. Introduction. Types of principles	1
1.1. The discussion about principles in contemporary legal theory: How it all started 1 / 1.2. Different meanings of 'legal principle' 3 / 1.3. A proposal of classification 5	
2. Principles and rules	6
2.1. A structural approach to the distinction 7 / 2.2. Principles and rules as reasons for action 12 / 2.3. Principles, rules, powers, and interests 15	
3. The explanatory, the justificatory and the legitimatory dimension of principles	19
3.1. Principles in legal explanations 20 / 3.2. Principles in legal reasoning 21 / 3.3. Principles, control and legitimation of power 25	
APPENDIX TO CHAPTER I: REPLY TO OUR CRITICS	26
1. Mandatory rules as preemptory reasons, and principles as non-preemptory reasons; the 'closed' or 'open' configuration of the conditions of application	27
1.1. Prieto's critique 27 / 1.2. Peczenik's critique 34	
2. Principles and full compliance	36
2.1. Prieto's position 37 / 2.2. Peczenik's position 41	
CHAPTER II: POWER-CONFERRING RULES	44
1. Introduction	44
2. What power-conferring rules are not	45
2.1. First exclusion: Power-conferring rules are not deontic or regulative norms 46 / 2.2. Second exclusion: Power-conferring rules cannot adequately be understood in terms of definitions, conceptual rules, or qualifying dispositions 53	

3.	What power-conferring rules are	57
	3.1. Three approaches and some ontological assumptions 57 / 3.2. A structural approach 58 / 3.3. A functional approach: Power-conferring rules as reasons for action 65 / 3.4. Power-conferring rules, non-normative powers, and interests 72	
	APPENDIX TO CHAPTER II: REPLY TO OUR CRITICS	76
1.	Introduction	76
2.	Critique of our critique of the deontic (or prescriptivist) conception	76
3.	Are we treating the conceptualist thesis fairly?	84
4.	Problems with our conception	85
	CHAPTER III: PERMISSIVE SENTENCES	90
1.	Permission in contemporary legal theory	90
	1.1. The pragmatic irrelevance of permissive norms. The category of 'permissive norms' is unnecessary. Echave-Urquijo-Guibourg (1980) and Ross (1968) 91 / 1.2. Von Wright's proposal: Permissive norms as promises 94 / 1.3. Weak and strong permission in Alchourrón and Bulygin 99	
2.	Reformulating the problem	103
	2.1. Permission and the regulation of 'natural' conduct 103 / 2.2. Permission and the exercise of normative powers 107 / 2.3. Permission and principles. Constitutional freedoms 112	
3.	Some conclusions	115
	APPENDIX TO CHAPTER III: A NOTE ON CONSTITUTIONAL PERMISSION AND BASIC RIGHTS	116
	CHAPTER IV: VALUES IN THE LAW	120
1.	Introduction	120
2.	Two conceptions of criminal norms	121
3.	The double-faced character of norms and value judgments	127
4.	Types of norms and types of values	135

CHAPTER V: THE RULE OF RECOGNITION	141
1. Introduction	141
2. Jurists and the 'normative value' of the constitution	142
3. The rule of recognition as ultimate norm	145
4. Changing the rule of recognition without rupturing legal continuity?	146
5. A host of problems	147
6. Who shapes the rule of recognition?	148
7. The conceptual, directive and evaluative dimensions of the rule of recognition. The rule of recognition and the exclusionary claim of the law. Why accept the rule of recognition?	152
8. How many rules of recognition? Certainty and penumbra in the rule of recognition	158
CHAPTER VI: CONCLUSIONS	162
1. Introduction	162
2. A classification of legal sentences: Table 1	162
3. A comparative analysis of the different types of sentences: Table 2	164
TABLE I. CLASSIFICATION OF SENTENCES	175
TABLE II. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF SENTENCE	176
BIBLIOGRAPHY	183
INDEX OF NAMES	191