

# Contents

---

<i>About the authors</i>	x
<i>Foreword</i>	xi
<i>Preface</i>	xiii
<i>Table of cases</i>	xv
<i>Table of legislation</i>	xxiii
<b>1. Introduction: what is at stake?</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>Julia Hörnle</i>	
1.1 Aims and scope	1
1.2 Overview	3
1.3 Definitions	5
1.4 Historical background	6
1.5 De-localized gambling in virtual worlds: virtual games and virtual money	8
<b>2. Social policy and regulatory models</b>	<b>10</b>
<i>Julia Hörnle</i>	
2.1 Motives of regulation	10
2.2 Online gambling risks and social policy objectives	11
2.2.1 Addiction	11
2.2.2 Protection of minors	15
2.2.3 Consumer protection and prevention of fraud	17
2.2.4 Crime and money laundering	19
2.2.5 Funding of charitable, cultural and sports activities	22
2.2.6 Conclusion	22
2.3 Different regulatory models and how they address the policy objectives	23
2.3.1 Regulatory mechanisms overview	23
2.3.2 Five examples of regulatory regimes	30
2.3.2.1 Germany	30
2.3.2.2 Hong Kong	40
2.3.2.3 US Federal Law	42
2.3.2.4 United Kingdom	53
2.3.2.5 Antigua	69

2.3.3	Regulation of advertising	70
2.3.4	Role of self-regulation	74
2.4	Conclusion	77
<b>3.</b>	<b>Impact of national regulation on cross-border services</b>	<b>78</b>
	<i>Julia Hörnle</i>	
3.1	Jurisdiction	78
3.1.1	Public–private distinction	78
3.1.2	International law and criminal jurisdiction	79
3.1.2.1	Principles	80
3.1.2.2	Effects doctrine	83
3.1.2.3	Some internet examples – case law	86
3.1.3	Specific national jurisdiction rules applicable to the regulation of online gambling	90
3.1.3.1	English law	90
3.1.3.2	German criminal law	92
3.1.3.3	US subject matter jurisdiction	93
3.1.4	Conclusion	94
3.2	Enforcement and its reach	94
3.2.1	Person or assets?	95
3.2.2	Enforcement co-operation	97
3.2.2.1	Extradition	97
3.2.2.2	Where to prosecute?	104
3.2.2.3	Cross-border investigative co-operation/ mutual assistance	105
3.2.2.4	Conclusion: limited?	110
3.2.3	Enforcement <i>within</i> the territory – enforcement against intermediaries	111
3.2.3.1	Financial intermediaries	111
3.2.3.2	Domain names	121
3.2.3.3	Suppressing local advertising	122
3.2.3.4	Blocking access to gambling sites – filtering	130
3.2.4	Risk management by online gambling providers	137
3.3	Conclusion	138
<b>4.</b>	<b>National regulation of online gambling as a trade restriction</b>	<b>140</b>
	<i>Julia Hörnle</i>	
4.1	Introduction	140
4.2	European Union	140
4.2.1	General introduction	140
4.2.2	Diversity of regulatory models and harmonization	142
4.2.3	No country of origin regulation?	142

4.2.4	The freedom to provide services	144
4.2.4.1	Early case-law	144
4.2.4.2	A step towards liberalization?	149
4.2.4.3	Taking a step back	154
4.2.4.4	State monopolies	162
4.2.4.5	Summary of the ECJ/EFTA court jurisprudence	163
4.2.5	Advertising restrictions as a trade restriction?	167
4.2.6	National courts	170
4.2.7	Recent developments and policy	173
4.2.8	Conclusion	174
4.3	World Trade Organization (WTO)	175
4.3.1	General introduction	176
4.3.2	The rulings in the dispute between Antigua & Barbuda and the US	177
4.3.2.1	Implementation of the rulings	180
4.3.2.2	Significance of the case	183
4.3.3	Conclusion	184
<b>5.</b>	<b>EU conflicts of law issues – part 1: jurisdiction</b>	<b>186</b>
	<i>Brigitte Zammit</i>	
5.1	Jurisdictional rules in contractual online gambling disputes	186
5.1.1	The nature and role of online gambling contracts	187
5.1.2	Applying the hierarchical set of jurisdiction rules under the Brussels Regulation	187
5.1.2.1	Determining whether the dispute concerns a ‘civil [or] commercial matter’	187
5.1.2.2	Subsequent jurisdictional rules	194
5.1.3	Jurisdiction in specially protected B2C online gambling contracts	195
5.1.4	Jurisdiction in other B2C online gambling contracts and B2B online gambling contracts	202
5.2	Jurisdictional rules in non-contractual online gambling disputes	207
5.2.1	Introduction	207
5.2.2	Jurisdiction in non-contractual online gambling disputes	208
5.2.2.1	Determining whether or not the subject- matter of an online gambling dispute constitutes a ‘matter relating to tort’ within the meaning of Article 5(3)	209

5.2.2.2	Online gambling disputes concerning both 'matters relating to tort' and 'matters relating to contract'	213
5.2.3	Determining 'the place where the harmful event occurred or may occur'	214
5.2.4	The provisions of the Brussels Regulation on 'provisional, including protective, measures'	218
5.3	Conclusions	220
<b>6.</b>	<b>EU conflicts of law issues – part 2: applicable law</b>	<b>223</b>
	<i>Brigitte Zammit</i>	
6.1	Applicable law issues in contractual online gambling disputes	223
6.1.1	The Rome I Regulation	223
6.1.2	The inter-relationship of the Rome I Regulation with other EC legislative instruments	224
6.1.3	Determining the applicable law	226
6.1.3.1	The general rule under the Rome I Regulation	226
6.1.3.2	Article 6 of the Rome I Regulation and B2C online gambling contracts	230
6.1.3.3	Public policy	234
6.2	Applicable law issues in non-contractual online gambling disputes	236
6.2.1	Introduction	236
6.2.2	From diverse national rules on applicable law to harmonized rules under the Rome II Regulation	237
6.2.2.1	'Non-contractual obligations...'	238
6.2.2.2	'... in civil and commercial matters'	239
6.2.3	Party autonomy	239
6.2.4	The law applicable to non-contractual online gambling disputes arising out of tort or delict	241
6.2.4.1	Article 4(1) – the law of the country in which the damage occurs	241
6.2.4.2	Circumstances in which the Article 4(1) rule does not apply	242
6.2.5	The law applicable to non-contractual online gambling disputes arising out of unfair competition and acts restricting free competition	244
6.2.5.1	Special online gambling torts – non-contractual obligations arising out of acts of unfair competition	244

6.2.5.2	Special online gambling torts – non-contractual obligations arising out of restriction of free competition	249
6.2.6	The law applicable to non-contractual online gambling disputes arising out of unjust enrichment acts	251
6.2.7	Public policy	254
6.3	Conclusion	256
<b>7.</b>	<b>EU conflicts of law issues – part 3: enforcement of judgments</b>	<b>259</b>
	<i>Brigitte Zammit</i>	
7.1	Introduction	259
7.2	The Brussels Regulation criteria	260
7.2.1	Determining the applicability or otherwise of the Brussels Regulation to the enforcement of the online gambling judgment	260
7.2.2	The requirement of an enforceable ‘judgment’	260
7.2.3	The limited powers of the first instance enforcing court	261
7.2.4	Appealing from the first instance enforcing court’s decision	262
7.2.4.1	Who has a right to appeal?	262
7.2.4.2	The exhaustive grounds of appeal	263
7.2.4.3	Public policy	263
7.2.4.4	Judgment given in default of appearance	265
7.2.4.5	Irreconcilability with other judgments	267
7.2.4.6	Jurisdictional objections	267
7.3	Conclusion	268
<b>8.</b>	<b>Concluding thoughts</b>	<b>269</b>
	<i>Brigitte Zammit</i>	
	<i>Bibliography</i>	273
	<i>Index</i>	283