

Contents

Preface <i>Michał Araszkiewicz</i>	i
Invited Talk	1
Consensus and Objectivity of Legal Argumentation <i>Tomasz Gizbert-Studnicki</i>	1
Full Papers	15
The Effect of Imprecise Expressions in Argumentation—Theory and Experimental Results <i>Christian Dahlman, Farhan Sarwar, Rasmus Bååth, Lena Wahlberg and Sverker Sikström</i>	15
The Dialogical Force of Implicit Premises. Presumptions in Legal En- thymemes <i>Giovanni Damele and Fabrizio Macagno</i>	31
In Search of the Rational Response: Assessing the Rawlsian Fore- ground for Resolving Political and Legal Disagreements <i>Adam Dyrda and Wojciech Ciszewski</i>	45
Argumentation Schemes for Statutory Interpretation <i>Fabrizio Macagno, Douglas Walton and Giovanni Sartor</i>	61
The Effectiveness of Interpretative Arguments in the View of Cognitive Theory of Legal Interpretation <i>Marcin Romanowicz</i>	77
Argument against absurdity of legal reasoning—fundamental, subsidiary or rhetoric? <i>Tomasz Stawecki</i>	89

Argument from Fairness in Judicial Reasoning <i>Douglas Walton</i>	103
Short Papers	119
Models of Legal Reasoning: An Attempt of a Practical View <i>Lukáš Hlouch</i>	119
How to Reach a Compromise on Compromise? <i>Izabela Skoczeń</i>	127
Perspectives of Analogical Reasoning <i>Martin Sobotka</i>	135