

Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| Preface <i>Michał Araszkiewicz</i> | i |
| Invited Talk | 1 |
| Consensus and Objectivity of Legal Argumentation <i>Tomasz Gizbert-Studnicki</i> | 1 |
| Full Papers | 15 |
| The Effect of Imprecise Expressions in Argumentation—Theory and Experimental Results <i>Christian Dahlman, Farhan Sarwar, Rasmus Bååth, Lena Wahlberg and Sverker Sikström</i> | 15 |
| The Dialogical Force of Implicit Premises. Presumptions in Legal En- thymemes <i>Giovanni Damele and Fabrizio Macagno</i> | 31 |
| In Search of the Rational Response: Assessing the Rawlsian Fore- ground for Resolving Political and Legal Disagreements <i>Adam Dyrda and Wojciech Ciszewski</i> | 45 |
| Argumentation Schemes for Statutory Interpretation <i>Fabrizio Macagno, Douglas Walton and Giovanni Sartor</i> | 61 |
| The Effectiveness of Interpretative Arguments in the View of Cognitive Theory of Legal Interpretation <i>Marcin Romanowicz</i> | 77 |
| Argument against absurdity of legal reasoning—fundamental, subsidiary or rhetoric? <i>Tomasz Stawecki</i> | 89 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Argument from Fairness in Judicial Reasoning <i>Douglas Walton</i> | 103 |
| Short Papers | 119 |
| Models of Legal Reasoning: An Attempt of a Practical View <i>Lukáš Hlouch</i> | 119 |
| How to Reach a Compromise on Compromise? <i>Izabela Skoczeń</i> | 127 |
| Perspectives of Analogical Reasoning <i>Martin Sobotka</i> | 135 |