

# Contents

---

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
<i>Series Preface</i>	ix
<i>Introduction</i>	xi

## PART I CLASSICAL NATURAL LAW THEORY

1 John Finnis (1990), ‘Natural Law and Legal Reasoning’, <i>Cleveland State Law Review</i> , 38, pp. 1–13.	3
2 John Finnis (1986), ‘The “Natural Law Tradition”’, <i>Journal of Legal Education</i> , 36, pp. 492–95.	17
3 Mark C. Murphy (2003), ‘Natural Law Jurisprudence’, <i>Legal Theory</i> , 9, pp. 241–67.	21
4 Brian Bix (2000), ‘On the Dividing Line Between Natural Law Theory and Legal Positivism’, <i>Notre Dame Law Review</i> , 75, pp. 1613–24.	49

## PART II THE SEPARABILITY THESIS

5 H.L.A. Hart (1958), ‘Positivism and the Separation of Law and Morals’, <i>Harvard Law Review</i> , 71, pp. 593–629.	63
6 Hans Kelsen (1960), ‘What is the Pure Theory of Law?’, <i>Tulane Law Review</i> , 34, pp. 269–76.	101
7 David Lyons (1982), ‘Moral Aspects of Legal Theory’, <i>Midwest Studies in Philosophy</i> , 7, pp. 223–54.	109
8 Joseph Raz (2003), ‘About Morality and the Nature of Law’, <i>American Journal of Jurisprudence</i> , 48, pp. 1–15.	141

## PART III CONSTRUCTIVE INTERPRETIVISM

9 Ronald Dworkin (1982), ‘Law as Interpretation’, <i>Texas Law Review</i> , 60, pp. 527–50.	159
10 Joseph Raz (1986), ‘Dworkin: A New Link in the Chain’, <i>California Law Review</i> , 74, pp. 1103–19.	183
11 John Finnis (1987), ‘On Reason and Authority in <i>Law’s Empire</i> ’, <i>Law and Philosophy</i> , 6, pp. 357–80.	201
12 Kenneth Einar Himma (2003), ‘Trouble in Law’s Empire: Rethinking Dworkin’s Third Theory of Law’, <i>Oxford Journal of Legal Studies</i> , 23, pp. 345–77.	225

**PART IV INCLUSIVE LEGAL POSITIVISM**

- |    |  |     |
|----|--|-----|
| 13 | Joseph Raz (1985), 'Authority, Law and Morality', <i>Monist</i> , 68, pp. 295–324.   | 261 |
| 14 | Scott J. Shapiro (1998), 'On Hart's Way Out', <i>Legal Theory</i> , 4, pp. 469–507.  | 291 |
| 15 | Jules L. Coleman (1998), 'Incorporationism, Conventionality, and the Practical Difference Thesis', <i>Legal Theory</i> , 4, pp. 381–425. | 331 |

**PART V MORALITY AND CONCEPTUAL METHODOLOGY**

- |    |  |     |
|----|--|-----|
| 16 | Joseph Raz (1996), 'On the Nature of Law', <i>Archiv für Rechts- und Sozialphilosophie</i> , 82, pp. 1–25.   | 379 |
| 17 | Brian Leiter (2003), 'Beyond the Hart/Dworkin Debate: The Methodology Problem in Jurisprudence', <i>American Journal of Jurisprudence</i> , 48, pp. 17–51. | 405 |
| 18 | Stephen R. Perry (1998), 'Hart's Methodological Positivism', <i>Legal Theory</i> , 4, pp. 427–67.  | 441 |
| 19 | Brian H. Bix (2003), 'Raz on Necessity', <i>Law and Philosophy</i> , 22, pp. 537–59.   | 483 |

*Name Index*

507