

Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	ix
1. The View, the Motivation, the Book	1
1.1. The view	1
1.2. The motivation	8
1.3. The book	11
1.4. How I do philosophy	14
2. The Argument from the Moral Implications of Objectivity (or Lack Thereof)	16
2.1. The normative premise: IMPARTIALITY	17
2.2. A normative argument against Caricaturized Subjectivism	24
2.3. Generalizing: response-dependence	27
2.4. Generalizing even further: expressivism	35
2.5. Generalizing further still?	38
2.6. Objectivity again	40
2.7. Appendix: neutrality	41
3. The Argument from the Deliberative Indispensability of Irreducibly Normative Truths	50
3.1. Harman's Challenge	51
3.2. Parsimony	53
3.3. Indispensability – explanatory and otherwise	54
3.4. The move from indispensability to (justified) belief	56
3.5. Indispensability: some details	67
3.6. Deliberation and intrinsic indispensability	70
3.7. Deliberation and the instrumental indispensability of normative truths	71
3.8. (Further) supporting the indispensability premise: eliminating alternatives	79
3.9. Conclusion	83
4. And Now, Robust Metaethical Realism	85
4.1. Morality and normativity	86
4.2. If you're already a Robust <i>Metanormative</i> Realist, why not also go for Robust <i>Metaethical</i> Realism?	88
4.3. Categorical reasons	93
4.4. In the other direction: if, for instance, you already reject metaethical expressivism, why not also reject metanormative expressivism?	98
4.5. Robust Metaethical Realism: going all the way	99

5. Doing with Less	100
5.1. Naturalism, after all	100
5.2. Fictionalism and error theory	109
5.3. Quietism	121
6. Metaphysics	134
6.1. Sheer queerness	134
6.2. Supervenience	136
7. Epistemology	151
7.1. How not to understand the epistemological challenge	152
7.2. How to understand the epistemological challenge: explaining correlations	158
7.3. Interlude: Street's Darwinian Dilemma	163
7.4. How to cope with the epistemological challenge	165
7.5. Generalizing	176
7.6. A quick and unsatisfying thought about semantic access	177
8. Disagreement	185
8.1. Worries we can dispense with rather quickly	186
8.2. From actual disagreement, by inference to the best explanation, to the denial of Robust Realism	187
8.3. Undermining the support agreement would have lent to realism	196
8.4. From disagreement, via the absence of semantic access, to the denial of Robust Realism	198
8.5. From disagreement, via internalism, to the denial of Robust Realism	200
8.6. From the absence of a method, deductively or by inference to the best explanation, to the denial of Robust Realism	202
8.7. From possible rationally irresolvable disagreement, deductively or by inference to the best explanation, to the denial of Robust Realism	207
8.8. From disagreement, via the absence of epistemic access, to the denial of realism	213
8.9. Conclusion, and a note on higher-order arguments from disagreement	214
9. Motivation	217
9.1. Acting for a (specific) reason	219
9.2. Practicality	237
9.3. Why be moral? And why do what I have reason to do?	242
9.4. Judgment-internalism	247
9.5. Existence-internalism	259
10. Tallying Plausibility Points	267
<i>References</i>	272
<i>Index</i>	287