

Contents

Preface	XI
Acknowledgments	XII
Abbreviations	XIII
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research Objective and Research Questions	10
1.2.1 <i>Case Studies: Introduction</i>	12
1.2.2 <i>The Rule of Law</i>	14
1.3 Structure and Outline of the Study	19
2 The International Organisations Law Framework Governing Third-Party Remedies	22
2.1 Introduction	22
2.2 The Legal Status of International Organisations in Domestic Legal Orders and the International Legal Order	23
2.2.1 <i>Domestic Legal Personality, and Privileges and Immunities</i>	24
2.2.2 <i>International Legal Personality</i>	27
2.2.2.1 Legal Consequences of International Legal Personality: the ARIO	31
2.2.3 <i>Interim Conclusions</i>	45
2.3 How Are International Organisations, and the UN in Particular, Bound by International Law?	45
2.3.1 <i>Treaty Law, General International Law and jus cogens</i>	47
2.3.1.1 Treaty Law	47
2.3.1.2 General International Law	51
2.3.1.3 <i>Jus cogens</i>	55
2.3.2 <i>Sources of Obligations Specific to the UN</i>	57
2.3.2.1 'Constitutional' Obligations	57
2.3.2.2 Kosovo under UNMIK Administration	60
2.3.3 <i>Interim Conclusions</i>	62
2.4 International Human Rights Law	62
2.4.1 <i>Primary Rules</i>	62
2.4.2 <i>Secondary Rules: the 'Right to a Remedy'</i>	70
2.4.2.1 Procedural Obligations	75
2.4.2.2 Substantive obligations	90
2.4.3 <i>Interim Conclusions</i>	96

2.5	Conclusions	97
3	Section 29(a) of the General Convention	100
3.1	Introduction	100
3.2	The UN Is Bound by the Obligation under Section 29 of the General Convention	101
3.2.1	<i>The General Convention Is Binding on the UN</i>	101
3.2.2	<i>Failure to Implement Section 29 of the General Convention and Jurisdictional Immunity</i>	104
3.2.2.1	Whether the UN's Entitlement to Immunity Is Conditional on Its Compliance with Section 29 of the General Convention	104
3.2.2.2	Denying the UN's Immunity in Response to 'Material Breach' or as a 'Countermeasure'	111
3.3	Overview of Practice of the UN under Section 29(a) of the General Convention	113
3.3.1	<i>Key Documents Setting Out the Practice and Regulations of the UN</i>	113
3.3.2	<i>The UN's Practice per Category of Dispute</i>	117
3.3.2.1	Disputes 'Arising Out of Commercial Agreements (Contracts and Lease Agreements)'	119
3.3.2.2	Other Disputes of a Private Law Character	122
3.3.2.3	'Other Claims'	140
3.3.3	<i>From Srebrenica to Haiti: Introduction to Case Studies</i>	146
3.3.3.1	The Srebrenica Genocide	147
3.3.3.2	The Kosovo Lead Poisoning	149
3.3.3.3	The Haiti Cholera Epidemic	152
3.4	Discussion: 'A Complete Remedy System to Private Parties'?	157
3.4.1	<i>General Observations Regarding Section 29 of the General Convention</i>	158
3.4.1.1	'Disputes ... to Which the UN Is a Party'	158
3.4.1.2	Liability and Responsibility	159
3.4.1.3	Who Decides?	163
3.4.1.4	Interim Conclusions	168
3.4.2	<i>'Private Law Character'</i>	169
3.4.2.1	'Private Law Character': Interpretation	169
3.4.2.2	UN Practice Regarding 'Private Law Character'	192
3.4.2.3	Interim Conclusions	208
3.4.3	<i>'Provisions for Appropriate Modes of Settlement'</i>	210

- 3.4.3.1 'Appropriate Modes of Settlement' 211
 - 3.4.3.2 Applicable Law: the UN Liability Rules 261
 - 3.4.3.3 Interim Conclusions 290
 - 3.5 Conclusions 294
- 4 The Jurisdictional Immunity of International Organisations in the Netherlands and the View from Strasbourg 297
 - 4.1 Introduction 297
 - 4.2 Immunity from Jurisdiction 299
 - 4.2.1 *Rationale* 300
 - 4.2.2 *Sources* 304
 - 4.2.3 *Procedural Aspects* 309
 - 4.2.4 '*Functional Immunity*' 312
 - 4.3 Immunity from Jurisdiction and 'Access to Court' 320
 - 4.3.1 Waite and Kennedy 323
 - 4.3.2 '*Reasonable Alternative Means*': beyond Waite and Kennedy 331
 - 4.3.2.1 Interim Conclusions 359
 - 4.3.3 *Absence of Reasonable Alternative Means*: Mothers of Srebrenica 361
 - 4.3.3.1 Immunity from Jurisdiction, Access to Court and Reasonable Alternative Means 367
 - 4.3.3.2 'Civil Right' under Article 6(1) of the ECHR in Light of Section 29 of the General Convention 370
 - 4.3.3.3 Resolving the Conflict between Jurisdictional Immunity and Access to Court Absent Reasonable Alternative Means 385
 - 4.4 Reducing 'Accountability Gaps': a Role for National Courts? 412
 - 4.5 Conclusions 416
- 5 Towards a 'Complete Remedy System' for Third Parties under Section 29 of the General Convention 419
 - 5.1 Introduction 419
 - 5.2 Proposed Solutions 422
 - 5.2.1 *The Legal Character of Third-Party Disputes* 422
 - 5.2.2 (*Standing*) *Claims Commissions* 424
 - 5.2.2.1 A Revised Legal Framework for Standing Claims Commissions 424
 - 5.2.2.2 The Consistent Interpretation and Application of the UN Liability Rules 426

5.2.3	<i>Arbitration</i>	427
5.2.3.1	Appropriate Arbitration Rules for Third-Party Disputes	427
5.2.3.2	Neutral Arbitration of Third-Party Disputes: Denationalised and Self-Contained Arbitration	434
5.3	The Mechanism for the Settlement of Disputes of a Private Law Character	440
5.3.1	<i>Amicable and Contentious Dispute Resolution under the Auspices of the PCA</i>	441
5.3.1.1	Amicable Dispute Resolution	441
5.3.1.2	Contentious Proceedings: First Instance Tribunals and the Standing Appellate Tribunal	451
5.3.1.3	The Permanent Court of Arbitration	471
5.3.2	<i>Establishment and Legal Framework of the Mechanism</i>	475
5.3.2.1	The UNGA Resolution	476
5.3.2.2	The Convention	477
5.3.2.3	Financial Implications	481
5.3.3	<i>Other International Organisations</i>	483
5.4	Conclusions	487
6	Findings and Conclusions	490
6.1	Findings	490
6.2	Concluding Observations	495
	Bibliography	501
	Table of Cases	514
	Index	520