

# Table of Contents

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....	8
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	9

## PART I – GENERAL

<b>1 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>13</b>
1.1 Opening Remarks .....	13
1.2 General Plan: Methodology and Structure .....	21
1.2.1 Normative Hierarchy of <i>Jus Cogens</i> Over Immunity? .....	22
1.2.2 Sources .....	25
1.2.3 Structure.....	26
1.3 Legal Basis of Mechanisms for Prosecuting Violations of International Criminal Law.....	27
1.4 Why Does the Legal Basis Matter? International v. National Courts Practice with Respect to Head of State Immunity.....	33
1.5 Brief History of the Conflict and Events Leading to the SCSL's Establishment .....	36
<b>2 The SCSL's <i>Decision on Immunity from Jurisdiction in the Taylor Case</i>.....</b>	<b>38</b>
2.1 Facts and Procedure .....	38
2.2 Defence Submissions on the Preliminary Motion and Prosecution's Response .....	40
2.3 <i>Decision on Immunity from Jurisdiction</i> : Addressing the Legal Basis of the SCSL.....	42
2.4 <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Brief: Lack of Chapter VII Powers of the UN Charter.....	44
<b>PART II – DETERMINATION OF THE LEGAL BASIS OF THE SCSL.....</b>	<b>47</b>

<b>3 LEGAL INSTRUMENTS: INTRODUCING THEIR CONTENT .....</b>	<b>49</b>
3.1 UN Security Council Resolution 1315 (2000) .....	49
3.2 Report of the UN Secretary-General .....	51

3.3	Agreement between the UN and Sierra Leone .....	52
3.4	Statute of the SCSL.....	53
3.5	Sierra Leonean Law of 2002: Special Court Agreement (Ratification) Act..	53
<b>4</b>	<b>LEGAL INSTRUMENTS: ANALYSIS OF THEIR BINDINGS EFFECTS .....</b>	<b>55</b>
4.1	Legal Significance of the Lack of Chapter VII Powers of the UN Charter...	55
4.2	No Need for Chapter VII Powers?.....	58
4.2.1	Summary of the Relevant Findings Relating to Chapter VII Powers..	63
4.3	Binding Effects of the Agreement between the UN and the Republic of Sierra Leone .....	64
4.4	Hybrid Nature of the SCSL Not Recognised.....	68
<b>PART III</b>	<b>- DETERMINATION OF IMMUNITIES AVAILABLE TO CHARLES TAYLOR .....</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>BALANCING COMPETING LEGITIMATE INTERNATIONAL VALUES: GENERAL REFLECTIONS ON THE INTERPLAY BETWEEN INDIVIDUAL CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY AND THE LAW OF IMMUNITIES .....</b>	<b>73</b>
5.1	Individual Criminal Responsibility for Crimes Under International Law....	73
5.2	Immunities: Necessary Evil or Workable Principle? .....	78
5.3	Immunity <i>ratione personae</i> .....	80
5.4	Immunity <i>ratione materiae</i> .....	81
5.4.1	Immunity <i>ratione materiae</i> : Procedural Bar or Substantive Defence?..	81
5.4.2	International Crimes as Private or Official Acts: Unanswerable Dilemma?.....	88
<b>6</b>	<b>PRACTICE BEFORE NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL COURTS WITH RESPECT TO IMMUNITIES.....</b>	<b>97</b>
6.1	The <i>Pinochet</i> Case.....	97
6.1.2	The Divisional Court: Where to Draw a Line Between Ordinary Versus Extraordinary Crimes?.....	98
6.1.3	The House of Lords Hearings.....	99
6.1.3.1	The House of Lords Hearings: The <i>Pinochet</i> Case I .....	100
6.1.3.2	The House of Lords Hearings: The <i>Pinochet</i> Case III.....	104
6.1.3.2.1	National versus International Courts .....	105
6.1.3.2.2	Private versus Official Acts.....	105
6.2	Impact of the <i>Pinochet</i> Case in the United Kingdom, on the <i>Taylor</i> Case and Beyond.....	107
6.3	Case Concerning the Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000	

(The <i>Yerodia</i> Case).....	109
6.4 The History of International Criminal Prosecutions: Practice Before International Courts and Tribunals .....	116
6.4.1 Practice Before Nuremberg .....	116
6.4.2 The Nuremberg International Military Tribunal.....	118
6.4.3 After Nuremberg.....	122
6.4.3.1 <i>Ad hoc</i> International Criminal Tribunals.....	122
6.4.3.2 The International Criminal Court.....	125
<b>7 THE <i>TAYLOR</i> CASE: SUBMISSIONS OF PARTIES AND THE SCSL DECISION WITH RESPECT TO IMMUNITIES .....</b>	<b>127</b>
7.1 Jurisdiction As a Precondition For Withdrawal of Immunity.....	129
7.2 Analysis of the <i>Decision on Immunity from Jurisdiction:</i> Addressing Immunities.....	131
7.2.1 Significance of the Phrase ‘Involvement of the International Community’ .....	132
7.2.2 Significance of the Phrase ‘Certain International Courts’.....	133
<b>8 THE <i>TAYLOR</i> CASE: HEAD OF STATE IMMUNITY .....</b>	<b>136</b>
8.1 Denying Personal Immunity to Charles Taylor?.....	136
8.2 Another Route to Proceed: Functional Immunity.....	138
<b>9 CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>141</b>
9.1 Concluding Remarks for the <i>Taylor</i> Case.....	141
9.2 Rethinking Immunity? Old Doctrines Die Hard.....	147
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY .....</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>JURISPRUDENCE.....</b>	<b>160</b>
<b>TABLE OF TREATIES AND OTHER INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENTS .....</b>	<b>167</b>
<b>TABLE OF OTHER DOCUMENTS AND REPORTS.....</b>	<b>169</b>