

---

# CONTENTS

---

<i>List of Authors</i> .....	<i>xi</i>
<i>List of Tables and Figures</i> .....	<i>xiii</i>
<i>List of Cases</i> .....	<i>xv</i>
<i>Introduction</i> .....	<i>1</i>
<b>Matteo Bonelli, Mariolina Eliantonio and Giulia Gentile</b>	
The Project .....	1
The First Volume and its Structure .....	6

## PART 1

### THE CONSTITUTIONAL DIMENSION OF ARTICLE 47 OF THE CHARTER

1. <i>Article 19 TEU and National Courts: A New Role for the Principle of Effective Judicial Protection?</i> .....	11
<b>Sacha Prechal</b>	
I. Introduction .....	11
II. From <i>Van Gend &amp; Loos</i> to Article 19(1) TEU .....	13
III. Article 19(1) TEU Brought to Life .....	16
IV. The Content and Scope of Application of Effective Judicial Protection .....	19
V. Another Perspective .....	22
VI. Conclusion .....	25
2. <i>Searching for the Pieces of the EU Justice Puzzle: Articles 47, 48, 49 and 50 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights</i> .....	27
<b>Giulia Gentile and Serena Menzione</b>	
I. Introduction .....	27
II. The Justice Title of the Charter: A Systematic Reading .....	29
III. Interdependent but Autonomous: Articles 47 and 48 of the EU Charter .....	32
IV. Reciprocal Influences and Missed Opportunities: Article 47 and Article 49 Charter .....	36
V. Shining in Their Own Lights: Articles 47 and 50 Charter .....	38
VI. Finding (Some) Pieces of the EU Justice Jigsaw Puzzle .....	41
VII. Conclusion .....	44

3.	<i>Effective Judicial Protection before National Courts: Article 47 of the Charter, National Constitutional Remedies and the Preliminary Reference Procedure</i> .....	45
	<b>Anna Wallerman Ghavanini and Clara Rauchegger</b>	
	I. Introduction.....	45
	II. The Preliminary Reference as an Individual Right.....	46
	A. The EU Law Perspective .....	46
	B. The National Constitutional Law Perspective .....	50
	III. Towards a More Rights-Based Approach? .....	54
	IV. Enhancing Judicial Protection Through Empowered National Courts .....	56
	V. Conclusions .....	59
4.	<i>The EU Right to an Independent Judge: How Much Consensus Across the EU?</i> .....	61
	<b>Michał Krajewski</b>	
	I. Introduction.....	61
	II. The Mandate of the Court of Justice.....	64
	A. Constitutional Sources: Article 19 TEU and Article 47 of the EU Charter .....	64
	B. Legal Avenues and Different Problems .....	66
	C. Comparative Reasoning and Risky Transplants.....	68
	III. Different Aspects of Judicial Independence .....	71
	A. Decision-Making Independence and Judicial Tenure.....	71
	B. Personal Independence and Judicial Appointments.....	74
	C. Organisational Independence and Judicial Governance.....	77
	IV. Conclusion .....	79
5.	<i>Article 47 of the Charter, Effective Judicial Protection and the (Procedural) Autonomy of the Member States</i> .....	81
	<b>Matteo Bonelli</b>	
	I. Introduction.....	81
	II. Effective Judicial Protection, Article 47 and Procedural Autonomy.....	83
	III. Procedural Autonomy: The Interplay between Article 47 and EU Secondary Legislation.....	86
	IV. ‘Remedial’ Autonomy: The Empowering Function of Article 47 .....	90
	V. Institutional and Structural Autonomy: Judicial Independence .....	94
	VI. What Has Article 47 Changed? Concluding Thoughts.....	96
6.	<i>‘A Spectre is Haunting Kirchberg’ – The Spectre of Article 47: The CJEU Case Law on the Finality of Judicial Decisions and on the Ex Officio Application of EU Law</i> .....	99
	<b>Mariolina Eliantonio</b>	
	I. Introduction.....	99
	II. Re-Opening Final Judicial Decisions: Procedural Autonomy Limited by Equivalence and Effectiveness.....	101
	A. The Principle of National Procedural Autonomy as the Default Position .....	101

B.	Limitations Imposed by the Principle of Equivalence.....	102
C.	Limitations Imposed by the Principle of Effectiveness .....	104
D.	The <i>Lucchini</i> Case and its Follow-Up.....	106
E.	Interim Conclusion.....	108
III.	Raising Points of EU Law <i>Ex Officio</i> .....	111
A.	The 'Rule': <i>Peterbroeck</i> and <i>van Schijndel</i> .....	111
B.	The Exceptions: EU Law as a Matter of Public Policy .....	112
C.	<i>Ex Officio</i> Application of EU Law to the Detriment of the Applicant .....	115
D.	Interim Conclusion.....	116
IV.	Conclusions .....	118

## PART 2

## ARTICLE 47 OF THE CHARTER IN SELECTED POLICY AREAS

7.	<i>The Role of Article 47 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights in the Field of Non-Discrimination: Onwards and Upwards</i> .....	123
	<b>Kathleen Gutman</b>	
I.	Introduction.....	123
II.	Origins of the Relationship between Article 47 of the Charter and Non-Discrimination.....	124
III.	Article 47 of the Charter and the Main Directives in the Field of Non-Discrimination.....	128
A.	Effective Access to the Courts.....	128
B.	Protection against Retaliation.....	130
C.	Burden of Proof.....	130
D.	Standing of Equality Bodies and Other Entities.....	132
E.	Forms of Redress .....	134
IV.	Opportunities for Further Development of Article 47 of the Charter in the Field of Non-Discrimination .....	136
A.	Procedural Obstacles at the National Level .....	136
B.	Tasks of National Courts and Other Competent Bodies .....	137
V.	Conclusion.....	138
8.	<i>No Turning Back? The Empowerment of National Asylum and Migration Courts under Article 47 of the Charter</i> .....	141
	<b>Marcelle Reneman</b>	
I.	Introduction.....	141
II.	Ensuring the Jurisdiction of National Courts .....	143
III.	The Scope and Intensity of Judicial Review: Defining the Role of the National Courts .....	147
A.	The Detention Judge: A <i>Habeas Corpus</i> Examination.....	148
B.	The Asylum Judge: A Full and <i>Ex Nunc</i> Examination.....	149

C.	Dublin Cases: From a Limited to a Full Judicial Review (and Back)?.....	153
D.	Visa Cases: A Lighter Form of Judicial Review .....	155
IV.	Conclusion .....	156
9.	<i>Article 47 of the Charter and the European Arrest Warrant: Chronicle of a Death Foretold?</i> .....	159
	<b>Adriano Martufi</b>	
I.	Introduction.....	159
II.	The Role of ‘Judicial Authorities’ and the Right to an Effective Remedy: Hesitancy and Deference in the Early Case Law of the Court.....	161
III.	The Development of an Autonomous Concept of ‘Judicial Authority’ and the Growing Limits to Procedural Autonomy: A Negative Obligation? .....	163
IV.	What Role for Public Prosecutors? Judicial Independence, Procedural Autonomy and the Disappearance of Article 47 .....	165
V.	The Approach of the Court to ‘Judicial Independence’ and the EAW: Inconsistencies and Double Standards.....	169
VI.	The Right to an Effective Judicial Remedy and the EAW: Article 47 and <i>Habeas Corpus</i> Proceedings.....	172
VII.	Conclusion .....	175
10.	<i>Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights in the Common Foreign and Security Policy: Does it Afford an Adequate Protection of the Right to Effective Judicial Protection to Private Parties?</i> .....	177
	<b>Sara Poli</b>	
I.	Introduction.....	177
II.	Article 47 of the Charter as an Instrument to Broadly Interpret the Competence of the Court of Justice with Respect to Acts Adopted in the Framework of the CFSP.....	179
III.	The Legal Standing to Challenge Restrictive Measures in the Light of Article 47 of the EUCFR: Are There Any Limits to Its Scope <i>Ratione Personae?</i> .....	183
IV.	An Overview of the Substance of Annulment Actions against Individual Restrictive Measures: An Enhanced Protection of Due Process Rights .....	186
V.	The Obligation of the Council to Verify that the Right to Effective Judicial Protection and the Right of Defence are Respected by Third Countries.....	188
VI.	Conclusions .....	192

11.	<i>Article 47 of the Charter and Effective Judicial Protection in Environmental Matters: The Need to Grant Civil Society the Right to Defend the Environment.....</i>	195
	<b>Ludwig Krämer</b>	
	I. Introduction.....	195
	II. The CJEU and Access to Environmental Justice.....	197
	A. Access to the European Courts.....	197
	III. The Aarhus Convention and its Criticism of the EU Public Authorities .....	200
	A. The Charter of Fundamental Rights .....	202
	B. The Controversy between the EU and the Aarhus Convention.....	206
	IV. Possible Ways Ahead .....	208
	A. Applying Article 9(2) of Regulation 1367/2006 .....	209
	B. Improving Participation in GMO-Related Decisions.....	210
	C. Accepting Environmental NGOs as ‘Negotiators’.....	211
	V. Conclusion.....	211
12.	<i>Article 47 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights in the Field of Public Procurement: Time to Take the Charter Seriously?.....</i>	213
	<b>Roberto Caranta</b>	
	I. Introduction.....	214
	II. The Codification of Procurement Remedies .....	215
	III. Gaps and Shortcomings in the Procurement Remedies Directives .....	216
	A. Annulment .....	217
	B. Damages .....	222
	IV. Article 47 and Procurement Remedies .....	224
	V. Conclusions: Guidance is Badly Needed .....	227
13.	<i>Article 47 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights in EU Competition Enforcement: A Quantitative and Qualitative Assessment.....</i>	231
	<b>Andriani Kalintiri</b>	
	I. Introduction.....	231
	II. Article 47 EUCFR in Competition Judgments in Numbers.....	233
	III. The Manifestations of Article 47 EUCFR in Competition Judgments.....	237
	A. The Right to an Effective Remedy .....	237
	B. The Right to a Hearing by an Independent and Impartial Tribunal .....	239
	C. The Right to a Hearing Within a Reasonable Time .....	241
	D. The Duty to State Reasons.....	243
	E. The Presumption of Innocence, the Rights of Defence and the Equality of Arms Principle .....	245
	IV. A Multifaceted Principle in the Process of Crystallisation.....	246
	V. The Role of Article 47 EUCFR in Competition Enforcement.....	247
	VI. Conclusion.....	250

14. <i>The Evolution of the Right to an Effective Remedy and to a Fair Trial in Direct and Indirect Taxation: Are We There Yet?</i> .....	251
<b>Katerina Pantazatou</b>	
I. Introduction.....	251
II. The Right to Effective Judicial Protection and to an Effective Remedy in Tax Matters: Setting Up the Framework.....	252
III. The Right to an Effective Remedy in the Context of Exchange of Information ( <i>Berlioz I</i> and <i>Berlioz II</i> ) .....	255
A. <i>Berlioz I</i> .....	255
B. <i>Luxembourg v B and Others</i> (' <i>Berlioz II</i> ') .....	257
IV. <i>Luxembourg State v L</i> .....	261
V. The Right to an Effective Remedy and to a Fair Trial in Indirect Taxation (VAT).....	263
A. Effective Access to Justice: Legal Fees and the Grant of Legal Aid: <i>Ordre des barreaux francophones et germanophone and Others</i> .....	263
B. Collection and Use of Evidence in Proving VAT Fraud: <i>Dzivev</i> .....	264
VI. Use of Evidence from Criminal Proceedings without the Knowledge of the Taxpayer .....	266
A. <i>WebMindLicenses</i> .....	266
VII. Conclusion.....	269
15. <i>Conclusions</i> .....	273
<b>Matteo Bonelli, Mariolina Eliantonio and Giulia Gentile</b>	
I. Introduction.....	273
II. The Constitutional Impact of Article 47 of the Charter in the EU Legal Landscape: Between Continuity and Rupture .....	273
III. Article 47 of the Charter in the Policy Areas: A Kaleidoscope of Colours with Increasingly More Visible Shapes.....	276
A. The Relationship between Article 47 and the Principle of Effective Judicial Protection .....	276
B. The Relationship between Article 47, EU Secondary Rules of a Procedural Nature, Primary Law and International Law .....	277
IV. The Pivotal Role of Article 47 to Further the <i>Acquis Communautaire</i> .....	279
V. The Broad Range of Procedural Areas Affected by Article 47 .....	282
VI. Conclusions .....	284
<i>Index</i> .....	287