Contents

| List of Figures and Tables | xiii | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| Table of Cases | | | | |
| List of Abbreviations | | | | |
| Introduction to the Private Enforcement of Competition Law | 1 | | | |
| PART I OVERVIEW OF PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT SYST | ΓΕΜS | | | |
| 1. Private Enforcement at a Glance | 7 | | | |
| 1.1 Background and Rationale for Private Enforcement | 1.1 | | | |
| 1.2 Overview of Existing Systems | 1.7 | | | |
| 1.2.1 The US System | 1.7 | | | |
| 1.2.2 The European Approach | 1.14 | | | |
| 1.2.3 Other Approaches | 1.24 | | | |
| (i) Japan | 1.25 | | | |
| (ii) UK | 1.27 | | | |
| (iii) Brazil | 1.30 | | | |
| (iv) Spain | 1.31 | | | |
| (v) Germany | 1.35 | | | |
| 2. The Interaction of Public and Private Enforcement Systems | 22 | | | |
| 2.1 Public and Private Enforcement | 2.1 | | | |
| 2.2 Systems Where Private Enforcement Predominates | 2.6 | | | |
| 2.3 Systems Where Public Enforcement Predominates | 2.10 | | | |
| 2.4 Areas of Tension between Public and Private Enforcement | 2.14 | | | |
| 2.4.1 Access to the Competition Agency File | 2.15 | | | |
| 2.4.2 Leniency and Access to Leniency Documents | 2.16 | | | |
| 2.4.3 Civil Immunity of Leniency Applicants | 2.18 | | | |
| 2.4.4 Content of Published Infringement Decisions | 2.20 | | | |
| PART II ELEMENTS OF PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT SYST | ΓΕΜS | | | |
| 3. Judicial Redress Mechanisms | 33 | | | |
| 3.1 Private Competition Enforcement in Court | 3.1 | | | |
| 3.2 Competition Remedies Other Than Damages | 3.4 | | | |
| 3.2.1 Injunctive and Interim Injunctive Relief | 3.5 | | | |
| 3.2.2 Civil Law Competition Defences | 3.12 | | | |
| 3.3 Individual Damages Claims | 3.24 | | | |
| 3.4 Collective Damages Claims | 3.27 | | | |
| 3.4.1 Opt-In Actions | 3.37 | | | |
| (i) Claim Assignment | 3.42 | | | |

X CONTENTS

| | 3.4.2 Opt-Out Actions | 3.47 |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| | (i) US | 3.55 |
| | (ii) Canada | 3.59 |
| | (iii) Developments in Europe | 3.60 |
| | 3.4.3 Mixed Systems | 3.62 |
| | (i) UK | 3.63 |
| | a. Funding arrangements | 3.66 |
| | b. Certifying opt-out claims | 3.68 |
| | (ii) The Netherlands | 3.84 |
| | | |
| 4. | Out-of-Court Redress Schemes | 64 |
| | 4.1 Alternative Dispute Resolution in Competition Cases | 4.1 |
| | 4.2 Voluntary Redress Schemes | 4.3 |
| | 4.3 Alternative Dispute Resolution and Settlement Schemes | 4.4 |
| | 4.4 Arbitration | 4.5 |
| 5 | Claimants and Standing | 70 |
| ٥. | 5.1 Recognized Victims of Competition Harm | 5.1 |
| | 5.2 Direct Purchasers | 5.4 |
| | 5.2 Direct Furchasers 5.3 Indirect Purchasers | 5.6 |
| | 5.3.1 Indirect Purchasers Should Not Have Standing—the US Experience | 5.10 |
| | 5.3.2 Indirect Purchasers Have Standing—the EU | 5.17 |
| | 5.4 Other Claimants | 5.22 |
| | J.4 Other Claimants | 0.22 |
| 6. | Ensuring the Effectiveness of Redress Schemes | 82 |
| | 6.1 How to Make Expensive Litigation Affordable | 6.1 |
| | 6.2 Third-Party/Alternative Funding | 6.3 |
| | 6.3 Success-Based Billing | 6.15 |
| | 6.4 Cost Allocation Rules | 6.19 |
| | 6.5 After-the-Event Insurance | 6.24 |
| 7 | Defendants and Liability | 90 |
| ٠. | 7.1 Defendants and Their Liability for Competition Damages | 7.1 |
| | 72 T1: C.: D C 1 | 7.1 |
| | 1 | 7.17 |
| | TAT 1: 11 1 T 10 1T. 1.1. | 7.22 |
| | | 7.25 |
| | 7.4.1 Contribution Among Defendants 7.4.2 The Impact of Settlements | 7.29 |
| | 7.4.2 The impact of settlements 7.4.3 Leniency Applicants | 7.35 |
| | | |
| 8. | Establishing an Infringement | 102 |
| | 8.1 Competition Infringements as Unlawful Conduct in Tort Law | 8.1 |
| | 8.2 Stand-Alone and Follow-On Claims | 8.4 |
| | 8.3 Effect of Infringement Decisions in Civil Damages Claims | 8.11 |
| | 8.3.1 Public Infringement Decisions Suffice to Establish that an | |
| | Infringement Occurred in Subsequent Damages Claims | 8.12 |
| | 8.3.2 Infringement Decisions Provide Prima Facie Evidence of an | |
| | Infringement in Damages Claims | 8.18 |
| | 8.3.3 Infringement Decisions Do Not Have a Special Probative Value | 8.22 |
| | 8.3.4 The Effect of Settlement Decisions | 8.25 |
| | (i) Germany | 8.28 |
| | (ii) UK | 8.29 |

| | | | CONTENTS | X |
|-----|------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------|------|
| | | 8.3.5 The Effect of Commitment Decisions | | 8.30 |
| | 8.4 | Scope of Infringement Decisions' Effects in Damages Claims | | 8.36 |
| | | 8.4.1 The Subjective Scope | | 8.36 |
| | | 8.4.2 The Material Scope | | 8.40 |
| | 8.5 | Ensuring that Potential Claimants are Aware of Infringement | | |
| | | Decisions | | 8.49 |
| | 8.6 | Limitation Periods | | 8.54 |
| | | 8.6.1 Date of Infringement | | 8.59 |
| | | 8.6.2 Knowledge of Infringement | | 8.61 |
| | | 8.6.3 Following an Infringement Decision | | 8.67 |
| | | 8.6.4 Absolute Limitation Periods | | 8.71 |
| 9. | Terr | itorial Scope of Private Enforcement | | 126 |
| | | Jurisdictional Questions Regarding Cross-Border Competition | | |
| | | Infringements | | 9.1 |
| | 9.2 | Substantive Competition Law Jurisdiction | | 9.3 |
| | 9.3 | Procedural Matters Surrounding Territorial Jurisdiction | | 9.11 |
| | | 9.3.1 Applicable Law | | 9.13 |
| | | 9.3.2 Seizing Jurisdiction | | 9.15 |
| | | 9.3.3 Choice of Jurisdiction Agreements | | 9.19 |
| 10. | Rule | s of Evidence | | 135 |
| | | Factual Inquiries in Private Competition Enforcement | | 10.1 |
| | | Disclosure | 1 | 0.10 |
| | | 10.2.1 General Rules | 1 | 0.10 |
| | | 10.2.2 Documents the Disclosure of Which May Be Limited | 1 | 0.24 |
| | | (i) Privileged Documents | 1 | 0.24 |
| | | (ii) Commercially Sensitive Information | 1 | 0.25 |
| | | (iii) Other Documents | 1 | 0.34 |
| | 10.3 | Expert Evidence | 10 | 0.35 |
| | | 10.3.1 Case Management of Expert Witnesses | 1 | 0.41 |
| | | 10.3.2 Appointment of Court Experts | 1 | 0.47 |
| | | 10.3.3 Developing Judicial Expertise | | 0.51 |
| | 10.4 | Access to the Competition Enforcement File | | 0.52 |
| | | 10.4.1 General Rules | | 0.53 |
| | | 10.4.2 Leniency Documents | | 0.60 |
| | | 10.4.3 Settlement and Commitment Documents | 1 | 0.65 |
| 11. | Caus | sation | | 163 |
| | 11.1 | Why Causation Matters | | 11.1 |
| | 11.2 | Causation in Competition Cases | | 11.7 |
| | 11.3 | Examples of Rules on Causation | 1. | 1.21 |
| | | 11.3.1 US | | 1.21 |
| | | 11.3.2 Europe | | 1.28 |
| | | (i) England and Wales | | 1.31 |
| | | (ii) Germany | | 1.35 |
| | | (iii) Spain | | 1.39 |
| | 44.4 | (iv) EU | | 1.40 |
| | 11.4 | Proving Causation | | 1.44 |
| | | 11.4.1 Basic Rules on the Standard of Proof | | 1.45 |
| | | (i) Civil Law | 1 | 1.46 |

| | | (ii) Common Law | 11.47 |
|--------------|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| | | 11.4.2 Making it Easier to Prove Causation (and Loss) | 11.48 |
| | | (i) Relaxing the Burden or Standard of Proof | 11.49 |
| | | (ii) Adopting Presumptions of Loss | 11.56 |
| | | (iii) Allowing for Reliance on Contents of Infringement Decisions | |
| | | in Proving Causation | 11.59 |
| 12. | Dam | nages and Their Quantification | 186 |
| | | The Manifold Challenges with Quantifying Damages | 12.1 |
| | | Measuring Loss | 12.4 |
| | | 12.2.1 General Principles | 12.4 |
| | | 12.2.2 Tools to Assist the Measurement of Loss | 12.7 |
| | | (i) Reducing the Burden and Standard of Proof, and | |
| | | Estimating Damages | 12.8 |
| | | (ii) Presumptions | 12.14 |
| | | (iii) Assistance from a Specialized Body | 12.16 |
| | | (iv) Aggregate Loss in Collective Claims | 12.17 |
| | 12.3 | Compensatory, Punitive, and Exemplary Damages | 12.23 |
| | | 12.3.1 Compensatory Damages | 12.23 |
| | | 12.3.2 Punitive and Exemplary Damages | 12.26 |
| | 12.4 | Passing On | 12.34 |
| | | 12.4.1 Systems That Do Not Take Passing On into Account | 12.42 |
| | | 12.4.2 Systems That Take Passing On into Account | 12.46 |
| | | (i) Passing On in Theory and in Practice | 12.50 |
| | | (ii) From Economic to Legal Assessments of Passing On | 12.53 |
| | | (iii) Tools to Facilitate Passing-On Assessments | 12.68 |
| | 12.5 | Interest | 12.73 |
| | | 12.5.1 Compound or Simple Interest | 12.75 |
| | | 12.5.2 Time From Which Interest Starts Accruing | 12.80 |
| | 12.6 | Reductions in the Amount of Damages | 12.83 |
| 13. | Econ | omic Methods in Private Competition Enforcement | 219 |
| | | Legal Causation and Scientific Methods | 13.1 |
| | | Competition Law and Economic Methods in Practice | 13.9 |
| | | Different Economic Methods | 13.15 |
| | | 13.3.1 Simple Averages Approach | 13.19 |
| | | 13.3.2 Comparator Markets | 13.23 |
| | | 13.3.3 Regression Modelling | 13.27 |
| | 13.4 | Practical Implications | 13.29 |
| D 11 | | . 1 | 001 |
| Bıbl Inde | iogra | pny | 231 243 |
| IVIA | / Y | | 143 |