

Contents

| | |
|---|------------|
| <i>Table of Cases.....</i> | vii |
| <i>Table of Legislation</i> | xxv |
| Introduction. The Methodology of the Research: How to Assess the Reality of Transjudicial Communication? | 1 |
| <i>Tania Groppi and Marie-Claire Ponthoreau</i> | |
| Part I..... | 11 |
| 1. Reference to Foreign Precedents by the Australian High Court: A Matter of Method | 13 |
| <i> Cheryl Saunders and Adrienne Stone</i> | |
| 2. Canada: Protecting Rights in a ‘Worldwide Rights Culture’. An Empirical Study of the Use of Foreign Precedents by the Supreme Court of Canada (1982–2010) | 39 |
| <i> Gianluca Gentili</i> | |
| 3. India: A ‘Critical’ Use of Foreign Precedents in Constitutional Adjudication..... | 69 |
| <i> Valentina Rita Scotti</i> | |
| 4. The Supreme Court of Ireland and the Use of Foreign Precedents: The Value of Constitutional History | 97 |
| <i> Cristina Fasone</i> | |
| 5. Israel: Creating a Constitution—The Use of Foreign Precedents by the Supreme Court (1994–2010) | 129 |
| <i> Suzie Navot</i> | |
| 6. Namibia: The Supreme Court as a Foreign Law Importer | 155 |
| <i> Irene Spigno</i> | |
| 7. South Africa: Teaching an ‘Old Dog’ New Tricks? An Empirical Study of the Use of Foreign Precedents by the South African Constitutional Court (1995–2010) | 185 |
| <i> Christa Rautenbach</i> | |
| Part II..... | 211 |
| 8. Austria: Non-cosmopolitan, but Europe-friendly—The Constitutional Court’s Comparative Approach..... | 213 |
| <i> Anna Gamper</i> | |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 9. Lifting the Constitutional Curtain? The Use of Foreign Precedent by the German Federal Constitutional Court | 229 |
| <i>Stefan Martini</i> | |
| 10. Hungary: Unsystematic and Incoherent Borrowing of Law. The Use of Foreign Judicial Precedents in the Jurisprudence of the Constitutional Court, 1999–2010..... | 253 |
| <i>Zoltán Szente</i> | |
| 11. A Gap between the Apparent and Hidden Attitudes of the Supreme Court of Japan towards Foreign Precedents..... | 273 |
| <i>Akiko Ejima</i> | |
| 12. Mexico: Struggling for an Open View In Constitutional Adjudication..... | 301 |
| <i>Eduardo Ferrer Mac-Gregor and Rubén Sánchez Gil</i> | |
| 13. Romania: Analogical Reasoning as a Dialectical Instrument..... | 321 |
| <i>Elena Simina Tanasescu and Stefan Deaconu</i> | |
| 14. Russia: Foreign Transplants in the Russian Constitution and Invisible Foreign Precedents in Decisions of the Russian Constitutional Court | 347 |
| <i>Sergey Belov</i> | |
| 15. Judges as Discursive Agent: The Use of Foreign Precedents by the Constitutional Court of Taiwan | 373 |
| <i>Wen-Chen Chang and Jiunn-Rong Yeh</i> | |
| 16. United States of America: First Cautious Attempts of Judicial Use of Foreign Precedents in the Supreme Court's Jurisprudence | 393 |
| <i>Angioletta Sperti</i> | |
| Conclusion. The Use of Foreign Precedents by Constitutional Judges: A Limited Practice, An Uncertain Future | 411 |
| <i>Tania Groppi and Marie-Claire Ponthoreau</i> | |